

Z. U. AHMAD (\*)

## On the Absolute Nörlund Summability Factors of a Fourier Series. (\*\*)

### 1.1. - Definitions.

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums  $\{s_n\}$ . Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence of constants, real or complex, and let us write

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n, \quad P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0.$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation:

$$(1.1.1) \quad t_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{p_{n-\nu} s_\nu}{P_n} \quad (P_n \neq 0)$$

defines the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  of NÖRLUND means <sup>(1)</sup> of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$ , generated by the sequence of coefficients  $\{p_n\}$ .

The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $(N, p_n)$  to the sum  $s$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n$  exists and is equal to  $s$ , and is said to be absolutely summable  $(N, p_n)$ , or summable  $|\mathbf{N}, p_n|$ , if the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is of bounded variation <sup>(2)</sup>, that is to say,

$$\sum_n |t_n - t_{n-1}| \leq K \quad (3).$$

(\*) Indirizzo: Z. U. AHMAD, Senior Research Fellow of U.G.C., Department of Post-Graduate Studies and Research in Mathematics, University of Jabalpur, Jabalpur, India.

(\*\*) Ricevuto: 5-II-1965.

<sup>(1)</sup> NÖRLUND [10]; substantially the same definition was given by G. F. WORONOI in the Proceedings of the 11th Congress of Russian naturalists and scientists (in Russian), St. Petersburg 1902, pp. 60-61. An English translation of this work of WORONOI with « remarks of the translator » by J. D. TAMARKIN is contained in WORONOI [13].

<sup>(2)</sup> Symbolically,  $\{t_n\} \in BV$ ; similarly by «  $f(x) \in BV(h, k)$  » we shall mean that  $f(x)$  is a function of bounded variation over the interval  $(h, k)$ .

<sup>(3)</sup> MEARS [8].  $K$  denotes throughout an absolute constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

In the special case in which

$$(1.1.2) \quad p_n = \binom{n + \alpha - 1}{\alpha - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1) \Gamma(\alpha)} \quad (\alpha \geq 0),$$

the NÖRLUND mean reduces to familiar  $(C, \alpha)$ -mean <sup>(4)</sup>. The summability  $|N, p_n|$ , with  $p_n$  defined by (1.1.2), is thus the same as summability  $|C, \alpha|$  <sup>(5)</sup>.

Similarly, in the case in which

$$(1.1.3) \quad \begin{cases} p_n = 1/(n + 1) & (n \geq 0) \\ P_n = 1 + (1/2) + \dots + (1/(n + 1)) \sim \log n, & \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

the NÖRLUND mean reduces to the familiar «harmonic mean» <sup>(6)</sup>, and summability  $|N, p_n|$  is then the same as absolute harmonic summability, or simply the summability  $|N, 1/(n + 1)|$ .

It is known that the harmonic mean is both regular <sup>(7)</sup> and absolutely regular <sup>(8)</sup>; and the summability  $|N, 1/(n + 1)|$  implies summability  $|C, \alpha|$  for every positive  $\alpha$  <sup>(9)</sup>.

1.2. - Let  $f(t)$  be a periodic function, with period  $2\pi$ , and integrable in the sense of LEBESGUE over  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . We assume, as we may without any loss of generality, that the constant term in the FOURIER series of  $f(t)$  is zero, so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0,$$

and

$$f(t) \sim \sum (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum A_n(t).$$

We write throughout

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x + t) + f(x - t) \},$$

$$\Phi_\alpha(t) = \frac{\alpha}{t^\alpha} \int_0^t (t - u)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(u) du \quad (\alpha > 0), \quad \Phi_0(t) = \Phi(t),$$

$$t_n^1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_\nu, \quad \tau_n^{*1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu A_\nu(x).$$

<sup>(4)</sup> HARDY [4], § 5.13.

<sup>(5)</sup> Summability  $|C, \alpha|$  was defined by FEKETE [3], and KOGBETLIANTZ [5].

<sup>(6)</sup> HARDY [4], § 5.13; RIESZ [12].

