

S. P. SINGH (\*)

**A Note «on a Fixed Point Theorem».** (\*\*)

Let  $E$  be a semi-metric space: that is, a set equipped with a semi-metric  $d$ ;  $d$  is thus a real valued function on  $E \times E$  such that

$$d(x, y) = d(y, x) \geq 0, \quad d(x, x) = 0, \quad d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y),$$

for arbitrary elements  $x, y, z$  of  $E$ . [ $d$  is a metric if in addition  $d(x, y) \neq 0$  for  $x \neq y$ .] A map  $U$  of  $E$  into itself is termed a contraction of  $E$  if there exists a number  $k$  satisfying  $0 \leq k < 1$  such that

$$d(\mathcal{U}(x), \mathcal{U}(y)) \leq k d(x, y)$$

for arbitrary  $x, y$  in  $E$ . Any such contraction of  $E$  is obviously a continuous map of  $E$  into itself. The following fixed point theorems are well-known.

1. Let  $(E, d)$  be a complete semi-metric space,  $\mathcal{U}$  a contraction map of  $E$  into itself. Then there exists at least one point  $x$  of  $E$  satisfying  $d(\mathcal{U}(x), x) = 0$ .

2. Let  $E$  be a complete semi-metric space; if  $S$  is a non-empty closed subset of  $E$  and  $\mathcal{U}: S \rightarrow S$  a contraction, then  $f$  has at least one fixed point.

In the present Note we prove the following theorem for semi-metric space.

---

(\*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada.

(\*\*) During the writing of this Note the author held a Summer Fellowship of the Canadian Mathematical Congress at Kingston. — Ricevuto: 10-VIII-1967.

**Theorem.** *Let  $E$  be a semi-metric space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$E$  is complete.*
- (2) *If  $S$  is any nonempty closed subset of  $E$  and  $\mathcal{U}: S \rightarrow S$  any contraction, then  $\mathcal{U}$  has a fixed point.*

**Proof.**

We prove that (2) implies (1). Suppose that  $E$  contains a non-convergent CAUCHY sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  has the CAUCHY property, it has no cluster points, i. e. it has no convergent subsequence. Since, moreover,  $\{x_n, (n = 0, 1, \dots)\}$  must be infinite, we may assume that it consists of distinct terms, otherwise, we may select a subsequence which does. We take any  $x \in E$ , then  $l(x) = \inf\{d(x, x_n), x_n = x, (n = 0, 1, \dots)\} > 0$ , because  $\{x_n\}$  has no cluster points. We choose any  $k$  such that  $0 < k < 1$ . We define a mapping  $\varrho$  of the set of non-negative integers into itself inductively as follows:  $\varrho(0) = 0$ , and if  $n > 1$  and  $\varrho(n-1)$  is defined, let  $\varrho(n)$  be an integer  $> \varrho(n-1)$  such that  $d(x_i, x_j) \leq k \cdot l(x_{\varrho(n-1)})$  for all integers  $i, j \geq \varrho(n)$ .

Then  $\{x_{\varrho(n)}\}$  is a subsequence of distinct terms and is non-convergent. The set  $S = \{x_{\varrho(n)}, (n = 0, 1, \dots)\}$  is closed and the mapping  $\mathcal{U}: S \rightarrow S$  defined by  $\mathcal{U}(x_{\varrho(n)}) = x_{\varrho(n+1)}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , is a contraction with no fixed point.

The proof is complete.

In case of complete metric space a similar result has been given by HU [1].

#### References.

- [1] T. K. HU, *On a fixed point theorem for metric spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 436-437.

\*\*\*