

G. C. N. K U L S H R E S T H A (*)

Summability Factors for Generalized Strong R i e s z Logarithmic Boundedness. (**)

1.1. - Definitions and notations.

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series, and let s_n^κ denote the n th CESÀRO mean of order κ ($\kappa > -1$) of the sequence $\{s_n\}$, where $s_n = s_n^0$ is the n th partial sum of the series $\sum a_n$.

If

$$(1.1.1) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{|s_\nu|}{\nu} = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ is said to be strongly bounded by logarithmic means with index 1, or bounded $[R, \log n, 1]$, symbolically

$$\sum a_n = O(1) [R, \log n, 1].$$

If for $\kappa > -1$,

$$(1.1.2) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{|s_\nu^\kappa|}{\nu} = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we shall write, by analogy,

$$\sum a_n = O(1) [(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa)].$$

(*) Indirizzo: Department of Post-Graduate Studies and Research in Mathematics, University of Jabalpur, Jabalpur, India.

(**) This paper is part of the author's Thesis approved for the Ph. D. degree. — Ricevuto: 14-XI-1964.

We observe that when $\kappa = 0$, summability $(C, 0)$ is the same as convergence, and (1.1.2), in that case, reduces to (1.1.1). Thus boundedness $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, 0)]$ is the same as boundedness $[R, \log n, 1]$.

For any sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, we write throughout, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\Delta^0 \lambda_n = \lambda_n, \quad \Delta \lambda_n = \Delta^1 \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}, \quad \Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta(\Delta \lambda_n),$$

and in general,

$$\Delta^p \lambda_n = \Delta(\Delta^{p-1} \lambda_n), \quad \text{for } p \geq 1.$$

We have the useful identity (σ integral)

$$(1.1.3) \quad \Delta^\sigma \varepsilon_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\sigma} \binom{\sigma}{j} (-1)^j \varepsilon_{n+j}.$$

1.2. - Introduction.

The well known consistency theorem for CESÀRO summability asserts that every infinite series which is summable (C, κ) , $\kappa > -1$, is also summable (C, κ') for $\kappa' > \kappa$, symbolically,

$$(C, \kappa) \subset (C, \kappa'), \quad \kappa' > \kappa > -1.$$

It is natural to expect such a consistency theorem also for the *generalized strong Riesz logarithmic boundedness*, defined above as boundedness $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa)]$; in other words, it is natural to expect the result that if $\sum a_n = O(1) [(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa)]$, $\kappa > -1$, then $\sum a_n = O(1) [(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa')]$ for every $\kappa' > \kappa$.

In this paper, in the form of Theorem 1, we establish this result for the case $\kappa > -1$.

As can be easily verified by considering the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \cdot n$, boundedness $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, 1)]$ may be true and yet boundedness $[R, \log n, 1]$ may not be true. Hence arises the question of choosing such factors ε_n as will make the series $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$ bounded $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa)]$ whenever $\sum a_n$ is bounded $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa')]$ for $\kappa < \kappa'$.

We prove, in the form of Theorem 2, a result which provides an answer to this question in the case in which κ and κ' are both positive integers and $0 \leq \kappa < \kappa'$.

2.1. - We establish the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *If $\kappa > -1$, and $\sum a_n = O(1)$ $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa)]$, then $\sum a_n = O(1)$ $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa')]$, for every $\kappa' > \kappa$.*

Theorem 2. *If κ and κ' are positive integers such that: $0 \leq \kappa < \kappa'$, and $\sum a_n = O(1)$ $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa')]$, and if the factor sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ satisfies the conditions:*

$$(2.1.1) \quad |\varepsilon_n| \equiv \bar{\varepsilon}_n \text{ is monotonic non-increasing,}$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'-\kappa} \cdot |\varepsilon_{\mu}| = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$(2.1.3) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} \cdot |A^{\kappa'+2} \varepsilon_{\mu}| = O(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n = O(1)$ $[(R, \log n, 1) (C, \kappa)]$.

