

EMANUEL G. CALYS (\*)

**A Note on the Order and Type of Integral Functions. (\*\*)**

1. - In this Note we propose to comment on the following Theorem 1 of [1] and on Theorem 2 of [2].

**Theorem 1** [1]. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of the same order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ), and types  $T_1$  ( $0 < T_1 < \infty$ ) and  $T_2$  ( $0 < T_2 < \infty$ ) respectively and  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|$ , then  $f(z)$  is an integral function of order  $\rho$  and type  $T$  such that  $T \leq \sqrt{T_1 T_2}$ .

**Theorem 2** [2]. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of regular growth and of finite orders  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  respectively, then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log(1/|c_n|) \sim \sqrt{\log(1/|a_n|) \log(1/|b_n|)}$ , is an integral function of regular growth and order  $\rho$ , such that  $\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2} = \rho$ .

As pointed out by a reviewer [Math. Rev. 25 (1963), 2204, 2206] these theorems are not correct. We prove here a corrected version of these theorems.

We state the following lemma [5] without proof.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $L(x)$  be a positive and continuous function such that  $L(kx) \sim L(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $k$  is a constant ( $0 < k < \infty$ ). Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $x^{-\varepsilon} L(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of the same order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ), and types  $T_1$  ( $0 < T_1 < \infty$ ) and  $T_2$  ( $0 < T_2 < \infty$ )

(\*) Ricevuto il 18-XI-1963.

(\*\*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, U.S.A..

respectively, such that  $|b_n| \geq |a_n|/L(1/|a_n|)$  for  $n > n_0$  and whenever  $a_n \neq 0$ , and  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|$ , then  $f(z)$  is an integral function of order  $\rho$  and type  $T$  such that  $T \leq \sqrt{T_1 T_2}$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of regular growth and finite orders  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  respectively such that  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|, |b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log(1/|c_n|) \sim \sqrt{\log(1/|a_n|) \log(1/|b_n|)}$ , is an integral functions of regular growth and order  $\rho = \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}$ .

2. - It is known [3, pp. 9, 11] that  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  is an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < \infty$ ), if and only if,

$$(2.1) \quad \mu = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log(1/|c_n|)}$$

is finite and then the order  $\rho$  of  $f(z)$  is equal to  $\mu$ .

Let  $f(z)$  be of order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) and define

$$(2.2) \quad \nu = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n |c_n|^{e/n}).$$

If  $0 < \nu < \infty$  the function  $f(z)$  is of order  $\rho$  and type  $T$  if and only if  $\nu = eT\rho$ .

**Lemma 2.** If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of the same order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) such that  $|b_n| \geq |a_n|/L(1/|a_n|)$  for  $n > n_0$  and whenever  $a_n \neq 0$ , where  $L(x)$  is as in Lemma 1, and  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{b_n a_n}|$ , then  $f(z)$  is an integral function of order  $\rho$ .

**Proof:** Using (2.1) we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$|a_n| < n^{-n/(\rho+\varepsilon)}, \quad n \geq n_1(\varepsilon),$$

$$|b_n| < n^{-n/(\rho+\varepsilon)}, \quad n \geq n_2(\varepsilon).$$

Hence, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$|a_n b_n| < n^{-2n/(\rho+\varepsilon)},$$

so that

$$|\sqrt{a_n b_n}| < n^{-n/(\varrho + \varepsilon)},$$

$$\log |\sqrt{a_n b_n}| < -n \log n / (\varrho + \varepsilon),$$

$$\frac{n \log n}{\log |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|^{-1}} < \varrho + \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|^{-1}} \leq \varrho$$

and, since  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{b_n a_n}|$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|c_n|)} \leq \varrho.$$

We now prove that

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|c_n|)} \geq \varrho.$$

By hypothesis,  $\varrho > 0$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|a_n|)} = \varrho$ .

