

L. C. YOUNG (*)

Partial Area.

PART III: Symmetrization and the Isoperimetric
and Least Area Problems. (**)

13. - Let $n = p + 1$, $m = p$; in the notation of the previous parts $q = 1$ and the p -dimensional horizontal, and one-dimensional vertical, projections of a point $x \in [n]$ will again be y, z . The terms length and graph will be used for dimensions $< p$, usually for the dimension $p - 1$, while area and hypersurface are reserved for the dimension $p = m$, and volume and solid for $p + 1 = n$. When the dimension k is kept arbitrary, we shall substitute on occasion the neutral terms k -measure and k -variety. We note the following corollary of (9.1) of Part II:

(13.1) *A closed generalized solid is always singular.*

We term *adjoint* L^- of a generalized variety L , the generalized variety derived by reversing the orientation, i.e. defined by $L^-(f) = L(f^-)$, where $f^-(x, J) = f(x, -J)$.

Given a closed generalized $(k - 1)$ -variety Γ , we define a generalized k -variety L , termed *cone of Γ with vertex x_0* , or simply cone of Γ , as follows: we associate to any k -integrand f the $(k - 1)$ -integrand

$$g(x, J) = \int_0^1 f(x_0 + t(x - x_0), (x - x_0) \times J) t^{k-1} dt,$$

(*) Address: Mathematics Research Center, U. S. Army, University of Wisconsin, Madison 6, Wisconsin, U.S.A.

(**) Cf. Part. I: Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 10 (1959), 103-113; Part. II: *Contours on hypersurfaces*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 10 (1959), 171-182.

where $x \in [n]$, $J \in [n]^{k-1}$, and we define L by writing $L(f) = \Gamma(g)$. A simple calculation with corresponding exterior differential forms shows that we have $f = Dg$ whenever f is exact, and hence that *the cone of Γ is bounded by Γ* .

Again, if Γ is any generalized $(k-1)$ -variety situated in $[p]$, we define a generalized k -variety L in $[n]$, termed *cylinder on Γ between the levels z_1 and z_2* , or simply *cylinder on Γ* , as follows: we associate to any k -integrand f in $[n]$, the $(k-1)$ -integrand g in $[p]$ obtained by writing, for $y \in [p]$ and $J_p \in [p]^{k-1}$,

$$g(y, J_p) = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} f[(y, z), \zeta \times J_p] dz$$

where ζ is the vertical unit vector; and we define L by writing $L(f) = \Gamma(g)$. We shall only be concerned with the case in which Γ is bounded by a generalized $(k-2)$ -variety Γ^* and a simple calculation then shows that L is bounded by the sum of the corresponding cylinder on Γ^* and two horizontal generalized $(k-1)$ -varieties consisting of the translate of Γ in the hyperplane $z = z_1$ and that of its adjoint in the hyperplane $z = z_2$.

We term *minimal* generalized k -variety in a given class, one of smallest k -measure in that class. The minimum is attained if the class is closed and not empty, provided that the k -measures are not increased by restricting the varieties to be situated in some fixed ball. In particular a minimal generalized k -variety with given boundary, or bounded by a given closed $(k-1)$ -variety, exists.

14. — We come to a lemma which will be the main tool of this part. Let Q_0 be in $[n]$ a horizontal strip of height h_0 and let Q be any horizontal substrip $z_1 \leq z \leq z_2$ whose height $z_2 - z_1$ we denote by h . Let L and Σ denote respectively a closed generalized hypersurface situated in Q_0 and a minimal generalized solid bounded by L , let L_0, Σ_0 be their intersections with Q and let V, V_0, A, A_0 denote the volumes of Σ, Σ_0 and the areas of L, L_0 . We denote further by $s(z)$, or simply by s , the length of the slice $s_z L$, and by $\sigma(z)$, or simply by σ , the area of a minimal generalized hypersurface with the same boundary as L truncated below the level z .