<sup>(7)</sup> HARDY [4], § 4.2.

<sup>(8)</sup> For absolute regularity of NÖRLUND means, see MEARS [9].

<sup>(9)</sup> MCFADDEN [7].

For any sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , we write

$$\Delta\lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}, \quad \Delta^2\lambda_n = \Delta(\Delta\lambda_n).$$

A sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is said to be convex <sup>(10)</sup> if

$$\Delta^2\lambda_n \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

1.3. - The following theorems on absolute CESÀRO summability factors of a FOURIER-LEBESGUE series are known.

Theorem A <sup>(11)</sup>. If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that the series  $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$  is convergent, and  $\Phi_\alpha(t) \in \text{BV}(0, \pi)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then the series  $\sum \lambda_n A_n(t)$ , at  $t = x$ , is summable  $|C, \alpha|$ .

Theorem B <sup>(12)</sup>. If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that the series  $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$  is convergent, and for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$

$$\int_0^t u |d\Phi_\alpha(t)| = O(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi,$$

then the series  $\sum (\log n + 1)^{-1} \lambda_n A_n(t)$ , at  $t = x$ , is summable  $|C, \alpha|$ .

It is known <sup>(13)</sup> that, if (1)  $p_n$  is non-negative and non-increasing and (2)  $p_{n+1}/p_n$  is non-decreasing, then  $|N, p_n|$  implies  $|C, 1|$ .

The object of the present paper is to improve upon Theorems A and B, in the case in which  $\alpha = 1$ , by replacing  $|C, 1|$  by  $|N, p_n|$ , with  $p_n$  more general than that characterized above. The results are embodied in Theorems 2 and 3. We prove these theorems by establishing as Theorem 1, a result on the absolute NÖRLUND summability factors of infinite series in general. In n. 2.5 we deduce a number of corollaries which generalize the following results of LAL on the absolute harmonic summability factors.

Theorem C <sup>(14)</sup>. If  $t_n^1 = O(1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that the series  $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$  is convergent, then the series  $\sum ((\log n)/n)\lambda_n a_n$  is summable  $|N, 1/(n+1)|$ .

<sup>(10)</sup> ZYGMUND [14], § 3.5, p. 58.

<sup>(11)</sup> PRASAD and BHATT [11], Theorem 5.

<sup>(12)</sup> PRASAD and BHATT [11], Theorem 7.

<sup>(13)</sup> MCFADDEN [7], Theorem 2.28.

<sup>(14)</sup> LAL [6], Theorem 2.

**Theorem D** <sup>(15)</sup>. If  $\Phi_1(t) \in \text{BV}(0, \pi)$ , and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that the series  $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$  is convergent, then the series  $\sum ((\log n)/n) \lambda_n A_n(t)$ , at  $t = x$ , is summable  $|\mathbb{N}, 1/(n+1)|$ .

2.1. - We establish the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $p_0 > 0$ , and let  $p_n$  be non-negative and non-increasing. If  $t_n^1 = O(\mu_n)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\{\mu_n\}$  is a positive, non-decreasing sequence and if the sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  is such that

$$(i) \quad \sum (\mu_n/P_n) |\varepsilon_n| < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \sum \mu_n |\Delta \varepsilon_n| < \infty,$$

then the series  $\sum \varepsilon_n a_n$  is summable  $|\mathbb{N}, p_n|$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $p_0 > 0$ , and let  $p_n$  be non-negative and non-increasing. If  $\Phi_1(t) \in \text{BV}(0, \pi)$ , and if the sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  is such that

$$(i) \quad \sum |\varepsilon_n|/P_n < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \sum |\Delta \varepsilon_n| < \infty,$$

then the series  $\sum \varepsilon_n A_n(t)$ , at  $t = x$ , is summable  $|\mathbb{N}, p_n|$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $p_0 > 0$ , and let  $p_n$  be non-negative and non-increasing. If

$$\int_0^t u |d\Phi_1(u)| = O(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi,$$

and if the sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  is such that

$$(i) \quad \sum ((\log n)/P_n) |\varepsilon_n| < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \sum (\log n) |\Delta \varepsilon_n| < \infty,$$

then the series  $\sum \varepsilon_n A_n(t)$ , at  $t = x$ , is summable  $|\mathbb{N}, p_n|$ .

