

M. K. SEN (\*)

On Some Properties of an Integral Function  $f(z)*g(z)$ . (\*\*)

1. - Let

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

be two integral functions. Then

$$(1.3) \quad f(z)*g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

will be an integral function. For

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{-1/n} = +\infty, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |b_n|^{-1/n} = +\infty,$$

therefore

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n b_n|^{-1/n} = +\infty.$$

Let  $f^{(s)}(z)$  be the  $s$ -th derivative of  $f(z)$ :

$$(1.4) \quad f^{(s)}(z) = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} n(n-1) \dots (n-s+1) a_n z^{n-s}.$$

---

(\*) Indirizzo: Department of Pure Mathematics, Calcutta University, Calcutta, India.

(\*\*) Ricevuto: 8-IX-1967.

Similarly,

$$(1.5) \quad g^{(s)}(z) = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} n(n-1) \dots (n-s+1) b_n z^{n-s}.$$

Further, let

$$M(r, s) = \max_{|z|=r} |f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)| \quad (s = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$M^*(r, s) = \max_{|z|=r} |(f(z) * g(z))^{(s)}|$$

where  $(f(z) * g(z))^{(s)}$  is the  $s$ -th derivative of  $f(z) * g(z)$ , i. e. of the series (1.3).

Let  $\mu(r, s)$  be the maximum term of  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$  for  $|z| = r$  and  $\nu(r, s)$  the rank of this term.

In this paper we have studied some of the growth properties of  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$ .

2. - We first prove in this section the following

**Lemma 1.** *If  $f(z) * g(z)$  be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$ , then  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$  is an integral function of finite order  $\rho$ .*

**Proof.** It is known ([1], p. 9) that a function  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  will be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$ , if and only if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(1/|c_n|)}{n \log n} = \frac{1}{\rho}.$$

Now, for  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z) = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 a_n b_n z^{n-s}$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log\{1/(n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 |a_n b_n|)\}}{n \log n} =$$

$$= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(1/|a_n b_n|)}{n \log n} = \frac{1}{\rho}.$$

Hence the lemma.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two integral functions of finite orders  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  respectively, then  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$  is an integral function of finite order  $\rho$*

such that

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{\varrho} \geq \frac{1}{\varrho_1} + \frac{1}{\varrho_2}.$$

Proof. Since  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  are integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$  respectively and  $f(z)*g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$ , therefore we have ([2], p. 421) the (2.1).

Further, we have proved in Lemma 1 that  $f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z)$  is an integral function of the same order as  $f(z)*g(z)$ . Hence the theorem.

3. - In this section we are going to prove another lemma which will be used in the proof of the next theorem.

Lemma 2. *If  $f(z)*g(z)$  be an integral function of finite order  $\varrho$  and type  $T$  ( $0 < T < \infty$ ), then  $f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z)$  will be an integral function of order  $\varrho$  and type  $T$ .*

Proof. In Lemma 1 we have proved that  $f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z)$  is an integral function and of order  $\varrho$ .

It is known ([1], p. 11) that an integral function  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  of finite order  $\varrho$  will be of type  $T$  ( $0 < T < \infty$ ) if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{e \varrho} n | c_n | e^{n} \right\} = T.$$

Now, for  $f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z) = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 a_n b_n z^{n-s}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{e \varrho} n | n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 a_n b_n | e^{n} \right\} = \\ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{e \varrho} n | a_n b_n | e^{n} \right\} = T. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. If  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be two integral functions of finite orders  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  and finite types  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively, then  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$  will be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  and finite type  $T$ , such that:

$$(i) \quad (eT\rho)^{1/\rho} \geq (eT_1 \rho_1)^{1/\rho_1} (eT_2 \rho_2)^{1/\rho_2};$$

(ii) if  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are of regular growth and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions of  $n$  for  $n > n_0$ , then

$$(T\rho)^{1/\rho} = (T_1 \rho_1)^{1/\rho_1} (T_2 \rho_2)^{1/\rho_2}.$$

Proof. (i) Consider

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/\rho} [n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 | a_n b_n |]^{1/n} &\geq \\ &\geq n^{1/\rho_1 + 1/\rho_2} [n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 | a_n b_n |]^{1/n} = \\ &= n^{1/\rho_1} [n(n-1) \dots (n-s+1) | a_n |]^{1/n} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot n^{1/\rho_2} [n(n-1) \dots (n-s+1) | b_n |]^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits of both sides and using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$(eT\rho)^{1/\rho} \geq (eT_1 \rho_1)^{1/\rho_1} (eT_2 \rho_2)^{1/\rho_2}.$$