(14.1) For almost any z , the slice $s_z \Sigma$ is a minimal generalized hypersurface of area $\sigma(z)$ bounded by $s_z L$ and we have

$$(i) \quad V \leq K h_0 A, \quad (ii) \quad V_0 = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \sigma dz, \quad (iii) \quad A \geq \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \sqrt{s^2 dz^2 + d\sigma^2},$$

where this last integral is in the sense of Burkill and where K denotes a certain constant factor depending only on n .

Proof. We first deal with (iii). We denote for $z = z_1$, by L_1 the (or a), minimal generalized hypersurface whose area was defined to be $\sigma(z_1)$, and we observe that the corresponding class for $z = z_2$ for which the minimum of the area was defined to be $\sigma(z_2)$ includes the generalized hypersurface $L_1 + P L_Q$, where $P L_Q$ is the horizontal projection of L_Q . Hence $\sigma(z_2) \leq \sigma(z_1) + A(P L_Q)$, and by combining this with the inequality derived by symmetry

$$A(P L_Q) \geq | \sigma(z_2) - \sigma(z_1) |.$$

Further, by (10.2) of Part II,

$$a(L_Q) \geq \int_{z_1}^{z_2} s \, dz.$$

Moreover, since $|J| \geq |j| \cdot \cos \alpha + |J_p| \cdot \sin \alpha$ for all α , we evidently have $A(L_Q) \geq a(L_Q) \cdot \cos \alpha + A(P L_Q) \cdot \sin \alpha$, and by choice of α ,

$$A_Q = A(L_Q) \geq \{ a(L_Q)^2 + A(P L_Q)^2 \}^{1/2}.$$

Substituting from the previous inequalities this leads to

$$A_Q \geq \left\{ \left(\int_{z_1}^{z_2} s \, dz \right)^2 + [\sigma(z_2) - \sigma(z_1)]^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

and since this inequality holds for every Q , we deduce (iii).

We next reduce the remaining assertions to (i) which we therefore assume while doing so. We consider in the first instance the substrip Q for almost any z_1 , in which case $s_z L$ may be taken to be, for $z = z_1$, a closed generalized graph Γ_1 such that L_1 is bounded by Γ_1 . We denote by \sum_1 the cylinder on L_1 between the levels z_1 and z_2 , and by \sum_2 a minimal generalized solid bounded by the closed generalized hypersurface consisting of the sum of the following three terms:

L_Q ; the adjoint of the cylinder on Γ_1 ; the translate in $z = z_2$ of the adjoint of $P L_Q$.

For fixed z_1 , the area of each of these three terms tends to 0 with h , and therefore by (i) the volume of \sum_2 is $< \varepsilon h$ where $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Also by the p -dimensional analogue of (13.1), \sum_Q and $\sum_1 + \sum_2$ have the same boundary, so that, from the minimal property, V_Q cannot exceed the sum of the volumes of \sum_1 and \sum_2 . Since V_Q is by (10.3) the integral from z_1 to z_2 of the area of $s_z \sum_1$, it follows that for almost every z_1 , by dividing by h and making $h \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma(z_1)$

coincides with the area of $s_z \Sigma$ for $z = z_1$. Hence $\sigma(z)$ is the area of $s_z \Sigma$ for almost every z and this establishes (ii) for an arbitrary Q . It is clear also that $s_z \Sigma$ is, for almost every z , a minimal generalized hypersurface bounded by $s_z L$, since it is bounded by the latter and has the minimal area $\sigma(z)$.

It remains to establish (i), which we rename $(i)_n$ where the suffix denotes the dimension, and we shall do this by induction, in conjunction with the following statement, to which we shall refer as $(iv)_n$:

Let Δ denote the part of $[n]$ obtained by restricting certain horizontal coordinates y_k to inequalities $a_k \leq y_k \leq a_k + h_0$ and let L be a closed generalized hypersurface of area A situated in Δ . Then, for some subdivision of the z -axis into intervals δ_ν of length h_0 , there exists for each ν a closed generalized surface L_ν , situated in the part Δ_ν of Δ for which $z \in \delta_\nu$, with the following properties:

- (a) $L_\nu = 0$ except for a finite number of values of ν ;
- (b) The sum of the areas of the L_ν does not exceed a constant multiple of A ,
- (c) The sum of the L_ν may be written in the form $L + L^*$, where L^* is singular.

