

R. K. SAXENA and K. C. GUPTA (*)

On Laplace Transform.

1. - Introduction. The object of the present Note is to establish two new properties of the LAPLACE transform and to evaluate certain infinite integrals by their applications.

The conventional notation $\Phi(p) \doteq h(t)$ will be used to denote the LAPLACE'S integral

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(p) = p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} h(t) dt,$$

provided that the integral is convergent and $\Re(p) > 0$.

The following results will be required in the sequel.

GOLDSTEIN [3, p. 105] has proved that if

$$\Phi_1(p) \doteq h_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_2(p) \doteq h_2(t)$$

then

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \Phi_1(t) h_2(t) t^{-1} dt = \int_0^{\infty} h_1(t) \Phi_2(t) t^{-1} dt$$

provided the integrals are convergent.

The operational relations [1, p. 238]

$$(1.3) \quad \Gamma(\lambda + \mu) p (p + \alpha)^{-\lambda} (p + \beta)^{-\mu} \doteq t^{\lambda + \mu - 1} e^{-\beta t} {}_1F_1[\mu; \lambda + \mu; (\beta - \alpha)t],$$

(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India.

where

$$\Re(\lambda + \mu) > 0, \quad \Re(a + p) > 0$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad -\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma p^{1-\sigma} G_{23}^{22} \left(p \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, 1 \\ \rho, -\sigma, \sigma \end{array} \right. \right) \doteq t^{\rho-1} [t^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2\sigma}$$

where $\Re(\rho) > 0$, $\Re(p) > 0$ will also be required.

2. - Theorem 1. *If*

$$\Phi(p) \doteq h(t)$$

and

$$\Psi(p) \doteq (t + \alpha)^{\lambda} (t + \beta)^{\mu} h(t),$$

then

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi(p) = \frac{p}{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda+\mu-1} e^{-\beta t} {}_1F_1[\mu; \lambda + \mu; (\beta - \alpha)t] (p + t)^{-1} \Psi(p + t) dt,$$

provided the Laplace transforms of $|h(t)|$ and $|(t + \alpha)^{\lambda} (t + \beta)^{\mu} h(t)|$ exist $\Re(\lambda + \mu) > 0$, $\Re(p) > 0$ and the integral is convergent.

Proof. We have, by hypothesis,

$$\Psi(p) \doteq (t + \alpha)^{\lambda} (t + \beta)^{\mu} h(t)$$

which can also be written as

$$(2.2) \quad p \frac{\Psi(p + a)}{p + a} \doteq e^{-at} (t + \alpha)^{\lambda} (t + \beta)^{\mu} h(t),$$

by virtue of a well-known property of (1.1).

Applying (1.2) to (1.3) and (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} e^{-at} h(t) dt = \\ & = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda+\mu-1} e^{-\beta t} {}_1F_1[\mu; \lambda + \mu; (\beta - \alpha)t] (a + t)^{-1} \Psi(a + t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows immediately on multiplying both sides by a and replacing a by p .

Corollary. If

$$\Phi(p) \doteq h(t)$$

and

$$\psi(p) \doteq t^\lambda (t + \beta)^\mu h(t),$$

then

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(p) = \frac{p}{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda + \mu - 1} {}_1F_1[\mu; \lambda + \mu; -\beta t](p + t)^{-1} \Psi(p + t) dt,$$

provided that the Laplace transforms of $|h(t)|$ and $(t + \alpha)^\lambda (t + \beta)^\mu h(t)$ exist, $\Re(\lambda + \mu) > 0$ and $\Re(p) > 0$ and the integral is convergent.

When $\alpha = 0$ the theorem reduces to (2.3) on applying the KUMMER'S transformation

$$e^{-z} {}_1F_1(\alpha; \varrho; z) = {}_1F_1(\alpha; \varrho; -z).$$

On the other hand if we take $\lambda = \mu$ in (2.1) it gives a result very recently obtained by the senior author in an earlier paper [4, p. 183].

Example. Let [1, p. 294]

$$h(t) = t^{\sigma-1} (t + \alpha)^{-\lambda} \doteq \frac{p^{1-\sigma} \alpha^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} E(\sigma, \lambda : : \alpha p) = \Phi(p),$$

where $\Re(\sigma) > 0$, $\Re(p) > 0$ and $|\arg \alpha| < \pi$, we therefore have

$$(t + \alpha)^\lambda (t + \beta)^\mu h(t) = t^{\sigma-1} (t + \beta)^\mu$$

$$\doteq \frac{p^{1-\sigma} \beta^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma(-\mu)} E(\sigma, -\mu : : \beta p) = \Psi(p),$$

where $\Re(\sigma) > 0$, $\Re(p) > 0$ and $|\arg \beta| < \pi$.

Using these values of $\Phi(p)$ and $\Psi(p)$ in (2.1) we find that

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda+\mu-1} (p+t)^{-\sigma} e^{-\beta t} {}_1F_1[\mu; \lambda+\mu; (\beta-\alpha)t] \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot E[\sigma, -\mu : : \beta(p+t)] dt = \\ & \quad = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu)\Gamma(-\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} p^{-\sigma} \alpha^{-\lambda} \beta^{-\mu} E(\sigma, \lambda : : \alpha p), \end{aligned} \right.$$

where $\Re(\lambda+\mu) > 0$, $\Re(p) > 0$, $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and $\Re(\beta) > 0$.

