

R. P. SRIVASTAV (*)

On the Mean Value of Integral Functions and Their Derivatives.

1. – If $f(z)$ is an integral function of order ϱ and lower order λ , the mean value of $f(z)$ defined for $|z| = r$ is

$$(1.1) \quad I(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

It is known ([3], p. 174) that it is an increasing function of r and $\log I(r)$ is a convex function of $\log r$. The object of the present paper is to study some of the properties of $I(r)$. In section two certain results obtained for logarithmic mean value have also been given.

Section I.

2. – Theorem 1.

Let

$$\max_{|z|=r} |f(z)| = M(r),$$

then, for $0 < r < R$,

$$(2.1) \quad I(r) \leq M(r) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} I(R).$$

(*) Address: Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, Lucknow University, Lucknow, India.

Proof: First part of the inequality follows from (1.1). From CAUCHY's formula, we have

$$2f(z) - f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\omega|=R} f(\omega) \frac{\omega + z}{\omega - z} \frac{d\omega}{\omega}$$

and

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\omega|=R} \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega} \frac{R^2 + \omega\bar{z}}{R^2 - \omega\bar{z}} d\omega.$$

Consequently

$$2f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\omega|=R} \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega} \left[\frac{\omega + z}{\omega - z} + \frac{\bar{\omega} + \bar{z}}{\bar{\omega} - \bar{z}} \right] d\omega.$$

Let z be the value for which $|f(z)| = M(r)$, then

$$M(r) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(Re^{i\varphi})| d\varphi$$

and hence the result.

From (2.1) we obtain the following corollaries:

Cor. 1.

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log I(r)}{\log r} = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} = \begin{cases} \varrho \\ \lambda \end{cases}.$$

Cor. 2.

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log I(r)}{N(r) \cdot \log r} \leq 1,$$

where $N(r)$ is the rank of the maximum term in the TAYLOR expansion of $f(z)$ about $z = 0$.

Cor. 3. For $0 \leq \varrho < \infty$, $r > r_0$,

$$I(r) \leq M(r) < I(r) \cdot r^{\varrho + \epsilon}.$$

The result follows by putting $R = r + \{r/\log I(r)\}$.

3. – Theorem 2.

If $I^{(1)}(r)$ be the mean value of $f'(z)$, the derivative of $f(z)$, then, for $r > r_0$,

$$I^{(1)}(r) \geq \frac{I(r) \cdot \log I(r)}{r \cdot \log r}.$$

P r o o f : We have

$$I^{(1)}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(re^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta} - \varepsilon e^{i\theta})}{\varepsilon e^{i\theta}} \right| d\theta$$

or

$$I^{(1)}(r) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{2\pi} \frac{|f(re^{i\theta})| - |f(re^{i\theta} - \varepsilon e^{i\theta})|}{\varepsilon r} d\theta \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{I(r) - I(r - r\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon r}.$$

Let $g(r) = \{\log I(r)/(\log r)\}$, then $g(r)$ is an increasing function of r , therefore,

$$I^{(1)}(r) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{r^{\sigma(r)} - (r - r\varepsilon)^{\sigma(r)}}{\varepsilon r} = g(r) \cdot r^{\sigma(r)-1} = \frac{I(r) \cdot \log I(r)}{r \cdot \log r}.$$

Hence the result.

4. – In order to prove the next theorem, we need the following

Lemma. Let $f(z)$ be an integral function of order ϱ ($< \infty$), with zeros at $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, \dots$. Then, for large r ,

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq O(r^{\varrho+\varepsilon-1}).$$

P r o o f .

Set

$$f(z) = z^k e^{Q(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_n}\right) e^{z/z_n + \dots + (z/z_n)^p/p},$$

where p is the genus of the canonical product, $Q(z)$ a polynomial of degree $\leq \varrho$ and k is a positive integer. Logarithmic derivation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} &= \frac{k}{z} + Q'(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{z-z_n} + \frac{1}{z_n} + \dots + \frac{z^{p-1}}{z_n^p} \right\} = \\ &= \frac{k}{z} + Q'(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z-z_n} \left(\frac{z}{z_n} \right)^p,\end{aligned}$$

where $Q'(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq \varrho - 1$. We put

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z-z_n} \left(\frac{z}{z_n} \right)^p = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2,$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{|z_n| < (1-\delta)r} \frac{1}{z-z_n} \left(\frac{z}{z_n} \right)^p, \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{(1+\delta)r < |z_n|} \frac{1}{z-z_n} \left(\frac{z}{z_n} \right)^p,$$