15. - We proceed with our proof. From $(iv)_n$, by an easy induction combined with suitable rotations of axes, we deduce that with the hypotheses of (14.1) there exists a finite sum of closed generalized hypersurfaces L' , where this sum differs from L only by a singular generalized hypersurface, such that:

- Each L' is situated in a cube of side h_0 .
- The sum of the areas of the L' does not exceed some constant multiple of A .

But this in turn implies $(i)_n$ since we construct a generalized solid of volume $\leq K h_0 A$ bounded by L , simply by adding together cones on the L' with appropriate vertices.

Thus $(iv)_n$ implies $(i)_n$.

We verify next that $(i)_{n-1}$ implies $(iv)_n$. The statement of $(i)_{n-1}$ will be in terms of lengths and graphs instead of areas and hypersurfaces, since the dimension is lowered.

To this effect, with the hypotheses of $(iv)_n$, let $s(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq h_0$, be the sum of the lengths of the slices $s_z L$ at the levels $z = t + \nu h_0$ for $\nu = 0, \pm 1, \dots$.

We note that this sum has only a finite number of non-vanishing terms, since L has to be situated in some ball of $[n]$. By (10.2)

$$A \geq \int_0^{h_0} s(t) dt$$

and therefore there exists a value of t for which $s(t) \leq A/h_0$. Such values of t occupy positive measure, and we choose one for which the numbers $z_\nu = t + \nu h$ ($\nu = 0, \pm 1, \dots$) are never among the sparse levels of the formula (10.1) of Part II.

We denote by δ_ν the interval $z_{\nu-1} \leq z \leq z_\nu$, so that Δ_ν is the corresponding part of Δ , and by Γ_ν, Γ_ν^- the slice $s_z L$ and its adjoint, when $z = z_\nu$. By (i) $_{n-1}$ there exists a generalized hyperurface L_ν , bounded by Γ_ν , whose area does not exceed a constant multiple of the product of h_0 and the length of Γ_ν . We denote by L_ν^- the adjoint of L_ν , bounded by Γ_ν^- , and we write L' (dropping the suffix) for the sum of

$$L_{\nu-1}; L_\nu^-; \quad \text{the intersection of } L \text{ with } \Delta_\nu.$$

Evidently L' is a closed generalized surface situated in Δ_ν and the sum of the areas of the L' (for varying ν) is $\leq K h_0 s(t) \leq KA$. Further the sum of the L' consists, by definition, of L together with the sum of singular generalized surfaces $L_\nu + L_\nu^-$. This establishes (iv) $_n$ as a consequence of (i) $_{n-1}$.

To complete the induction it now only remains to verify (i) $_n$ in the case $n = 1$. This is easily done with the appropriate definitions ⁽¹⁾ of k -variety, etc., when $k = 0$, so that the proof of (14.1) is now complete.

16. - We now consider briefly the *isoperimetric property of the n -dimensional ball*. We denote by Θ the volume of the unit ball in $[n]$ and by θ that of the unit ball in $[m]$. We write H for the class of generalized hypersurfaces which possess Lipschitzian tracks.

⁽¹⁾ A k -integrand, for $k = 0$, is a function $f(x, j)$ where j takes only the two values ± 1 , so that there is no longer any homogeneity in j . From well-known theorem of BANACH it is easy to obtain the general form of a k -variety when $k=0$. In particular we find that a closed k -variety for $k = 0$ is a finite sum $\sum a_\nu \{f(x_\nu, 1) + f(x'_\nu, -1)\}$, where the a_ν are ≥ 0 and the x_ν, x'_ν are points.

