

H. N. NIGAM (*)

Some Uses of the Basic Properties of Meijer Transform
to Integral Function of Two Complex Variables. (**)

1. - Let

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2}$$

be an integral function of two complex variables z_1 and z_2 . Denote

$$M_F(r) = \max_{|z_1|+|z_2|=r} |F(z_1, z_2)|$$

the maximum modulus of $F(z_1, z_2)$.

M. M. DŽRBAŠYAN [1] has given the following definition of order:

The integral function $F(z_1, z_2)$ is said to be of finite order ρ , if

$$(1.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_F(r)}{\log r} = \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < \infty).$$

MEIJER [2] introduced the integral transformation

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(p) = p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}pt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}(pt) (pt)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} f(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0,$$

(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, Lucknow University, Lucknow, India.

(**) This work has been done under the Junior Research Fellowship award sponsored by the University Grants Commission, New Delhi. — Ricevuto: 14-IV-1966.

where $W_{k,m}(z)$ is the WHITTAKER'S confluent hypergeometric function and (1.2) is symbolically denoted by

$$\varphi(p) = M[f(t); k + \frac{1}{2}, m],$$

which is a generalisation of the integral equation

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi(p) = p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} f(t) dt, \quad \text{Re } p > 0,$$

the well known LAPLACE transform and is symbolically denoted by

$$\varphi(p) = L[f(t)].$$

The object of this paper is to evaluate some new type of integrals involving integral functions of two complex variables of order ϱ , based on the properties of MEIJER transform of one variable and the Eulerian integral of the first kind. The particular case when the integral function is of order one has also been studied.

2. - Theorem 1. Let $|\zeta_j| \neq 0$, $|\arg \zeta_j| < \pi/(2\varrho)$, $j = 1, 2$, and let

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2},$$

be an integral function of two complex variables z_1 and z_2 of order ϱ ($0 < \varrho < \infty$); then for $\arg \zeta_1 = \arg \zeta_2$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & J_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \\ & = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^2} (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{\varrho-2} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}((t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{\varrho}) F(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \\ & = \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where (1)

$$b_{n_1, n_2} = a_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\Gamma_{\times} \left(\nu + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{2} \pm m \right)}{\Gamma \left(\nu + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{2} - k \right)},$$

provided $\operatorname{Re} \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \pm m \right) > 0$.

Proof.

Let us first take $\operatorname{Re} p > 0$ and consider the integral

$$(2.2) \quad I_{n_1, n_2}(p) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} p (x_1 + x_2)^{\varrho}} (x_1 + x_2)^{\nu \varrho - 2} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(p(x_1 + x_2)^{\varrho}) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} dx_1 dx_2,$$

where n_1 and n_2 are positive integers. Changing the variables $x_1 = t(1 - u)$, $x_2 = tu$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $0 \leq t < +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n_1, n_2}(p) &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{1}{2} p t^{\varrho} t^{n_1 + n_2 + \nu \varrho - 2}} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(p t^{\varrho}) (1 - u)^{n_1} u^{n_2} \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(t, u)} dt du \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{1}{2} p t^{\varrho} t^{n_1 + n_2 + \nu \varrho - 1}} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(p t^{\varrho}) (1 - u)^{n_1} u^{n_2} dt du. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating u -integral with the help of the Eulerian integral of the first kind (cfr. [3], p. 212), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n_1, n_2}(p) &= \frac{n_1! n_2!}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} p t^{\varrho}} t^{n_1 + n_2 + \nu \varrho - 1} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(p t^{\varrho}) dt \\ &= \frac{n_1! n_2!}{\varrho (n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} p^{-\nu} \frac{n_1 + n_2}{e} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x} x^{\nu + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{e} - 1} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

(1) The symbol $\Gamma_{\times}(u \pm v)$ denotes $\Gamma(u + v) \cdot \Gamma(u - v)$.