(ii) If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are integral functions of regular growth and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be a non-decreasing functions of  $n$  for  $n > n_0$ , then ([3], p. 26)

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/\rho} [n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 | a_n b_n |]^{1/n} &= \\ &= n^{1/\rho_1 + 1/\rho_2} [n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 | a_n b_n |]^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding to limits, we have

$$(T\rho)^{1/\rho} = (T_1 \rho_1)^{1/\rho_1} (T_2 \rho_2)^{1/\rho_2}.$$

4. — Here we obtain inequalities connecting the maximum modulus of  $f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z)$ .

Theorem 3. *It is*

$$\frac{1}{r} \{ M(r, 0) - |F(0)| \} \leq M(r, 1) \leq \frac{R_1 M(R, 0)}{(R - R_1)(R_1 - r)},$$

where

$$F(0) = f(0)*g(0) \quad \text{for } r < R_1 < R.$$

Proof. We can easily see that

$$f^{(n)}(z)*g^{(n)}(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z \frac{d}{dz} (f*g) \right].$$

Therefore

$$z \frac{d}{dz} (f(z)*g(z)) = \int_0^z f^{(n)}(t)*g^{(n)}(t) dt,$$

where the integral may be taken along a straight line.

Choosing  $z$  such that

$$\left| z \frac{d}{dz} (f(z)*g(z)) \right| = |z| M^*(|z|, 1),$$

we have

$$M^*(r, 1) \leq M(r, 1).$$

Again

$$f(z)*g(z) = \int_0^z \frac{d}{dt} [f(t)*g(t)] dt + f(0)*g(0),$$

therefore

$$M(r, 0) \leq r M^*(r, 1) + |f(0)*g(0)|.$$

Hence

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{M(r, 0) - |F(0)|}{r} \leq M^*(r, 1) \leq M(r, 1).$$

On the otherhand by CAUCHY's integral formula

$$f^{(n)}(z)*g^{(n)}(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z \frac{d}{dz} (f*g) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{t}{(t-z)^2} \frac{d}{dt} (f*g) dt,$$

where  $C$  is the circle  $|t - z| = R_1 - r$  ( $|z| = r < R_1$ ).

Choosing  $z$  so that  $|f^{(n)}(z) * g^{(n)}(z)| = M(r, 1)$  and knowing that  $|t| < R_1$ , we have

$$M(r, 1) \leq \frac{R_1 M^*(R_1, 1)}{R_1 - r}.$$

Again

$$\frac{d}{dz} [f(z) * g(z)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\omega) * g(\omega)}{(\omega - z)^2} d\omega,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the circle  $|\omega - z| = R - R_1$  ( $|z| = R_1 < R$ ). Therefore

$$M^*(R_1, 1) \leq \frac{M(R, 0)}{R - R_1}.$$

Hence

$$(4.2) \quad M(r, 1) \leq \frac{R_1 M^*(R_1, 1)}{R_1 - r} \leq \frac{R_1 M(R, 0)}{(R - R_1)(R_1 - r)}.$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2), the result follows.

**Remark.** Taking  $R = 4r$  and  $R_1 = 2r$  we can easily see that the order of  $f(z) * g(z)$  and  $f^{(n)}(z) * g^{(n)}(z)$  are same.

**Theorem 4.** If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two integral functions given by (1.1) and (1.2) of finite orders  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  respectively, then

$$M(r, s + 1) < M(r, s) r^{\rho_2 - 1 + \varepsilon},$$

where  $\rho$  is the order of  $f(z) * g(z)$  and for sufficiently large values of  $r$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** We know from Theorem 1 that if  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are integral functions of finite orders  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  respectively, then  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$  ( $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) is also an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \geq \frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2}.$$

Since  $f(z) * g(z)$  is an integral function of finite order  $\rho$ , therefore from a known result ([4], p. 34) we obtain

$$\mu(r, s) < M(r, s) < \mu(r, s) r^{\rho + \varepsilon},$$

where  $\mu(r, s)$  is the maximum term of  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$  and  $\nu(r, s)$  rank of this term.