3. - Theorem 2. If

$$\Psi(p) \doteq \Phi(t)$$

and

$$p^{1-\varrho} [p^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1+p)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{-2\sigma} \Phi(p) \doteq h(t)$$

then

$$(3.1) \quad \Psi(p) = -p \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \int_0^{\infty} h(t) G_{23}^{22} \left(p+t \left| \begin{array}{c} -\varrho-1/2, -\varrho \\ 0, -\sigma-\varrho-1, \sigma-\varrho-1 \end{array} \right. \right) dt$$

provided that the Laplace transforms of $|\Phi(t)|$ and $|h(t)|$ exist, $\Re(p) > 0$ and the integral is convergent.

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\Psi(p) = p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} \Phi(t) dt$$

and

$$p^{1-\varrho} [p^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1+p)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{-2\sigma} \Phi(p) = p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ps} h(s) ds$$

and therefore it follows that

$$\Psi(p) = p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} [t^{\varrho} \{ t^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \}^{-2\sigma} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} h(s) ds] dt.$$

If we interchange the order of integration and evaluate the t -integral by (1.4) it gives (3.1).

The interchange of the order of integration can be easily seen to be permissible under the conditions stated with the theorem.

Example. If we take [5]

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^{1-\varrho}[p^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 + p)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{-2\sigma} \Phi(p) &= p^\lambda [p^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 + p)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2\mu} \\
 &\doteq \frac{2^{2\mu}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \mu)} t^{\mu - \lambda - \frac{1}{2}} {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\mu, \frac{1}{2} - \mu \\ 1 - 2\mu, \frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \mu \end{matrix}; -t \right] \\
 &= h(t), \quad \text{where } \Re(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \mu) > 0, \Re(p) > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

we then have from (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(t) &= t^{\lambda + \varrho - 1} [t^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2\mu + 2\sigma} \\
 &\doteq -\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu + \sigma) G_{23}^{22} \left(p \left| \begin{matrix} 3/2 - \lambda - \varrho, 2 - \lambda - \varrho \\ 1, 1 - \lambda - \varrho - \mu - \varrho, 1 - \lambda - \varrho + \mu + \sigma \end{matrix} \right. \right) \Psi(p),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Re(\lambda + \varrho) > 0, \Re(p) > 0$.

Applying (3.1) we find that

$$(3.2) \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^\infty t^{\mu - \lambda - \frac{1}{2}} {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\mu, \frac{1}{2} - \mu \\ 1 - 2\mu, \frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \mu \end{matrix}; -t \right] \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot G_{23}^{22} \left(p + t \left| \begin{matrix} -\varrho - \frac{1}{2}, -\varrho \\ 0, -\sigma - \varrho - 1, \sigma - \varrho - 1 \end{matrix} \right. \right) dt \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu + \sigma}{\sigma} \right) 2^{-2\mu} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \mu) G_{23}^{22} \left(p \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} - \lambda - \varrho, 1 - \lambda - \varrho \\ 0, -\lambda - \varrho - \mu - \sigma, \mu + \sigma - \lambda - \varrho \end{matrix} \right. \right),
 \end{aligned} \right.$$

where $\Re(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \mu) > 0, \Re(\lambda + \varrho + 1 - 2\mu) > 0$ and $|\arg p| < \pi$.

In particular when $\lambda = 2\mu$ (3.2) reduces to

$$(3.3) \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^\infty t^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} {}_1F_1(-\mu; 1 - 2\mu; -t) G_{23}^{22} \left(p + t \left| \begin{matrix} -\varrho - \frac{1}{2}, -\varrho \\ 0, -\sigma - \varrho - 1, \sigma - \varrho - 1 \end{matrix} \right. \right) dt \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu + \sigma}{\sigma} \right) 2^{-2\mu} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \mu) G_{23}^{22} \left(p \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} - 2\mu - \varrho, 1 - 2\mu - \varrho \\ 0, -3\mu - \varrho - \sigma, \sigma - \mu - \varrho \end{matrix} \right. \right),
 \end{aligned} \right.$$

where $\Re(1/2 - \mu) > 0, \Re(\varrho + 1) > 0$ and $|\arg p| < \pi$.

References.

- [1] A. ERDELYI, ...: *Tables of integral transforms*, Vol. 1, Mc Graw-Hill, New York 1954.
- [2] A. ERDELYI, ...: *Tables of integral transforms*, Vol. 2, Mc Graw-Hill, New York 1954.
- [3] S. GOLDSTEIN, *Operational representation of Whittaker's confluent hypergeometric functions and Weber's parabolic cylinder functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 34 (1932), 103-125.
- [4] R. K. SAXENA, *Some infinite integrals involving E-functions*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 5 (1962), 183-187.
- [5] H. SHANKER, *On integral representations for the product of two Whittaker functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 22 (1947), 112-115.

* * *