$0 < \delta < 1$, such that no zero of $f(z)$ lies in the annulus $(1-\delta)r \leq |z_n| \leq (1+\delta)r$, which is always possible by suitably choosing δ and r . Now

$$\Sigma_1 \leq \sum_{r_n < (1-\delta)r} \left(1 - \frac{r_n}{r} \right)^{-1} \frac{r^{p-1}}{r_n^p}.$$

Since $r_n/r < 1 - \delta$, therefore, $1 - (r_n/r) > \delta$. Hence

$$\Sigma_1 \leq O\left(r^{p-1} \sum_{r_n < (1-\delta)r} r_n^{-p}\right) \leq O\left(r^{p+\varepsilon-1} \sum_{r_n < (1-\delta)r} r_n^{-\varrho-\varepsilon}\right) = O(r^{u+\varepsilon-1}).$$

Further,

$$\Sigma_2 \leq \sum_{(1+\delta)r < r_n} \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right)^{-1} \frac{r^p}{r_n^{p+1}}.$$

Since $r/r_n < 1/(\delta + 1)$, therefore, $1 - (r/r_n) > \delta/(\delta + 1)$ and hence

$$\Sigma_2 \leq O\left(r^p \sum_{(1+\delta)r < r_n} \frac{1}{r_n^{p+1}}\right).$$

If $p = \varrho - 1$, then $\sum_2 \leq O(r^{\varrho-1})$. If $p > \varrho - 1$, then for arbitrary small positive ε , $\varrho + \varepsilon < p + 1$. Hence

$$\sum_2 \leq O\left(r^p \sum_{(1+\delta)r < r_n} r_n^{-p-1+\varrho+\varepsilon} r_n^{-\varrho-\varepsilon}\right) \leq O\left(r^{\varrho+\varepsilon-1} \sum_{(1+\delta)r < r_n} r_n^{-\varrho-\varepsilon}\right) = O(r^{\varrho+\varepsilon-1}).$$

Since

$$|f'(z)/f(z)| \leq (k/r) + |Q'(z)| + |\sum_1| + |\sum_2|,$$

the result follows:

Theorem 3.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{ r \cdot [I^{(s)}(r)/I(r)]^{1/s} \}}{\log r} = \varrho,$$

where $I^{(s)}(r)$ is the mean value of $f^{(s)}(z)$, the s -th derivative of $f(z)$, for $|z| = r$.

Proof.

We have

$$I^{(1)}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| |f'(re^{i\theta})/f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq I(r) \cdot r^{\varrho+\varepsilon-1},$$

for $r > r_0$. Writing this inequality for s -th derivative, we get

$$I^{(s)}(r) \leq I^{(s-1)}(r) \cdot r^{\varrho+\varepsilon-1}.$$

Giving s the values 1, 2, 3, etc. and multiplying together, we get

$$I^{(s)}(r) \leq I(r) \cdot r^{(\varrho+\varepsilon-1)s},$$

and hence we have

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{ r \cdot [I^{(s)}(r)/I(r)]^{1/s} \}}{\log r} \leq \varrho.$$

Further, we have

$$I^{(1)}(r) \geq \{ I(r) \cdot \log I(r) \} / (r \cdot \log r).$$

If we proceed with $I^{(s)}(r)$ and $I^{(s-1)}(r)$ instead of $I^{(1)}(r)$ and $I(r)$ as in Theorem 2, we obtain

$$I^{(s)}(r) \geq \{ I^{(s-1)}(r) \cdot \log I^{(s-1)}(r) \} / (r \cdot \log r).$$

From the above inequality we easily obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{r \cdot [I^{(s)}(r)/I(r)]^{1/s}\}}{\log r} \geq \varrho.$$

The result follows on combining (4.1) and (4.2).

C o r. For $r > r_0$,

$$(4.3) \quad I(r) \cdot r^{\lambda - \varepsilon - 1} < I^{(s)}(r) < I(r) \cdot r^{\varrho + \varepsilon - 1}.$$

The following are direct consequence of (4.3) and the inequality

$$I^{(s-1)}(r) \cdot r^{\lambda - \varepsilon - 1} < I^{(s)}(r) < I^{(s-1)}(r) \cdot r^{\varrho + \varepsilon - 1},$$

for $r > r_0$.

(i) If $0 \leq \varrho < \infty$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log I^{(s)}(r)}{N(r) \cdot \log r} \leq 1.$$

(ii) If $\lambda > 1$, $I(r)$, $I^{(1)}(r)$, ... form an increasing sequence for $r > r_0$.

(iii) If $\varrho < 1$, $I(r)$, $I^{(1)}(r)$, ... form a decreasing sequence for $r > r_0$.

(iv) If $\lambda > 1$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I^{(s)}(r)}{r^p \cdot I(r)} = \infty,$$

provided $p < s \cdot (\lambda - 1)$.