Since a LEBESGUE indefinite integral is absolutely continuous,  $\Phi_1(t) \in \text{BV}$  in every range  $(\delta, \pi)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . Thus an interesting consequence of Theorem 2 is that the summability  $|\mathbb{N}, p_n|$  (with  $p_n$  defined as in Theorem 2) of the series  $\sum \varepsilon_n A_n(x)$  is a local property.

2.2. - We require following lemmas for the proof of our theorems.

**Lemma 1.** If  $p_0 > 0$ , and  $p_n$  is non-negative and non-increasing, then, for  $\nu \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} p_{n-\nu} \leq \frac{K}{\nu}.$$

<sup>(15)</sup> LAL [6], Theorem 1.

*Proof.* We first observe that under our hypothesis,

$$(2.2.1) \quad \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \leq \frac{K}{P_{v-1}}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} p_{n-v} &= \sum_{n=v}^{2v-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} p_{n-v} + \sum_{n=2v}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} p_{n-v} \\ &\leq \frac{p_v}{P_v P_{v-1}} \sum_{n=v}^{2v-1} p_{n-v} + p_v \sum_{n=2v}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \quad [\text{by (2.2.1.)}] \\ &\leq \frac{p_v P_{v-1}}{P_v P_{v-1}} + \frac{K p_v}{P_{2v-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{p_v}{P_v} + \frac{K p_v}{P_v} \leq \frac{1}{v+1} + \frac{K}{v+1} \leq \frac{K}{v}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $(v+1)p_v \leq P_v$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $p_0 > 0$ , and  $p_n$  is non-negative and non-increasing, then, for  $v \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-v}) \leq K.$$

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we have

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-v}) \leq v \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} p_{n-v} \leq v \frac{K}{v} = K,$$

by Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $p_0 > 0$ , and  $p_n$  is non-negative and non-increasing, then, for  $v \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{|A_n p_{n-v-1}|}{P_{n-1}} \leq \frac{K}{P_v} + \frac{K}{v}.$$

Proof. Since  $p_n$  is non-increasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta_n p_{n-r-1}|}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{p_0}{P_{r-1}} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{2r-1} \frac{\Delta_n p_{n-r-1}}{P_{n-1}} + \sum_{n=2r}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_n p_{n-r-1}}{P_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\Sigma_1 \equiv \sum_{n=r+1}^{2r-1} \frac{\Delta_n p_{n-r-1}}{P_{n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{P_r} \sum_{n=r+1}^{2r-1} (p_{n-r-1} - p_{n-r}) = \frac{p_0 - p_{r-1}}{P_r},$$

so that

$$|\Sigma_1| \leq \frac{p_0}{P_r} + \frac{p_{r-1}}{P_{r-1}} \leq \frac{p_0}{P_r} + \frac{1}{r},$$

since  $(r+1)p_r \leq P_r$ .

And, for every integer  $m > 2r$ , by ABEL'S transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &\equiv \sum_{n=2r}^m \frac{\Delta_n p_{n-r-1}}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=2r}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\mu=2r}^n (p_{\mu-r-1} - p_{\mu-r}) + \frac{1}{P_{m-1}} \sum_{\mu=2r}^m (p_{\mu-r-1} - p_{\mu-r}) \\ &= \sum_{n=2r}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (p_{r-1} - p_{n-r}) + \frac{p_{r-1} - p_{m-r}}{P_{m-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_2| &\leq p_{r-1} \sum_{n=2r}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} + \sum_{n=2r}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} p_{n-r} + \frac{p_{r-1}}{P_{m-1}} + \frac{p_{m-r}}{P_{m-1}} \\ &< \frac{K p_{r-1}}{P_{2r-1}} + \frac{K}{r} + \frac{p_{r-1}}{P_{r-1}} + \frac{p_{m-r}}{P_{m-r}} \quad [\text{by (2.2.1)}] \\ &< \frac{K p_{r-1}}{P_{r-1}} + \frac{K}{r} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{m-r+1} \\ &< \frac{K}{r} + \frac{K}{r} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r+1} \leq \frac{K}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Sigma \leq \frac{K}{P_v} + \frac{K}{v}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 4. *If  $p_0 > 0$ , and  $p_n$  is non-negative and non-increasing, then, for  $v \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n-v} - p_n}{P_{n-1}} \leq K.$$