2.2. - We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ⁽¹⁾. *If $\sigma > -1$, $\sigma - \delta > 0$, then*

$$\sum_{n=\mu}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-\mu}^{\delta}}{n A_n^{\sigma}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n^{\delta}}{(n+\mu) A_{n+\mu}^{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{\mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\sigma-\delta-1}}.$$

Lemma 2. *If $\delta > 0$, and $\{\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu}\} \equiv \{|\varepsilon_{\mu}|\}$ is a monotonic non-increasing sequence such that*

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\delta} \cdot |\varepsilon_{\mu}| = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\varepsilon_{\mu} = o(1)$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{\mu} \neq o(1)$. Then $\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu} \neq o(1)$, that is, $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu} \neq 0$. But this limit which surely exists, since $\{\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu}\}$ is monotonic non-increasing and with rough lower bound zero, is greater than or equal to zero. But as stated above

(1) CHOW [1], Lemma 1. Also cf. PEYERIMHOFF [3], p. 418, footnote (3).

it is not zero. Hence $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_\mu$ is greater than zero. Call it δ_1 . Then each $\bar{\varepsilon}_\mu \geq \delta_1 > 0$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^\delta \cdot |\varepsilon_\mu| &\geq \delta_1 \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^\delta \\ &> K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu > K \cdot n \cdot \log n \quad (2). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 3. *If r is a positive integer, $\Delta^{r+1} \varepsilon_\nu = o(1)$, and*

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{r+1} \cdot |\Delta^{r+2} \varepsilon_\mu| = O(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{p+1} \cdot |\Delta^{p+2} \varepsilon_\mu| = O(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every integer p such that $-1 < p < r$.

Proof. Since $\Delta^{r+1} \varepsilon_\nu = o(1)$, we can write

$$\sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\infty} \Delta^{r+2} \varepsilon_\nu = \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\infty} (\Delta^{r+1} \varepsilon_\nu - \Delta^{r+1} \varepsilon_{\nu+1}) = \Delta^{r+1} \varepsilon_\mu.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^r \cdot |\Delta^{r+1} \varepsilon_\mu| &\leq \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^r \cdot \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\infty} |\Delta^{r+2} \varepsilon_\nu| \\ &< \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta^{r+2} \varepsilon_\nu| \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^r < \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta^{r+2} \varepsilon_\nu| \cdot \log \nu \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} A_\mu^r \\ &< \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta^{r+2} \varepsilon_\nu| \cdot \log \nu \cdot A_\nu^{r+1} = O(1), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the hypothesis.

(2) Throughout this paper, K denotes an absolute positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. Cf. PATI [2], p. 295, line 15, first inequality.

Similarly, by repeated application of the above process, we can have

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{p+1} \cdot |\Delta^{p+2} \varepsilon_{\mu}| = O(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $-1 < p < r$.

This completes the proof of the Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. If $\delta > 0$ and

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\delta} \cdot |\varepsilon_{\mu}| = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\delta} \cdot |\Delta^{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mu}| = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every integer $\sigma \geq 0$.

Proof. Since $\Delta^{\sigma} \varepsilon_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\sigma} \binom{\sigma}{j} (-1)^j \cdot \varepsilon_{n+j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\delta} \cdot |\Delta^{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mu}| &\leq \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\delta} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\sigma} \binom{\sigma}{j} |\varepsilon_{\mu+j}| \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\sigma} \binom{\sigma}{j} \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\delta} \cdot |\varepsilon_{\mu+j}| = O(\log n), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by hypothesis.

3.1. - Proof of Theorem 1. We have to show that, if (1.1.2) holds for every $\kappa > -1$, then

$$(3.1.1) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{|s_{\nu}^{\kappa'}|}{\nu} = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{|s_{\nu}^{\kappa'}|}{\nu} &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa'})^{-1} |S_{\nu}^{\kappa'}| = \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa'})^{-1} \left| \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa'-\kappa-1} \cdot (A_{\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot s_{\mu}^{\kappa}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa'})^{-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa'-\kappa-1} \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot |s_{\mu}^{\kappa}| \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_{\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot |s_{\mu}^{\kappa}| \cdot \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n \frac{A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa'-\kappa-1}}{\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa'}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_{\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot |s_{\mu}^{\kappa}| (\mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa})^{-1}, \quad \text{by Lemma 1,} \\ &= O(\log n), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by hypothesis.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3.2. - Proof of Theorem 2. We have to show that, if (3.1.1) holds for an integer κ' ($\kappa' > \kappa \geq 0$), then

$$(3.2.1) \quad \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|\tilde{s}_v^{\kappa'}|}{v} = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where \tilde{s}_v^{κ} is the v th CESÀRO mean of an integer order κ of the factored series $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$.

