

E. W. CHENEY and A. SHARMA (\*)

## On a Generalization of Bernstein Polynomials. (\*\*)

### § 1. - Introduction.

A recent theorem of KOROVKIN [1] gives a necessary and sufficient condition that a sequence of nonnegative linear operators  $(^1) L_n$  defined in  $C[a, b]$  have the property that  $L_n f \rightarrow f$  uniformly for all  $f \in C[a, b]$ . The condition is simply that  $L_n f \rightarrow f$  for the particular functions  $f(x) = 1, x,$  and  $x^2$ . The proof is an adaptation of BERNSTEIN'S proof that the BERNSTEIN polynomials

$$(1) \quad (B_n f)(x) := \sum_{\nu=0}^n f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \binom{n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu}$$

converge uniformly to  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ . KOROVKIN'S theorem explains why the operators  $B_n$  defined by (1) originate in the identity

$$(2) \quad 1 = [x + (1-x)]^n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu},$$

and indeed why all generalizations of the BERNSTEIN polynomials seem to be based on some identity such as this. See for example KAC [2], MEYER-KÖNIG and ZELLER [3], SZÁSZ [4], LORENTZ [5].

---

(\*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago 37, Illinois, U. S. A..

(\*\*) Ricevuto il 28-VI-1963.

The preparation of this paper was sponsored by the Office of Naval Research and by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research under contract AF-AFOSR-77-63.

(<sup>1</sup>) An operator  $L$  is nonnegative if  $f(x) \geq 0$  (for all  $x$ ) implies  $(Lf)(x) \geq 0$  (for all  $x$ ).

The object of this Note is to draw attention to an interesting generalization of the binomial theorem due to JENSEN [6], and to show then that a generalization of the BERNSTEIN polynomials may be based upon it. JENSEN's formula is

$$(3) \quad (x + y + n\beta)^n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} x(x + \nu\beta)^{\nu-1} [y + (n - \nu)\beta]^{n-\nu}.$$

The proof of (3) starts with LAGRANGE'S formula

$$\frac{\Phi(z)}{1 - \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu!} \frac{d^{\nu}}{dz^{\nu}} [(f(z))^{\nu} \Phi(z)] \left[ \frac{z}{f(z)} \right]^{\nu}$$

and proceeds by setting  $\Phi(z) = e^{xz}$  and  $f(z) = e^{\beta z}$ .

## § 2. - The operators and their convergence.

In analogy with the BERNSTEIN polynomials we set  $y = 1 - x$  in (3) to obtain the following extension of (2)

$$(4) \quad 1 = (1 + n\beta)^{-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} x(x + \nu\beta)^{\nu-1} [1 - x + (n - \nu)\beta]^{n-\nu}.$$

The desired generalization of the BERNSTEIN polynomials is, then, in analogy with (1)

$$(5) \quad (P_n f)(x) := (1 + n\beta)^{-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \binom{n}{\nu} x(x + \nu\beta)^{\nu-1} [1 - x + (n - \nu)\beta]^{n-\nu}.$$

It is clear that the BERNSTEIN polynomials form a special case of (5) obtained by setting  $\beta = 0$ . The problem we propose to solve is the following: for what values of  $\beta$  will the operators  $P_n$  have the property that  $P_n f \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$  ?

**Theorem 1.** *If  $0 \leq \beta = o(n^{-1})$  then  $P_n f \rightarrow f$  (uniformly) for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$ .*

The proof can be made to depend on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *The functions*

$$S(k, n, x, y) := \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} (x + \nu\beta)^{\nu+k-1} [y + (n-\nu)\beta]^{n-\nu}$$

*satisfy the reduction formula*

$$S(k, n, x, y) = x S(k-1, n, x, y) + n\beta S(k, n-1, x + \beta, y).$$

The proof of the Lemma is a straightforward calculation and is therefore omitted. By repeated use of the reduction formula, noting from (3) that  $x S(0, n, x, y) = (x + y + n\beta)^n$ , we may show that

$$S(1, n, x, y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \nu! \beta^\nu (x + y + n\beta)^{n-\nu}.$$

Replacing  $\nu!$  in this last expression by  $\int_0^\infty t^\nu e^{-t} dt$  and using the binomial theorem

we obtain

$$(6) \quad S(1, n, x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (x + y + n\beta + t\beta)^n dt.$$

In a similar manner we may reduce  $S(2, n, x, y)$  to the following

$$S(2, n, x, y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (x + \nu\beta) \binom{n}{\nu} \nu! \beta^\nu S(1, n-\nu, x + \nu\beta, y)$$

and thence to

$$(7) \quad S(2, n, x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt \int_0^\infty e^{-s} ds [x(x + y + n\beta + t\beta + s\beta)^n + n\beta^2 s(x + y + n\beta + t\beta + s\beta)^{n-1}].$$

These formulas will be of use presently. In order to prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient, by KOROVKIN's result, to verify that the operator  $P_n$  is nonnegative and that  $P_n f \rightarrow f$  for  $f(x) = 1, x,$  and  $x^2$ . From the definition it is clear that  $P_n$  is nonnegative when  $\beta \geq 0$ . It is also clear from (4) that  $P_n 1 = 1$ .

