JOHN H. HODGES (*)

The Matrix Equation AXC = B over a Finite Field. (**)

1. - Introduction.

Let GF(q) denote the finite field of $q = p^n$ elements. In this paper we consider the problem of determining the number of $m \times f$ matrices X over GF(q) which satisfy the equation

$$(1.1) AXC = B,$$

where A, C, B are matrices over GF(q), A is $s \times m$ of rank ϱ , C is $f \times t$ of rank ν and B is $s \times t$. In § 3, it is shown that if the equation has any solutions, their number is q^z , where $z = mf - \varrho \nu$. A necessary and sufficient condition for existence of solutions is also obtained. The case where $C = I_t$, the identity of order t, was considered by the author in a paper [1] some years ago.

2. - Notation and preliminaries.

Except as indicated, lower case Greek letters will denote elements of GF(q), $q=p^n$, p an arbitrary prime. Except as indicated, italic capitals will denote matrices over GF(q). A(s, m) denotes an $s \times m$ matrix and $A(s, m; \varrho)$ a matrix of the same size having rank ϱ . If $A=A(s, m; \varrho)$ it is well known [2, Theorem 3-7] that there exist (not uniquely) nonsingular P(s, s) and Q(m, m) such that $PAQ=I(s, m; \varrho)$, the $s \times m$ matrix having the identity matrix of order ϱ in its upper left-hand corner and zeros elsewhere.

^(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80302, U. S. A..

^(**) The work on this paper was supported by National Science Foundation Research Grant GP-2542. — Ricevuto: 31 - X - 1964.

If $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ is square, then $\sigma(A) = \sum_i \alpha_{ii}$ is the trace of A. It is easy to show that when the indicated operations are defined, then $\sigma(A+B) = \sigma(A) + \sigma(B)$ and $\sigma(AC) = \sigma(CA)$.

For $\alpha \in GF(q)$ we define

(2.1)
$$e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i t(\alpha)/p}, \qquad t(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^p + \dots + \alpha^{p^{n-1}},$$

from which it follows that $e(\alpha + \beta) = e(\alpha) e(\beta)$ and

(2.2)
$$\sum_{\gamma} e(\alpha \gamma) = \begin{cases} q & (\alpha = 0) \\ 0 & (\alpha \neq 0), \end{cases}$$

where the sum is over all $\gamma \in GF(q)$. Now, using (2.2) we can show that if Y = Y(s, t) then

(2.3)
$$\sum_{D} e\left\{\sigma(YD)\right\} = \begin{cases} q^{st} & (Y=0)\\ 0 & (Y\neq0), \end{cases}$$

where the summation is over all D = D(t, s).

3. - The number N(A,C,B).

Let N = N(A, C, B) denote the number of solutions X(m, f) of the matrix equation (1.1). In view of (2.3) we have

$$(3.1) N(A, C, B) = q^{-st} \sum_{x} \sum_{p} e \left\{ \sigma[(AXC - B)D] \right\}$$

$$= q^{-st} \sum_{p} e \left\{ -\sigma(BD) \right\} \sum_{x} e \left\{ \sigma(AXCD) \right\},$$

where the summations are independently over all D = D(t, s) and X = X(m, t). Let P, Q, R, T be any fixed nonsingular matrices of appropriate sizes such that

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} PAQ = I(s, m; \varrho) & \text{so} \quad A = P^{-1}I(s, m; \varrho) Q^{-1} \\ RCT = I(f, t; \nu) & \text{so} \quad C = R^{-1}I(f, t; \nu) T^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

If we substitute into (3.1) the values of A and C given by (3.2), let $E = E(t, s) = T^{-1}DP^{-1}$ so that D = TEP, let $Y = Y(m, f) = Q^{-1}XR^{-1}$, and simplify the resulting expression by use of the property $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(BA)$, we get

$$(3.3) N = q^{-st} \sum_{E(t,s)} e\left\{ -\sigma(PBTE) \right\} \sum_{Y(m,t)} e\left\{ \sigma[I(f,t;v) EI(s,m;\varrho)Y] \right\}.$$

Let E(t, s) be partitioned as $E = (E_{ij})$ for i, j = 1, 2, where $E_{11} = E_{11}(v, \varrho)$, $E_{12} = E_{12}(v, s - \varrho)$, $E_{21} = E_{21}(t - v, \varrho)$ and $E_{22} = E_{22}(t - v, s - \varrho)$. For fixed E in (3.3), by (2.3), the inner sum over Y is equal to q^{mf} if the coef-

ficient I(f, t; v) $EI(s, m; \varrho)$ of Y is equal to zero. Otherwise the sum itself is equal to zero. By appropriately partitioning I(f, t; v) and $I(s, m; \varrho)$ and computing the product by use of multiplication of submatrices, it is easy to show that

(3.4)
$$I(f, t; \nu) EI(s, m; \varrho) = 0$$
, if and only if $E_{11} = 0$.

Using this fact in (3.3) we have

$$(3.5) N = q^{mf - st} \sum_{E(t, s)}^{\prime} e \left\{ -\sigma(PBTE) \right\},$$

where the prime indicates that the sum is restricted to those E(t, s) for which, in the partitioning described above, $E_{11} = 0$.

If we partition $B_0 = B_0(s, t) = PBT$ as $B_0 = (B_{ij})$ for i, j = 1, 2, where $B_{11} = B_{11}(\varrho, \nu)$, $B_{12} = B_{12}(\varrho, t - \nu)$, $B_{21} = B_{21}(s - \varrho, \nu)$ and $B_{22} = B_{22}(s - \varrho, t - \nu)$, compute the product B_0E by use of multiplication of submatrices, and finally use properties of σ and $e(\alpha)$ given in § 2, we find that for E as in (3.5),

$$(3.6) \qquad e\left\{-\sigma(B_0 E)\right\} = e\left\{-\sigma(B_{12} E_{21})\right\} e\left\{-\sigma(B_{21} E_{12})\right\} e\left\{-\sigma(B_{22} E_{22})\right\}.$$

If we substitute (3.6) into (3.5) and sum independently over all E_{21} , E_{12} , E_{22} it follows from (2.3) that the sum over the restricted E is equal to q^v , where $w = \varrho(t-v) + \nu(s-\varrho) + (t-\nu)(s-\varrho)$, if all of $B_{12} = 0$, $B_{21} = 0$, $B_{22} = 0$. Otherwise the sum is equal to zero. Finally, if we use this information in (3.5) and simplify the exponent of q we obtain the

Theorem. If N(A, C, B) denotes the number of solutions of the matrix equation (1.1) over GF(q) and P, Q, R, T are any fixed nonsingular matrices of appropriate sizes such that $PAQ = I(s, m; \rho)$, $RCT = I(f, t; \nu)$, then

(3.7)
$$N(A, C, B) = q^{mf - \varrho \nu} h(B_0),$$

where $B_0 = B_0(s, t) = PBT = (\beta_{ij})$ and $h(B_0) = 1$ if all $\beta_{ij} = 0$ for $i > \varrho$ or $j > \nu$, and otherwise $h(B_0) = 0$.

We note that this theorem contains a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of B_0 , for existence of solutions of equation (1.1). It can be shown directly that the property of B_0 in question does not depend upon the particular choice of the transforming matrices P, Q, R, T. For $C = I_t$, the identity of order t, (3.7) reduces to the result obtained previously [1, Theorem 1].

References.

- [1] J. H. Hodges, The matric equation AX = B in a finite field, Amer. Math. Monthly 53 (1956), 243-244.
- [2] S. Perlis, Theory of matrices, Cambridge, Mass. 1952.

* * *