(v) If $\varrho < 1$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ r^p \frac{I^{(s)}(r)}{I(r)} \right\} = 0,$$

provided $p < s \cdot (1 - \varrho)$.

Results (ii) and (iii) can be improved upon by a change of argument.

Theorem 4. If $\lambda = 1$ and $\varrho > 1$, $I(r)$, $I^{(1)}(r)$, ... form an increasing sequence for all values of $r > r_0 > 1$.

Proof: From (4.3) we can write

$$I^{(s)}(r) = I^{(s-1)}(r) \cdot r^{\lambda - 1 + \theta \cdot (\varrho - \lambda) + \epsilon'} = I^{(s-1)}(r) \cdot r^{\theta \cdot (\varrho - 1) + \epsilon'} > I^{(s-1)}(r),$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$. Hence the result follows by giving s the values 1, 2, 3,

Theorem 5. If $\varrho = 1$ and $\lambda < 1$, $I(r)$, $I^{(1)}(r)$, ... form a decreasing sequence for all values of $r > r_0 > 1$.

Proof: If we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4, we have

$$I^{(s)}(r) = I^{(s-1)}(r) \cdot r^{\lambda - 1 - \theta + \epsilon'}$$

and from this the result easily follows.

Theorem 6. If $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\varrho \neq 1$, for $r > r_0$,

$$I^{(s)}(r) > I(r) \cdot [\{\log I(r)\}/(r \cdot \log r)]^s.$$

Proof is similar to ([1], p. 79).

Section II.

We define *logarithmic mean value* of an integral function $f(z)$ as

$$I_t(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Obviously $I_t(r)$ is a non-decreasing function of r .

Let

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_t(r)}{r^\varrho} = \begin{cases} T & , \\ t & , \end{cases} \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r^\varrho} = \begin{cases} \gamma & , \\ \delta & , \end{cases}$$

where $n(r)$ is the number of zeros of $f(z)$ within and on the circle $|z| = r$.

We prove the following

$$(i) \quad \varrho \leq \frac{\gamma}{e} e^{\delta/\gamma} \leq \varrho T \leq \gamma,$$

$$(ii) \quad \delta \leq \varrho t \leq \delta \cdot \{1 + \log(\gamma/\delta)\} \leq \gamma.$$

Proof (1).

From JENSEN's formula, we can write,

$$I_t(r) = I_t(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(x)}{x} dx.$$

Hence, we have

$$I_t(rK^{1/\varrho}) = A_1 + \left(\int_{r_0}^r + \int_r^{rK^{1/\varrho}} \right) \frac{n(x)}{x} dx \geq A_2 + \frac{(\delta - \varepsilon)r^\varrho}{\varrho} + \frac{n(r) \cdot \log K}{\varrho},$$

therefore,

$$\frac{K \cdot I_t(rK^{1/\varrho})}{K r^\varrho} \geq A_2 r^{-\varrho} + \frac{\delta - \varepsilon}{\varrho} + \frac{n(r) \cdot \log K}{\varrho \cdot r^\varrho}$$

and hence

$$Kt \geq \frac{\delta + \delta \cdot \log K}{\varrho}, \quad KT \geq \frac{\delta + \gamma \cdot \log K}{\varrho}.$$

(1) The method of proof is similar to that of SHAH ([2], p. 221).

Taking $K = 1$ in the first inequality and $\log K = (\gamma - \delta)/\gamma$ in the second, we get

$$t \geq \delta/\varrho, \quad \varrho Te \geq \gamma e^{\delta/\gamma} \geq e\delta.$$

Further,

$$I_t(rK^{1/\varrho}) \leq A_3 + \frac{\gamma + \varepsilon}{\varrho} r^\varrho + \frac{n(rK^{1/\varrho})}{\varrho} \log K.$$

Hence

$$KT \leq \frac{\gamma + \gamma K \cdot \log K}{\varrho}, \quad Kt \leq \frac{\gamma + \delta K \cdot \log K}{\varrho}.$$

Putting $K = 1$ in the first inequality and $K = \gamma/\delta$ in the second, we get

$$T \leq \gamma/\varrho, \quad \varrho t \leq \delta \{ 1 + \log(\gamma/\delta) \} \leq \gamma.$$

I am grateful to Dr. S. K. Bose for suggesting the problem and his guidance in the preparation of this paper.

References.

- [1] BOSE, S. K.: *Journ. Indian Math. Soc.* **10** (1946), 77-80.
- [2] SHAH, S. M.: *The maximum term of an entire series (III)*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. **19** (1948), 220-223.
- [3] TITCHMARSH, E. C.: **The Theory of Functions**, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1939.