Going on to  $f(t) = t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_n t)(x) &= (1 + n\beta)^{-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{\nu}{n} \binom{n}{\nu} x(x + \nu\beta)^{\nu-1} [1 - x + (n - \nu)\beta]^{n-\nu} = \\ &= (1 + n\beta)^{-n} x \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{\nu} (x + \beta + \nu\beta)^\nu [1 - x + (n-1-\nu)\beta]^{n-1-\nu} = \\ &= (1 + n\beta)^{-n} x S(1, n-1, x + \beta, 1 - x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) this last expression becomes

$$x(1 + n\beta)^{-n} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (1 + n\beta + t\beta)^{n-1} dt = \frac{x}{1 + n\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left(1 + \frac{t\beta}{1 + n\beta}\right)^{n-1} dt = : A_n x.$$

To show that  $A_n$  tends to 1, we make the change of variable  $u = t\beta/(1 + n\beta)$  to get

$$A_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (1 + u)^n du.$$

Using the estimate

$$(8) \quad e^{nu}(1 - nu^2) \leq (1 + u)^n \leq e^{nu},$$

we have

$$\beta^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} e^{nu} (1 - nu^2) du \leq A_{n+1} \leq \beta^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} e^{nu} du.$$

Since  $-t + nu = -u/\beta$ , the upper bound on  $A_{n+1}$  is 1 while the lower bound is  $1 - 2n\beta^2$ . Hence, if  $\beta = o(u^{-1})$  then  $A_n \rightarrow 1$ .

Proceeding to the function  $f(t) = t^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_n t^2)(x) &= (1 + n\beta)^{-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\nu^2}{n^2} \binom{n}{\nu} x(x + \nu\beta)^{\nu-1} [1 - x + (n - \nu)\beta]^{n-\nu} = \\ &= (1 + n\beta)^{-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left[ \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{n(n-1)} + \frac{\nu}{n^2} \right] \binom{n}{\nu} x(x + \nu\beta)^{\nu-1} [1 - x + (n - \nu)\beta]^{n-\nu} = \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n} (1 + n\beta)^{-n} x S(2, n-2, x + 2\beta, 1 - x) + \frac{1}{n} (P_n t)(x). \end{aligned}$$

From the earlier work,  $\frac{1}{n} (P_n t)(x) \rightarrow 0$ . The other term can be written with the aid of (7) as

$$(9) \quad \frac{n-1}{n} (1+n\beta)^{-n} x(x+2\beta) \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt \int_0^\infty e^{-s} (1+n\beta+t\beta+s\beta)^n ds + \\ + (n-1)(1+n\beta)^{-n} x\beta^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt \int_0^\infty s e^{-s} (1+n\beta+t\beta+s\beta)^{n-1} ds .$$

The second term of (9) is positive and may be bounded above using (8) by

$$\frac{x(n-1)\beta^2}{1+n\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt \int_0^\infty s e^{-s} \exp \left[ \frac{t\beta+s\beta}{1+n\beta} (n-1) \right] ds = \frac{x(n-1)\beta^2}{1+n\beta} \left( \frac{1+n\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^4 ,$$

which tends uniformly to zero if  $\beta = o(n^{-1})$ . The first term of (9) can be confined by (8) to an interval

$$\frac{n-1}{n} (1+n\beta)^2 x(x+2\beta)(1-6n\beta^2) < z < \frac{n-1}{n} x(x+2\beta)(1+n\beta)^2 .$$

Thus if  $\beta = o(n^{-1})$  this term tends uniformly to  $x^2$ .

### § 3. - Other polynomial operators.

Another generalization of BERNSTEIN polynomials can be obtained from another formula of JENSEN [6],

$$(x+y)(x+y+n\beta)^{n-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} x(x+\nu\beta)^{\nu-1} y[y+(n-\nu)\beta]^{n-\nu-1} .$$

The corresponding operators  $Q_n$  are now defined by the equation

$$(Q_n f)(x) := (1+n\beta)^{1-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) x(x+\nu\beta)^{\nu-1} (1-x)[1-x+(n-\nu)\beta]^{n-\nu-1} .$$

It is clear that  $Q_n 1 = 1$ . Taking  $f(t) = t$  we find that

$$(Q_n t)(x) = x(1 + n\beta)^{1-n} [S(1, n-1, x + \beta, 1-x) - \beta(n-1) S(1, n-2, x + \beta, 1-x + \beta)].$$

From the integral representation of  $S$ , formula (6), we see that  $(Q_n t)(x) \rightarrow x$  uniformly if  $\beta = o(n^{-1})$ . Taking  $f(t) = t^2$  we find that

$$(Q_n t^2)(x) = x(1 + n\beta)^{1-n} [S(2, n-2, x + 2\beta, 1-x) - (n-2)\beta S(2, n-3, x + 2\beta, 1-x + \beta)],$$

and again from the earlier work, this tends uniformly to  $x^2$  if  $\beta = o(n^{-1})$ .