Writing \tilde{S}_v^{κ} for the v th CESÀRO sum of order κ of the series $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_v^{\kappa} &= \sum_{\mu=0}^v A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot (a_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{v-1} \Delta_{\mu} (A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\mu} a_{\lambda} + \left[A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu} \cdot \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\mu} a_{\lambda} \right]_{\mu=v} \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^v \Delta_{\mu}^1 (A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) S_{\mu}, \quad \text{by partial summation once,} \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^v S_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \cdot \Delta_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} (A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}), \quad \text{by repeated partial summation } \kappa' + 1 \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{times, where } \kappa' = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|\tilde{s}_v^{\kappa'}|}{v} = \sum_{v=1}^n (v A_v^{\kappa})^{-1} \left| \sum_{\mu=1}^v S_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \Delta_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} (A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) \right| + K = \Sigma + K.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma \leq \sum_{v=1}^n (v A_v^{\kappa})^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^v \frac{|s_{\mu}^{\kappa'}|}{\mu} \cdot \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \left| \Delta_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} (A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) \right| \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n (v A_v^{\kappa})^{-1} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^{v-1} \Delta_{\mu} (\mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \left| \Delta_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} (A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) \right|) \Sigma_{\mu} + (\mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \left| \Delta_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} (A_{v-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) \right| \Sigma_{\mu})_{\mu=v} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(by partial summation, where $\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} \lambda^{-1} \cdot |s_{\lambda}^{\kappa'}| = O(\log \mu)$),

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \Delta_{\mu} (\mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} | \Delta_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} (A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) |) \cdot \log \mu \quad (3) \\ &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu \cdot \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} | \Delta_{\mu}^{\kappa'+2} (A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) | \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ K \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu \cdot \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'-1} | \Delta^{\kappa'+1} (A_{\nu-(\mu+1)}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu+1}) | \\ &+ K \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu+1}^{\kappa'} | \Delta^{\kappa'+1} (A_{\nu-(\mu+1)}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu+1}) | \\ (3.2.2) \quad &= \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Let us start with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu \cdot \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \left| \sum_{r=0}^{\kappa'+2} \binom{\kappa'+2}{r} \Delta_{\mu}^r (A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa}) \cdot \Delta^{\kappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \\ (3.2.3) \quad &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\kappa'+2} \binom{\kappa'+2}{r} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} | A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa-r} | | \Delta^{\kappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} |, \end{aligned}$$

where we consider, for the range of values of r , three cases as follows.

Case 1: $r = 0$. In this case \sum_1 reduces to

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} \cdot A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa} | \Delta^{\kappa'+2} \varepsilon_{\mu} | = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'+1} \cdot A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot | \Delta^{\kappa'+2} \varepsilon_{\mu} | = O(A_{\nu}^{\kappa}),$$

that is, if

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa-1} \cdot \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} \log \lambda \cdot A_{\lambda}^{\kappa'+1} | \Delta^{\kappa'+2} \varepsilon_{\lambda} | = O(A_{\nu}^{\kappa}) \quad (\text{by partial summation}),$$

which holds since

$$(3.2.4) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} \log \lambda \cdot A_{\lambda}^{\kappa'+1} \cdot | \Delta^{\kappa'+2} \varepsilon_{\lambda} | = O(1),$$

as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$, by virtue of the condition (2.1.3) of the hypothesis.

(3) Throughout $\log \mu$ at $\mu = 1$ should be understood to be a positive constant, and not the routine zero.

Case 2: $r = 1, 2, \dots, \varkappa + 1$. In this case a typical term in \sum_1 is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \binom{\varkappa' + 2}{r} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_\nu^\varkappa)^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'+1} \cdot A_{\nu-\mu}^{\varkappa-r} \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \\
 & \leq K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'+1} \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n \frac{A_{\nu-\mu}^{\varkappa-r}}{\nu A_\nu^\varkappa} \\
 & \leq K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'+1} \cdot \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| (\mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa-r})^{-1} \\
 & \hspace{15em} (\text{by Lemma 1, since } 0 < r \leq \varkappa + 1), \\
 & = K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'+1-r} \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \\
 (3.2.5) \quad & = O(\log n),
 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 3, in virtue of the conditions (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3) and Lemma 2.