Now evaluating x -integral with the help of GOLDSTEIN's integral (cfr. [4], p. 114) ⁽²⁾

$$\int_0^\infty x^{l-1} e^{-(\eta^2 + \frac{1}{2})x} W_{k,m}(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{1}{2} \pm m)}{\Gamma(l + 1 - k)} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} l + \frac{1}{2} \pm m \\ l + 1 - k \end{matrix} ; -\eta^2 \right],$$

$$\operatorname{Re} (l + \frac{1}{2} \pm m) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} (\eta^2 + 1) > 0,$$

leads to

$$(2.3) \quad I_{n_1, n_2}(p) = \frac{n_1! n_2!}{\varrho(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \frac{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{2} \pm m)}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{2} - k)} p^{-\nu - \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho}},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} (\nu + \frac{1}{2} \pm m) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0.$$

Let $\arg \zeta_1 = \arg \zeta_2 = \alpha$ and if we denote $p = e^{i\alpha\varrho}$, where $\operatorname{Re} p = \cos(\alpha\varrho) > 0$, then from (2.1) we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & J_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)} (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{\nu\varrho - 2} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}((t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^\varrho) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} dt_1 dt_2 \\ &= e^{i\alpha(\nu\varrho - 2)} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}p(t_1 |\zeta_1| + t_2 |\zeta_2|)} (t_1 |\zeta_1| + t_2 |\zeta_2|)^{\nu\varrho - 2} \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}(p(t_1 |\zeta_1| + t_2 |\zeta_2|)^\varrho) t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} dt_1 dt_2. \end{aligned} \right.$$

(2) The symbol ${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} e \pm f \\ g \end{matrix} ; x \right]$ denotes ${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} e + f, e - f \\ g \end{matrix} ; x \right]$.

Replacing $t_1 |\zeta_1|$ by x_1 and $t_2 |\zeta_2|$ by x_2 and evaluating the integrals, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \\
 &= e^{i\alpha(\nu\rho-2)} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} \frac{1}{|\zeta_1|^{n_1+1} |\zeta_2|^{n_2+1}} \cdot \\
 &\cdot \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(x_1+x_2)^\rho} (x_1+x_2)^{\nu\rho-2} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}(p(x_1+x_2)^\rho) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &= \frac{e^{i\alpha(\nu\rho-2)}}{\rho} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1+n_2+1)!} \frac{\Gamma_{\times}\left(\nu + \frac{n_1+n_2}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \pm m\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{n_1+n_2}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} - k\right)} \frac{p^{-\nu-(n_1+n_2)/\rho}}{|\zeta_1|^{n_1+1} |\zeta_2|^{n_2+1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \pm m) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0,$$

due to the relation (2.3).

Thus under the conditions $|\zeta_j| \neq 0$, $\arg \zeta_1 = \arg \zeta_2$, $|\arg \zeta_j| < \pi/(2\rho)$, $j = 1, 2$ and an appeal to analytic continuation, we obtain

$$J_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1+n_2+1)!} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1},$$

where

$$b_{n_1, n_2} = a_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\Gamma_{\times}\left(\nu + \frac{n_1+n_2}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \pm m\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{n_1+n_2}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} - k\right)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \pm m) > 0,$$

provided the change of order of integration and summation in (2.4) is justified.

Regarding the change of order of integration and summation in (2.4), we note that $F(z_1, z_2)$ is an integral function of the variables z_1 and z_2 and so the series

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2},$$

converges uniformly for $|z_j| < R_j$, $j = 1, 2$, for R_j arbitrary large and the integral converges uniformly and absolutely for $\text{Re}(v + \frac{1}{2} \pm m) > 0$ and $\text{Re } p > 0$. Also on using ZEMLJAKOV's theorem (cfr. [1], p. 258), we find that

$$\lim_{n_1+n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left| \frac{b_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \right|^{1/(n_1+n_2)} = A^{1/\varrho},$$

where

$$b_{n_1, n_2} = a_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\Gamma \times \left(v + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{2} \pm m \right)}{\Gamma \left(v + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{2} - k \right)}$$

and therefore the resulting series

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1}$$

converges uniformly and absolutely for $|\zeta_j| > A^{1/\varrho}$, $j = 1, 2$, where A is the type of the integral function $F(z_1, z_2)$. Hence the change of order of integration and summation is justified under the above conditions.