*Proof.* By ABEL's transformation, we have, for every integer  $m > v$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma' &= \sum_{n=v}^m \frac{p_{n-v} - p_n}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=v}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\mu=v}^n (p_{\mu-v} - p_{\mu}) + \frac{1}{P_{m-1}} \sum_{\mu=v}^m (p_{\mu-v} - p_{\mu}) \\ &= \sum_{n=v}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_{n-v} - P_n + P_{v-1}) + \frac{1}{P_{m-1}} (P_{m-v} - P_m + P_{v-1}) \\ &= - \sum_{n=v}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-v}) + P_{v-1} \sum_{n=v}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} + \frac{P_{v-1}}{P_{m-1}} - \frac{P_{m-1} + p_m}{P_{m-1}} + \frac{P_{m-v}}{P_{m-1}} \\ &= - \sum_{n=v}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-v}) + P_{v-1} \left( \frac{1}{P_{v-1}} - \frac{1}{P_{m-1}} \right) + \frac{P_{v-1}}{P_{m-1}} - 1 - \frac{p_m}{P_{m-1}} + \frac{P_{m-v}}{P_{m-1}} \\ &= - \sum_{n=v}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-v}) + \frac{P_{m-v}}{P_{m-1}} + \frac{p_m}{P_{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\Sigma'| \leq \sum_{n=v}^{m-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-v}) + \frac{P_{m-v}}{P_{m-1}} + \frac{p_m}{P_{m-1}} \leq K + 1 \leq K,$$

by hypothesis and Lemma 2. Hence the result.

Lemma 5<sup>(16)</sup>. If  $\Phi_1(t) \in \text{BV}(0, \pi)$ , then  $\tau_n^{*1} = O(1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lemma 6<sup>(17)</sup>. If

$$\int_0^t u \, d|\Phi_1(u)| = O(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi,$$

then  $\tau_n^{*1} = O(\log n)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lemma 7. If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a non-increasing sequence such that the series  $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$  is convergent, then

$$(i) \quad \sum \Delta\lambda_n < \infty, \quad (ii) \quad \sum (\log \overline{n+1}) \Delta\lambda_n < \infty.$$

This lemma is known<sup>(18)</sup>.

### 2.3. - Proof of Theorem 1.

Let  $\tau_n$  be the  $n$ th NÖRLUND mean of the series  $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_v a_v$ . Then by definition,

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v} \sum_{\mu=0}^v \varepsilon_\mu a_\mu = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n P_{n-v} \varepsilon_v a_v,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n - \tau_{n-1} &= \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n (P_n p_{n-v} - P_{n-v} p_n) \varepsilon_v a_v \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n (P_n - P_{n-v}) \varepsilon_v a_v + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n (p_{n-v} - p_n) \varepsilon_v a_v \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \Delta_v \left\{ (P_n - P_{n-v}) \frac{\varepsilon_v}{v} \right\} v t_v^1 + \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left[ (P_n - P_{n-v-1}) \frac{\varepsilon_{v+1}}{v+1} \right]_{v=n} n t_n^1 + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \Delta_v \left\{ (p_{n-v} - p_n) \frac{\varepsilon_v}{v} \right\} v t_v^1 + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \left[ (p_{n-v-1} - p_n) \frac{\varepsilon_{v+1}}{v+1} \right]_{v=n} n t_n^1 \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \Delta_v \left\{ (P_n - P_{n-v}) \frac{\varepsilon_v}{v} \right\} v t_v^1 + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \Delta_v \left\{ (p_{n-v} - p_n) \frac{\varepsilon_v}{v} \right\} v t_v^1. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>(16)</sup> This is the particular case of Lemma 9 of [11], when  $\alpha = 1$ .

