NAND KISHORE (*)

On the Absolute Nörlund Summability Factors. (**)

1. – Let s_n denote the *n*-th partial sum of a given infinite series $\sum a_n$. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of constants, real or complex, and let us write

$$P_n = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i, \qquad P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0.$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

(1.1)
$$T_{n} = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum p_{n-r} s_{r} \qquad (P_{n} \neq 0)$$

defines the sequence $\{T_n\}$ of Nörlund means of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ generated by the coefficients $\{p_n\}$ [6].

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable (N, p_n), or summable | N, p_n |, if the series $\sum |T_n - T_{n-1}|$ is convergent [4]. In the special case in which

(1.2)
$$p_n = {n + \alpha - 1 \choose \alpha - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\alpha)} \qquad (\alpha > 0),$$

the Nörlund mean reduces to (C, α) mean [1]. Thus, the summability $|N, p_n|$,

^(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, University of Allahabad, Allahabad 2, India.

^(**) Ricevuto: 15-XI-1964.

where p_n is defined by (1.2), is the same as $|C, \alpha|$. Again, when

$$(1.3) p_n = \frac{1}{n+1},$$

the Nörlund mean reduces to the harmonic mean.

The conditions for the regularity of the method of summability (N, p_n) defined by (1.1) are

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{p_n} = 0$$

and

(1.5)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} |p_i| = O(P_n), \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

If p_n is real and non-negative, (1.5) is automatically satisfied, and then (1.4) is the necessary and sufficient condition for the regularity of the method.

2. – The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable (R, log n, 1), or summable | R, log n, 1 |, if for

$$R_n = \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{k}$$

the infinite series $\sum |R_n - R_{n-1}|$ is convergent.

3. – Given a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, if the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is absolutely summable in some sense, while in general $\sum a_n$ is itself not so summable, then $\{\lambda_n\}$ is said to be the absolute summability factors of the series $\sum a_n$.

KOGBETLIANTZ had proved the following theorem [2] on summability factors for absolute Cesaro summability:

If a series $\sum a_n$ is $|C, \alpha|$ summable, then the series $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$ is summable $|C, \beta|$ for $\beta \leqslant \alpha$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, if $\varepsilon_n = 1/(n+1)^{\alpha-\beta}$.

In 1952 Peyerimhoff gave a simpler proof of the above theorem [8]. The object of this paper is to establish a similar theorem for the case of Nörlund summability when the series is summable | C, 1 |.

In what follows we prove the following

Theorem: If a series $\sum a_n$ is |C, 1| summable and if $\{p_n\}$ be a non-increasing sequence of real and non-negative numbers, then the series $\sum a_n P_n/n$ is $|N, p_n|$ summable, where $P_n = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i$.

4. – Proof of the Theorem. For the series $\sum a_n P_n/n$, we have the Nörlund mean

$$T_n = (1/P_n) \sum_{r=1}^n p_{n-r} s_r = (1/P_n) \sum_{r=1}^n P_{n-r} u_r,$$

where $u_r = a_r P_r/r$.

Now, since $P_{-1}=0$,

$$T_{n+1} - T_n = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{P_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P_{n-r}}{P_n} \right) u_r = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} r \ a_r \left(\frac{P_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P_{n-r}}{P_n} \right) \frac{P_r}{r^2} \,.$$

Applying ABEL's transformation and denoting $t_r = \sum_{r=1}^r r \ a_r$ and $\Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$, we have

$$T_{n+1} - T_n = \sum_{r=1}^n t_r \Delta \left\{ \left(\frac{P_{n-1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P_{n-r}}{P_n} \right) \frac{P_r}{r^2} \right\} + P_0 \frac{t_{n+1}}{(n+1)^2}.$$

Hence:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left| \ T_{n+1} - T_n \right| & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{n} t_r \ \varDelta \left\{ \left(\frac{P_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P_{n-r}}{P_n} \right) \frac{P_r}{r^2} \right\} \right| \ + \ P_0 \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left| \ t_{n+1} \right|}{(n+1)^2} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{n} t_r \left(\frac{P_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P_{n-r}}{P_n} \right) \varDelta \frac{P_r}{r^2} \right| \ + \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{n} t_r \frac{P_{r+1}}{(r+1)^2} \ \varDelta \left(\frac{P_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P_{n-r}}{P_n} \right) \right| \ + \ P_0 \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left| \ t_{n+1} \right|}{(n+1)^2} \\ & = \sum_{1} \ + \ \sum_{2} \ + \ \sum_{3} \ . \end{split}$$

Since $\{p_n\}$ is a non-negative, non-increasing sequence, it is easy to see

that $\frac{P_{n+1-r}}{P_{n-r}} \geqslant \frac{P_{n+1}}{P_n}$ for all $r \leqslant n$, and hence