Now, since the right hand side of (2.1) is analytic function of ζ_1 and ζ_2 under the conditions $|\zeta_j| > A^{1/\varrho}$, $|\arg \zeta_j| < \pi/(2\varrho)$, $j = 1, 2$, therefore, by the principle of analytic continuation, the formula (2.1) is true under the conditions $|\zeta_j| \neq 0$, $|\arg \zeta_j| < \pi/(2\varrho)$, $j = 1, 2$.

If $k = -m = -\frac{1}{2}$, then the above theorem reduces to the following result:

Corollary. Let $|\zeta_j| \neq 0$, $|\arg \zeta_j| < \pi/(2\varrho)$, $j = 1, 2$; and let

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2}$$

be an integral function of two complex variables z_1 and z_2 of order ϱ ($0 < \varrho < \infty$), then, for $\arg \zeta_1 = \arg \zeta_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{\varrho}} (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{\nu \varrho - 2} F(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\Gamma \left(v + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\varrho} \right)}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\text{Re } \nu > 0$.

A similar type of result has already been obtained by DZRBASYAN (cfr. [1], p. 261).

Particular case. If we take $\rho = 1$, $\nu = (3/2) - k$ in Theorem 1, then for $|\zeta_j| \neq 0$, $|\arg \zeta_j| < \pi/2$, $j = 1, 2$ and $\arg \zeta_1 = \arg \zeta_2$, the value of the integral

$$(2.5) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)} (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m} (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2) F(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \\ & = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \frac{\Gamma_\times(n_1 + n_2 + 2 - k \pm m)}{\Gamma(n_1 + n_2 + 2 - 2k)} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

provided $\operatorname{Re}(2 - k \pm m) > 0$.

If we take $k = -m$ in (2.5), then the value of the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-(t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)} F(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2 = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty a_{n_1, n_2} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1}.$$

3. - Theorem 2. Let $|\zeta_j| \neq 0$, $|\arg \zeta_j| < \pi/(2\rho)$, $j = 1, 2$, and let

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2},$$

be an integral function of two complex variables z_1 and z_2 of order $\rho (0 < \rho < \infty)$; then for $\arg \zeta_1 = \arg \zeta_2$, we have

$$(3.1) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & P_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \\ & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^\rho - (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{-\rho} \right] (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{\rho-2} \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot W_{\frac{1}{2}\rho(n_1+n_2)+2, m} ((t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^\rho) F(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \\ & = \frac{2K_{2m}(2)}{\rho} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

provided the change of order of integration and summation is justified.

Proof.

As in Theorem 1, we first take $\operatorname{Re} p > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ to compute the

integral

$$(3.2) \quad H_{n_1, n_2}(p, a) = \\ = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}p(x_1+x_2)^2} e^{-a(x_1+x_2)^{-2}} (x_1+x_2)^{e-2} W_{(1/e)(n_1+n_2)+2, m}(p(x_1+x_2)^e) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Changing the variables $x_1 = t(1-u)$, $x_2 = tu$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $0 \leq t < +\infty$, we obtain

$$H_{n_1, n_2}(p, a) = \\ = \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}pt^2} e^{-at^{-e}} t^{n_1+n_2+e-2} W_{(1/e)(n_1+n_2)+2, m}(pt^e)(1-u)^{n_1} u^{n_2} \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(t, u)} dt du. \\ \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}pt^2} e^{-at^{-e}} t^{n_1+n_2+e-1} W_{(1/e)(n_1+n_2)+2, m}(pt^e)(1-u)^{n_1} u^{n_2} dt du.$$

Evaluating u -integral with the help of Eulerian integral of the first kind (cfr. [3], p. 212), we obtain

$$H_{n_1, n_2}(p, a) = \\ = \frac{n_1! n_2!}{(n_1+n_2+1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}pt^2} e^{-at^{-e}} t^{n_1+n_2+e-1} W_{(1/e)(n_1+n_2)+2, m}(pt^e) dt \\ = \frac{n_1! n_2!}{\varrho(n_1+n_2+1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-ax} e^{-\frac{1}{2}p/x} x^{-(n_1+n_2)/e+2} W_{(1/e)(n_1+n_2)+2, m}(p/x) dx.$$