<sup>(17)</sup> This is the particular case of Lemma 11 of [11], when  $\alpha = 1$ .

<sup>(18)</sup> (i) is contained in AHMAD [1], Lemma 8. For (ii), see DANIEL [2], the lemma on page 69.



Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}| &\leq \frac{K p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left| \Delta_\nu \left\{ (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) \frac{\varepsilon_\nu}{\nu} \right\} \right| \nu \mu_\nu + \\
 &+ \frac{K}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left| \Delta_\nu \left\{ (p_{n-\nu} - p_n) \frac{\varepsilon_\nu}{\nu} \right\} \right| \nu \mu_\nu \\
 &= \frac{K p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_1 + \frac{K}{P_{n-1}} \sum_2, \quad \text{say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order that  $\sum_n |\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}| \leq K$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$(2.3.1) \quad \sum_n \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_1 \leq K,$$

$$(2.3.2) \quad \sum_n \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_2 \leq K.$$

Proof of (2.3.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_1 &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left| \Delta_\nu \left\{ (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) \frac{\varepsilon_\nu}{\nu} \right\} \right| \nu \mu_\nu \\
 &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^n (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) \frac{|\varepsilon_\nu|}{\nu} \mu_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^n (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \mu_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^n p_{n-\nu} |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \mu_\nu \\
 &= \sum_{11} + \sum_{12} + \sum_{13}, \quad \text{say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_n \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{11} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) \frac{|\varepsilon_\nu|}{\nu} \mu_\nu \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \mu_\nu \frac{|\varepsilon_\nu|}{\nu} \sum_{n=\nu}^\infty \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) \\
 &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \nu^{-1} \mu_\nu |\varepsilon_\nu| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\
 &\leq K, \quad \text{by hypothesis.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{12} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \mu_\nu \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_\nu |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} (P_n - P_{n-\nu}) \\ &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_\nu |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &\leq K, \quad \text{by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Lastly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{13} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n p_{n-\nu} |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \mu_\nu \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_\nu |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} p_{n-\nu} \\ &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{-1} \mu_\nu |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}) \\ &\leq K, \quad \text{by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2.3.2).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2 &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left| \Delta_\nu \left\{ (p_{n-\nu} - p_n) \frac{\varepsilon_\nu}{\nu} \right\} \right| \nu \mu_\nu \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n (p_{n-\nu} - p_n) \frac{|\varepsilon_\nu|}{\nu} \mu_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^n (p_{n-\nu} - p_n) |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \mu_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^n |\Delta_n p_{n-\nu-1}| |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \mu_\nu \\ &= \sum_{21} + \sum_{22} + \sum_{23}, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{21} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (p_{n-\nu} - p_n) \frac{|\varepsilon_\nu|}{\nu} \mu_\nu \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{-1} \mu_\nu |\varepsilon_\nu| \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n-\nu} - p_n}{P_{n-1}} \\ &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{-1} \mu_\nu |\varepsilon_\nu| \quad (\text{by Lemma 4}) \end{aligned}$$

$\leq K$ , by hypothesis.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{22} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (p_{n-\nu} - p_n) |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \mu_\nu \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_\nu |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n-\nu} - p_n}{P_{n-1}} \\ &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_\nu |\Delta \varepsilon_\nu| \quad (\text{by Lemma 4}) \\ &\leq K, \quad \text{by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{23} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n |\Delta_n p_{n-\nu-1}| |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \mu_\nu \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_\nu |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta_n p_{n-\nu-1}|}{P_{n-1}} \\ &\leq K \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_\nu}{P_\nu} |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| + K \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{-1} \mu_\nu |\varepsilon_{\nu+1}| \quad (\text{by Lemma 3}) \\ &\leq K, \quad \text{by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

This terminates the proof of Theorem 1.