#### § 4. - Further properties of the operators.

For the operators  $P_n$  of equation (5) it is possible to establish a generalization of VORONOWSKAJA's result about BERNSTEIN polynomials.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f$  is bounded in  $[0, 1]$  and possesses a second derivative at a point  $x$ , and if  $\beta n^2 \rightarrow c$  then*

$$n[(P_n f)(x) - f(x)] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} f''(x)[x - x^2 + 2cx^2].$$

The proof proceeds from the equation

$$f\left(\frac{v}{n}\right) - f(x) = \left(\frac{v}{n} - x\right) f'(x) + \left(\frac{v}{n} - x\right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} f''(x) + \theta \left(\frac{v}{n} - x\right) \right],$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n[(P_n f)(x) - f(x)] &= n f'(x)[(P_n t)(x) - x] + \frac{n}{2} f''(x) [(P_n t^2)(x) - 2x(P_n t)(x) + x^2] + \\ &+ n(1 + n\beta)^{-n} \sum_{v=0}^n \left(\frac{v}{n} - x\right)^2 \theta \left(\frac{v}{n} - x\right) \binom{n}{v} (x + n\beta)^{v-1} [1 - x + (n-v)\beta]^{n-v}. \end{aligned}$$

From earlier estimates, we know that  $n[(P_n t)(x) - x] \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\beta n^2 \rightarrow c$  we can

show from the earlier work that

$$n[(P_n t^2)(x) - 2x(P_n t)(x) + x^2] \rightarrow x - x^2 + cx^2.$$

The last term goes to zero by an argument similar to that given in [5, p. 22].

A result of KANTOROVITCH on BERNSTEIN polynomials can also be proved for the operators  $P_n$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f(z)$  is analytic in the interior of an ellipse  $E$  with foci 0, 1 and if  $0 \leq \beta = o(n^{-1})$ , then  $(P_n f)(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  uniformly in any closed set interior to  $E$ .*

The proof will be exactly the same as in [5, p. 90] after establishing the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$ , then so is  $P_n f$ , for all  $n$ .*

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on  $k$ . If  $f$  is of degree  $\leq 0$ , the lemma is true because  $P_n 0 = 0$  and  $P_n 1 = 1$ . Now assume the lemma for polynomials of degree  $\leq k-1$ . Since  $P_n$  is a linear operator it will be enough if we show that  $P_n f$  is of degree  $\leq k$  for the particular function

$$f(t) = t \left( t - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( t - \frac{2}{n} \right) \dots \left( t - \frac{k-1}{n} \right).$$

Computing in a straightforward way we find that

$$(P_n f)(x) = x \frac{n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)}{n^k} (1+n\beta)^{-n} S(k, n-k, x+k\beta, 1-x).$$

The proof will be complete if we can show that  $S(k, n, x+a, 1-x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k-1$  for all  $n$ . That this is the case may be proved by induction on  $k$ . For  $k=1$ , equation (6) shows at once that  $S(1, n, x+a, 1-x)$  is a constant. If our assertion is true for  $S(k, n, x+a, 1-x)$  then we apply the reduction formula of Lemma 1 to  $S(k+1, n, x+a, 1-x)$  eventually obtaining a sum of terms of the form

$$(A_v x + B_v) S(k, n-v, x+a+v\beta, 1-x)$$

each of which, by the induction hypothesis, is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$ .

**References.**

- [1] P. P. KOROVKIN, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, translated from Russian edition of 1959, Delhi 1960.
- [2] M. KAC, *Une remarque sur les polynômes de M. S. Bernstein*, *Studia Math.* **7** (1938), 49-51; also **8** (1939), 170.
- [3] W. MEYER-KONIG and K. ZELLER, *Bernsteinsche Potenzreihen*, *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), 89-94.
- [4] OTTO SZÁSZ, *Generalization of S. Bernstein's polynomials to the infinite interval*, *J. of Research of the Nat. Bur. of Standards* **45** (1950), 239-245; *Collected Mathematical Works*, Cincinnati 1955, 1401-1407.
- [5] G. G. LORENTZ, *Bernstein Polynomials*, Toronto 1953.
- [6] J. L. W. V. JENSEN, *Sur une identité, d'Abel et sur d'autres formules analogues*, *Acta Math* **26** (1902), 307-318.

\* \* \*