Case 3: $r = \varkappa + 2, \varkappa + 3, \dots, \varkappa' + 2$. In this case a typical term in \sum_1 is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \binom{\varkappa' + 2}{r} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_\nu^\varkappa)^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'+1} \cdot \left| A_{\nu-\mu}^{\varkappa-r} \right| \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \\
 & \leq K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'+1} \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \cdot \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n \frac{A_{\nu-\mu}^{-(r-\varkappa)}}{\nu A_\nu^\varkappa} \\
 & < K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'+1} \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| (\mu A_\mu^\varkappa)^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-\mu} \left| A_\nu^{-(r-\varkappa)} \right| \\
 & = K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'-\varkappa} \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-\varkappa-1} \left| A_\nu^{-(r-\varkappa)} \right| \\
 & \hspace{15em} (\text{since } A_n^{-\alpha} = 0 \text{ for } n \geq \alpha = 1, 2, \dots) \\
 & \leq K \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'-\varkappa} \cdot \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+2-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| \\
 (3.2.6) \quad & = O(\log n),
 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 4, in virtue of the condition (2.1.2) of the hypotheses.

Next, we consider \sum_2 , where

$$\sum_2 = \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_\nu^\varkappa)^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu \cdot \log \mu \cdot A_\mu^{\varkappa'-1} \left| \Delta^{\varkappa'+1} (A_{\nu-(\mu+1)}^\varkappa \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu+1}) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq K \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu-1} \log(\mu+1) \cdot A_{\mu+1}^{\kappa'} \cdot \left| \Delta^{\kappa'+1} (A_{\nu-(\mu+1)}^{\kappa} \varepsilon_{\mu+1}) \right| \\
&\hspace{15em} (\text{since } A_{\nu-(\mu+1)}^{\kappa} = 0 \quad \text{when } \mu = \nu), \\
&= K \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \left| \Delta^{\kappa'+1} (A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) \right| \\
(3.2.7) \quad &\leq K \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\kappa'+1} \binom{\kappa'+1}{r} \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'} \left| A_{\nu-\mu}^{\kappa-r} \right| \left| \Delta^{\kappa'+1-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that (3.2.7) is the same as (3.2.3) with κ' replaced by $\kappa' - 1$. So again, we have the following three cases as above.

Case 1: $r = 0$. We proceed exactly in the same manner as in Case 1 of \sum_1 and, finally, have only to show that

$$(3.2.8) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} \log \lambda \cdot A_{\lambda}^{\kappa'} \left| \Delta^{\kappa'+1} \varepsilon_{\lambda} \right| = O(1),$$

as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$, which is true by Lemma 3, in virtue of the conditions (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3) and Lemma 2.

Case 2: $r = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa + 1$. For these values of r , starting with a typical term in (3.2.7) and working out as in Case 2 of \sum_1 , finally we have only to show that

$$(3.2.9) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'-r} \left| \Delta^{\kappa'+1-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is, *a fortiori*, true since (3.2.5) is true.

Case 3: $r = \kappa + 2, \kappa + 3, \dots, \kappa' + 1$. In this case also, proceeding as in Case 3 of \sum_1 , finally we have only to show that

$$(3.2.10) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^n \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{\kappa'-\kappa-1} \left| \Delta^{\kappa'+1-r} \varepsilon_{\mu+r} \right| = O(\log n),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is also, *a fortiori*, true since (3.2.6) is true.

Finally, a similar order-estimate for \sum_3 holds as for \sum_2 , for,

$$\sum_3 = \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^{\kappa})^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu+1}^{\kappa'} \cdot \left| \Delta^{\kappa'+1} (A_{\nu-(\mu+1)}^{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu+1}) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^z)^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu-1} \log (\mu + 1) \cdot A_{\mu+1}^{z'} \left| \Delta^{z'+1} (A_{\nu-(\mu+1)}^z \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu+1}) \right| \\ &= K \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^n (\nu A_{\nu}^z)^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \log \mu \cdot A_{\mu}^{z'} \left| \Delta^{z'+1} (A_{\nu-\mu}^z \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu}) \right| = K \cdot \Sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

The author acknowledges his gratitude to Dr. T. PATI, University of Jabalpur, for his kind interest and advice in the preparation of this paper.

References.

- [1] H. C. CHOW, *Note on convergence and summability factors*, J. London Math. Soc. **29** (1954), 459-476.
- [2] T. PATI, *Absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series*, Math. Z. **78** (1962), 293-297.
- [3] A. PEYERIMHOFF, *Summierbarkeitsfaktoren für absolut Cesàro-Summierbare Reihen*, Math. Z. **59** (1954), 417-424.

* * *