Therefore, there exists a sequence of  $n = n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|a_n|)} = \varrho \quad \text{with } a_n \neq 0.$$

Moreover,  $|b_n| \geq \frac{|a_n|}{L(1/|a_n|)}$  for  $n = n_k, n_{k+1}, \dots$  ( $n_k > n_0$ ), and hence

$$|c_n|^2 = (1 + o(1)) |a_n b_n| \geq \frac{(1 + o(1)) |a_n|^2}{L(1/|a_n|)}$$

for  $n = n_k, n_{k+1}, \dots$

Hence, for these  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \log |c_n| &\geq 2 \log |a_n| - \log L(1/|a_n|) + o(1) \\ &= 2 \log |a_n| \left\{ 1 + \frac{\log L(1/|a_n|)}{2 \log (1/|a_n|)} \right\} + o(1) = 2 \{ 1 + o(1) \} \log |a_n| + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\log L(x)/\log x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , by Lemma 1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \log (1/|c_n|) &\leq \{ 1 + o(1) \} \log (1/|a_n|), \\ \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|c_n|)} &\geq \frac{n \log n}{\{ 1 + o(1) \} \log (1/|a_n|)} \rightarrow \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.4) which together with (2.3) gives

$$\varrho = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|c_n|)} = \varrho(f).$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By Lemma 2,  $\varrho(f) = \varrho(f_1) = \varrho(f_2) = \varrho$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\frac{n}{e\varrho} |a_n|^{\varrho/n} < T_1 + \varepsilon, \quad \frac{n}{e\varrho} |b_n|^{\varrho/n} > T_2 + \varepsilon,$$

by (2.2). Hence,  $\left(\frac{n}{e\varrho}\right)^2 |a_n|^{\varrho/n} |b_n|^{\varrho/n} < (T_1 + \varepsilon)(T_2 + \varepsilon)$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\frac{n}{e\varrho}\right) |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|^{\varrho/n} = \frac{n}{e\varrho} |a_n|^{\varrho/2n} |b_n|^{\varrho/2n} < \sqrt{(T_1 + \varepsilon)(T_2 + \varepsilon)}.$$

Since  $\varrho < \infty$ ,  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|$  implies  $|c_n|^{\varrho/n} \sim |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|^{\varrho/n}$ .

Therefore, since  $\varrho(f) = \varrho$ , from (1.1) we get

$$\frac{n}{e\varrho} |c_n|^{\varrho/n} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{n}{e\varrho} |\sqrt{a_n b_n}|^{\varrho/n} > \sqrt{(T_1 + \varepsilon)(T_2 + \varepsilon)} \{ 1 + o(1) \}$$

and hence  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ n |c_n|^{\varrho/n} \} = e\varrho T \leq e\varrho \sqrt{T_1 T_2}$  or  $T \leq \sqrt{T_1 T_2}$ .

Remark: The theorem holds if instead of

$|b_n| \geq \frac{|a_n|}{L(1/|a_n|)}$  for  $n > n_0$  whenever  $a_n \neq 0$ , we assume  $|a_n| \geq \frac{|b_n|}{L(1/|b_n|)}$  for  $n > n_1$  whenever  $b_n \neq 0$ .

3. - Proof of Theorem 2. Since  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$  and  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  are non decreasing for  $n > n_0$ , we have [4, Th. 2],

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|a_n|)} = \lambda_1,$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|b_n|)} = \lambda_2,$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the lower orders of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  respectively.

Moreover, since  $\lambda_1 = \rho_1$  and  $\lambda_2 = \rho_2$  by hypothesis,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|a_n|)} = \rho_1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|b_n|)} = \rho_2.$$

Since  $\log (1/|c_n|) \sim \sqrt{\log (1/|a_n|) \log (1/|b_n|)}$ , we have

$$\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\sqrt{\log (1/|a_n|) \log (1/|b_n|)}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|c_n|)} = \rho.$$

Hence [4: Theorem 1, Corollary 2]  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  is an integral function of regular growth and order  $\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}$ .

#### References.

1. R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA, *On the order and type of integral functions*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 10 (1959), 249-255.
2. S. N. SRIVASTAVA, *On the order and type of integral functions*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2) 2 (1961), 265-280.
3. R. P. BOAS, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press Inc., New York 1954.
4. S. M. SHAH, *On the lower order of integral functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 1046-1052.
5. G. H. HARDY and W. W. ROGOSINSKI, *Notes on Fourier series* (III), Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. 16 (1945), 49-58.

\* \* \*