(16.1) Let A be the area of a closed generalized hypersurface $L \in H$ and let V be the volume of a minimal generalized solid bounded by L . Then

$$\left\{ \frac{A}{n\Theta} \right\}^n \geq \left\{ \frac{V}{\Theta} \right\}^{n-1}.$$

We prove this by induction, the case $n = 1$ being easily verified. With the notation of (14.1) the inductive hypothesis ensures that, for almost every level z ,

$$\{s/(m\theta)\}^m \geq \{\sigma/\theta\}^{m-1}.$$

Writing $\sigma = \theta u^m$, it follows that $s \geq m\theta u^{m-1}$ so that (ii) and (iii) of (14.1) yield

$$V = \theta \cdot \int u^m dz, \quad A \geq m\theta \cdot \int u^{m-1} \sqrt{dz^2 + du^2}.$$

Here the two right-hand members are, however, the volume and area of the solid and the hypersurface derived from the curve $u = u(z)$ by revolution about the axis of z in n -space. The corresponding minimum problem being well known, we deduce the validity of our assertion for n , and so the truth of our theorem. The argument used is the well-known symmetrization process of SCHWARZ.

17. - Finally we come to our *application to the least area problem*. Let L be a generalized hypersurface in H with the boundary $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are separated by a strip $z_1 < z < z_2 (= z_1 + h)$, that is to say Γ_1 is situated in the half-space $z \leq z_1$ and Γ_2 in the half-space $z \geq z_2$. We denote by A_1 and A_2 the least areas of two generalized hypersurfaces, bounded respectively by Γ_1 and Γ_2 ; and we write $A^*(A_1, A_2, h)$, or simply A^* , for the least area of a hypersurface of *revolution*, whose boundary is the sum of those of two m -dimensional balls of areas A_1, A_2 at a distance h apart. The determination of A^* in terms of A_1, A_2, h is an elementary variational problem, and in particular so is that of formulating the conditions under which $A^* = A_1 + A_2$. We observe that the function A^* , as an immediate consequence of its definition as a minimum, is subject to an inequality of the form

$$A^*(A_1, A_2, h) \leq A^*(B_1, B_2, h) + |A_1 - B_1| + |A_2 - B_2|.$$

We shall prove that

(17.1) *The area of L is at least A^* .*

In particular if $A^* = A_1 + A_2$ we necessarily have a similar degeneracy in the least area problem for the boundary $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$.

Proof of (17.1). Let Q be the strip $z_1 \leq z \leq z_2$ and let A_Q be the area of the intersection of L with Q . We shall apply (14.1) to the closed generalized hypersurface obtained by adding to L a minimal hypersurface bounded by Γ_1^- and a minimal hypersurface bounded by Γ_2^- , where Γ_1^- and Γ_2^- are the adjoints of Γ_1 and Γ_2 . We find that

$$A_Q \geq \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \sqrt{s^2 dz^2 + d\sigma^2}$$

and we again substitute $\sigma = \theta u^m$, so that, by the isoperimetric inequality, $s \geq m\theta u^{m-1}$ and therefore

$$A_Q \geq m\theta \int_{z_1}^{z_2} u^{m-1} \sqrt{dz^2 + du^2}.$$

It follows that $A_Q \geq A^*(B_1, B_2, h)$, where B_1, B_2 are the values of θu^m for $z = z_1$ and for $z = z_2$, i.e. the corresponding values of $\sigma(z_1), \sigma(z_2)$.

Now if A_- and A_+ are the areas of the intersection of L with the half spaces $z < z_1$ and $z > z_2$ we evidently have

$$B_1 + A_- \geq A_1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 + A_+ \geq B_1,$$

i.e. $A_- \geq |A_1 - B_1|$. Similarly $A_+ \geq |A_2 - B_2|$. Since the area of L is $A_- + A_Q + A_+$, we find that it is

$$\geq A^*(B_1, B_2, h) + |A_1 - B_1| + |A_2 - B_2| \geq A^*(A_1, A_2, h),$$

which completes the proof.

References for Part III.

- [1] W. H. FLEMING and L. C. YOUNG, *Representations of generalized surfaces as mixtures*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 5 (1956), 117-144.
- [2] W. H. FLEMING and L. C. YOUNG, *Generalized surfaces with prescribed elementary boundaries*, Red. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 6 (1957), 320-340.