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{1} \leqslant \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left| \ t_{r} \ \varDelta \frac{P_{r}}{r^{2}} \right| \sum_{n=r}^{m} \left(\frac{P_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P_{n-r}}{P_{n}} \right) = A \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left| \ t_{r} \ \varDelta \frac{P_{r}}{r^{2}} \left| \frac{P_{m+1-r}}{P_{m+1}} \right| \\ & \leqslant A \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left| \ t_{r} \ \right| \left| - \frac{P_{r+1}}{r^{2}} + P_{r+1} \ \varDelta \frac{1}{r^{2}} \right| \leqslant A \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\left| \ t_{r} \ \right|}{r^{2}} + O \bigg[\sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{P_{r+1} \left| \ t_{r} \ \right|}{r^{3}} \bigg], \end{split}$$

where A is a positive constant not necessarily the same one each time it occurs. Now, since $\sum a_n$ is |C, 1| summable, $\sum |t_r|/r^2$ is convergent. Then, since $P_{r+1} \leq (r+1) p_0$, we have

$$\sum_1 \leqslant A \sum_{r=1}^m rac{\mid t_r \mid}{r^2} + O \left[\sum_{r=1}^m rac{\mid t_r \mid}{r^2} \right] = O(1), \quad \text{as} \ m o \infty.$$

Further

$$\begin{split} \sum_2 &= \sum_{n=1}^m \left| \sum_{r=1}^n t_r \frac{P_{r+1}}{(r+1)^2} \left(\frac{p_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{p_{n-r}}{P_n} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{|t_r| P_{r+1}}{(r+1)^2} \sum_{n=r}^m \left(\frac{p_{n-r}}{P_n} - \frac{p_{n+1-r}}{P_{n+1}} \right) = A \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{|t_r| P_{r+1} p_0}{(r+1)^2 P_r} \\ &= O\left[\left. \sum \frac{|t_r|}{r^2} \right| = O(1), \quad \text{as } m \to \infty \right. \end{split}$$

Also:

 $\sum_{3} = O(1)$, as $m \to \infty$, from the hypothesis.

Hence $\sum |T_{n+1}-T_n| < \infty$, which proves the Theorem.

5. – Incidently it can be seen that the theorem, coupled with known results, leads to some important corollaries for $|N, p_n|$ summability.

It is known that whenever $\sum a_n$ is |R|, $\log n$, 1 summable, $\sum a_n/\log n$ is summable |C|, 1 [5], [10]. Hence we have the following result:

Corollary I. If a series $\sum a_n$ is $|R, \log n, 1|$ summable, then the series $\sum \frac{a_n P_n}{n \log(n+1)}$ is summable $|R, p_n|$.

Again, Prasad and Bhatt [9] (see also Pati [7]) have proved that if $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a convex sequence such that $\sum \lambda_n/n$ is convergent, and if t_n denotes the Cesàro mean of order one of the sequence $\{n \ a_n\}$ and if

$$t_n = O[\{ \log (n+1) \}^k]$$
 (C, 1),

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n \{ \log (n+1) \}^{-k}$ is summable |C, 1|. This result, combined with the theorem, leads to another important result.

Corollary II. If $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a convex sequence such that $\sum \lambda_n/n$ is convergent and if t_n denotes the CESARO mean of order one of the sequence $\{n \ a_n\}$ and if

$$t_n = O[\{ \log (n+1) \}]^k (C, 1),$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n \{ \log (n+1) \}^{-k} P_n / n \text{ is summable } | N, p_n |$.

This generalises a recent result of LAL [3].

Let f(t) be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$, and let the FOURIER series of f(t) be given by

$$f(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t).$$

We know that the convergence of Fourier series can be ensured by a local hypothesis, that is to say, the behaviour of the convergence of Fourier series for a particular value of x depends on the behaviour of the function in the immediate neighbourhood of this point only, and we also know that $s_n = O(1)$ implies $t_n = O(1)$. A necessary consequence of Cor. II, then, is the following result due to TRIPATHI [11].

Corollary III. If $\{p_n\}$ is a non-negative, non-increasing sequence of real constants, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a convex sequence of numbers such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent, then $|N, p_n|$ summability of $\sum A_n(t) \lambda_n \frac{P_n}{n}$ can be ensured by a local hypothesis.

I am indebted to Prof. B. N. Prasad for his valuable guidance and advice in the preparation of this paper.

References.

- [1] G. H. HARDY, Divergent Series, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1949.
- [2] E. Kogbetliantz, Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 49 (1925), 234-251.

- [3] S. N. Lal, On the absolute harmonic summability of the factored Fourier series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 311-319.
- [4] FLORENCE M. MEARS, Some multiplication theorem for the Nörlund means, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1935), 875-880.
- [5] R. MOHANTY, On the summability | R, log ω, 1 | of a Fourier series, J. London Math. Soc. 25 (1950), 67-72.
- [6] N. E. NÖRLUND, Sur une application des fonctions permutables, Lunds Univ. Arsskr. Avd. 2, 16 (1919).
- [7] T. Pati, The summability factors of infinite series, Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), 271-283.
- [8] A. Peyerimhoff, Über einen Satz von Herrn Kogbetliantz aus der Theorie der absoluten Cesàroschen Summierbarkeit, Arch. Math. 3 (1952), 262-265.
- [9] B. N. Prasad and S. N. Bhatt, The summability factors of a Fourier series, Duke Math. J. 24 (1957), 103-117.
- [10] J. B. TATCHELL, A theorem on absolute Riesz summability, J. London Math. Soc. 29 (1954), 49-59.
- [11] L. M. TRIPATHI, An aspect of local property of $| N, p_n |$ summability of a factored Fourier series, Proc. Japan Acad. 40 (1964), 379-384.

Summary.

The paper is devoted to the study of absolute $N \, \ddot{o} \, r \, l \, u \, n \, d$ summability of the series $\sum a_n \, \mu_n$ when the series $\sum a_n$ is summable |C, 1|.