#### 2.4. - Proof of Theorems 2 and 3.

We obtain Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 with  $\mu_n \equiv 1$ , by an appeal to Lemma 5; and we obtain Theorem 3 from Theorem 1, with  $\mu_n = \log n$ , by an appeal to Lemma 6.

2.5. - We deduce the following corollaries from our theorems (Theorems 1, 2 and 3).

Corollary I. Let  $p_0 > 0$ , and let  $p_n$  be non-negative and non-increasing. If  $t_n^t = O(1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and if the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is such that

$$(i) \quad \sum n^{-1} |\lambda_n| < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \sum |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty,$$

then the series  $\sum (P_n/n) \lambda_n a_n$  is summable  $|\mathbb{N}, p_n|$ .

Corollary II. Let  $p_0 > 0$ , and let  $p_n$  be non-negative and non-increasing. If  $\Phi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ , and if the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is such that

$$(i) \quad \sum n^{-1} |\lambda_n| < \infty. \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \sum |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty,$$

then the series  $\sum (P_n/n) \lambda_n A_n(t)$ , at  $t = x$ , is summable  $|\mathbb{N}, p_n|$ .

Corollary III. Let  $p_0 > 0$ , and let  $p_n$  be non-negative and non-increasing. If

$$\int_0^t u |d\Phi_1(u)| = O(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi,$$

and if the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is such that

$$(i) \quad \sum n^{-1} |\lambda_n| < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \sum |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty,$$

then the series  $\sum (P_n/(n \log n)) \lambda_n A_n(t)$ , at  $t = x$ , is summable  $|\mathbb{N}, p_n|$ .

We remark that, if in these corollaries we take  $\{\lambda_n\}$  to be a non-increasing sequence such that the series  $\sum n^{-1} \lambda_n$  is convergent, then, by Lemma 7, the conditions on the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are automatically satisfied and hence these corollaries are more general than the Theorems C and D.

I take this opportunity to express my sincerest thanks to Dr. T. PATI of the Univ. of Jabalpur for his encouragement and valuable guidance and to the University Grants Commission for their kind financial support.

#### References.

- [1] Z. U. AHMAD, *On the absolute Cesàro summability factors of a Fourier series*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (New series) **26** (1962), 141-165.
- [2] E. C. DANIEL, *On absolute summability factors of infinite series*, Proc. Japan Acad. **40** (1964), 65-69.
- [3] M. FEKETE, *Zur Theorie der divergenten Reihen*, Matematikai és természettudományi értesítő (Budapest) **29** (1911), 719-726.
- [4] G. H. HARDY, *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1949.

- [5] E. KOGBETLIANTZ, *Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **49** (1925), 234-256.
- [6] S. N. LAL, *On the absolute harmonic summability of the factored Fourier series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 311-319.
- [7] L. MCFADDEN, *Absolute Nörlund summability*, Duke Math. J. **9** (1942), 168-207.
- [8] F. M. MEARS, *Some multiplication theorems for the Nörlund mean*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1935), 875-880.
- [9] F. M. MEARS, *Absolute regularity and the Nörlund mean*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 594-601.
- [10] N. E. NÖRLUND, *Sur une application des fonctions permutables*, Lunds Univ. Årsskr. (2) **16** (1919), No. 3.
- [11] B. N. PRASAD and S. N. BHATT, *The summability factors of a Fourier series*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 103-120.
- [12] M. RIESZ, *Sur l'équivalence de certaines méthodes de sommation*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **22** (1923), 412-419.
- [13] G. F. WORONOI, *Extension of the notion of the limit of the sum of terms of an infinite series*, Ann. of Math. **33** (1932), 422-428.
- [14] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometrical series*, Monografie matematyczne Tom V, Z Subwencji Funduszu Kultury Narodowej, Warszawa-Lwow 1935.

\* \* \*