Now using the operational representation due to GOLDSTEIN (cfr. [4], p. 107).

$$2p^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{k+\frac{1}{2}} K_{2m}(2(pa)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = L[e^{-\frac{1}{2}p/x} W_{k, m}(p/x)x^{-k}], \quad \text{Re } p > 0, \quad \text{Re } a > 0,$$

leads to

$$(3.3) \quad H_{n_1, n_2}(p, a) = 2 \frac{n_1! n_2!}{\varrho(n_1+n_2+1)!} p^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{(n_1+n_2)/e+\frac{3}{2}} K_{2m}(2(pa)^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \text{Re } p > 0, \quad \text{Re } a > 0.$$

Let $\arg \zeta_1 = \arg \zeta_2 = \alpha$ and if we denote $p = e^{i\alpha e}$, $a = e^{-i\alpha e}$, where

$\operatorname{Re} p = \operatorname{Re} a = \cos(\alpha\varrho) > 0$, then from (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.4) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & P_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \\ & = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^\varrho - (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{-\varrho} \right] (t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^{\varrho-2} \cdot \\ & \cdot W_{(\frac{1}{\varrho}(n_1+n_2)+2, m)}((t_1 \zeta_1 + t_2 \zeta_2)^\varrho) \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} dt_1 dt_2 \\ & = e^{i\alpha(\varrho-2)} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} p(t_1 |\zeta_1| + t_2 |\zeta_2|)^\varrho - a(t_1 |\zeta_1| + t_2 |\zeta_2|)^{-\varrho} \right] \cdot \\ & \cdot (t_1 |\zeta_1| + t_2 |\zeta_2|)^{\varrho-2} W_{(\frac{1}{\varrho}(n_1+n_2)+2, m)}(p(t_1 |\zeta_1| + t_2 |\zeta_2|)^\varrho) t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} dt_1 dt_2 . \end{aligned} \right.$$

Replacing $t_1 |\zeta_1|$ by x_1 and $t_2 |\zeta_2|$ by x_2 and evaluating the integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \\ & = e^{i\alpha(\varrho-2)} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{n_1! n_2!} \frac{1}{|\zeta_1|^{n_1+1} |\zeta_2|^{n_2+1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2} p(x_1+x_2)^\varrho} e^{-a(x_1+x_2)^{-\varrho}} (x_1 + x_2)^{\varrho-2} \cdot \\ & \cdot W_{(\frac{1}{\varrho}(n_1+n_2)+2, m)}(p(x_1 + x_2)^\varrho) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} dx_1 dx_2 , \\ & = \frac{2ae^{i\alpha(\varrho-2)}(pa)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{2m}(2(pa)^{\frac{1}{2}})}{\varrho} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \frac{a^{(\frac{1}{\varrho}(n_1+n_2))}}{|\zeta_1|^{n_1+1} |\zeta_2|^{n_2+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} p > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} a > 0,$$

due to the relation (3.3).

Thus under the given conditions and an appeal to analytic continuation, we obtain

$$P_{n_1, n_2}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{2K_{2m}(2)}{\varrho} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^\infty \frac{a_{n_1, n_2}}{(n_1 + n_2 + 1)!} \zeta_1^{-n_1-1} \zeta_2^{-n_2-1},$$

provided the change of order of integration and summation in (3.4) is justified.

I am grateful to Dr. S. K. BOSE for suggesting the problem and for his help during the preparation of this paper.

References.

- [1] M. M. DŽRBASYAN, *On integral representation and expansion in generalized Taylor series of entire functions of several complex variables*, Mat. Sb. N. S. 41 (83) (1957), 257-276.
- [2] C. S. MEIJER, *Eine neue Erweiterung der Laplace Transformation*, I, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. 44 (1941), 727-737.
- [3] E. T. COPSON, *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Oxford 1961 (cfr. p. 212).
- [4] S. GOLDSTEIN, *Operational representations of Whittaker's confluent hypergeometric function and Weber's parabolic cylinder function*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 34 (1932), 103-125.

* * *