$$\text{Now for } f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z) = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 a_n b_n z^{n-s},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(r, s) &= [\nu(r, s) \{ \nu(r, s) - 1 \} \dots \{ \nu(r, s) - s + 1 \}]^2 | a_{\nu(r,s)} b_{\nu(r,s)} | r^{\nu(r,s)-s}, \\ \mu(r, s + 1) &= [\nu(r, s + 1) \{ \nu(r, s + 1) - 1 \} \dots \{ \nu(r, s + 1) - s \}]^2 \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot | a_{\nu(r,s+1)} b_{\nu(r,s+1)} | r^{\nu(r,s+1)-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4.3) \quad \mu(r, s + 1) \leq \frac{\mu(r, s)}{r} [\nu(r, s + 1) - s]^2 \leq \frac{\mu(r, s)}{r} [\nu(r, s + 1)]^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, s + 1) &< \mu(r, s + 1) r^{\varrho + \varepsilon}, && \text{for } \varepsilon > 0, \\ &\leq \mu(r, s) r^{3\varrho + \varepsilon - 1}, && \text{for large } r, \\ &\leq M(r, s) r^{3\varrho + \varepsilon - 1}, && \text{for large } r. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks :

(1) For large  $r$  and  $0 < \varrho < 1/3$  it follows from the above theorem

$$M(r, 0) > M(r, 1) > \dots > M(r, s) > \dots$$

(2) If  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  are two integral functions of same order  $\varrho_1$  and of regular growth and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|, |b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing sequences, then

$$M(r, s + 1) < M(r, s) r^{(3/2)\varrho_1 + \varepsilon - 1}.$$

Since from Theorem 1 we have  $1/\varrho = 2/\varrho_1$ , therefore from Theorem 4 the result follows.

(3) If  $\varrho' = \max(\varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ , then

$$M(r, s+1) < M(r, s) r^{(3/2)\varrho'+\varepsilon-1}.$$

Since from Theorem 1 we have  $1/\varrho \geq (1/\varrho_1) + (1/\varrho_2)$ , therefore  $1/\varrho \geq 2/\varrho'$ , and the result follows from Theorem 4.

(4) If  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$  satisfy the following conditions  $0 < \varrho_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $0 < \varrho_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$M(r, 0) > M(r, 1) > \dots > M(r, s) > \dots$$

Since  $1/\varrho \geq (1/\varrho_1) + (1/\varrho_2)$ , therefore  $\varrho < \frac{1}{4}$ . Hence from Remark 1 the result follows.

5. - Next, we obtain inequalities involving the maximum term and its rank of

$$f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z) = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 a_n b_n z^{n-s}.$$

**Theorem 5.** *If  $\nu(r, s)$ ,  $\nu(r, s+1)$  denote the rank of the maximum term of  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$  and  $f^{(s+1)}(z) * g^{(s+1)}(z)$  respectively for  $|z| = r$ , then*

$$(5.1) \quad \{\nu(r, s) - s\}^2 \leq r \frac{\mu(r, s+1)}{\mu(r, s)} \leq \{\nu(r, s+1) - s\}^2.$$

**Proof.** We have from (4.3)

$$r \frac{\mu(r, s+1)}{\mu(r, s)} \leq \{\nu(r, s+1) - s\}^2.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(r, s+1) &= [\nu(r, s+1) \{\nu(r, s+1) - 1\} \dots \{\nu(r, s+1) - s\}]^2 |a_{r, (r, s+1)} b_{r, (r, s+1)}| r^{\nu(r, s+1) - s - 1} \\ &\geq [\nu(r, s) \{\nu(r, s) - 1\} \dots \{\nu(r, s) - s\}]^2 |a_{r, (r, s)} b_{r, (r, s)}| r^{\nu(r, s) - s - 1} \\ &= \{\nu(r, s) - s\}^2 \mu(r, s) / r, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the required result.

**Applications:**

$$(i) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r \mu(r, s+1) / \mu(r, s)}{\log r} = 2\varrho,$$



where  $\varrho$  is the finite order of  $f(z)*g(z)$ .

From the second inequality of (5.1) we have

$$\log \left\{ r \frac{\mu(r, s+1)}{\mu(r, s)} \right\} \leq 2 \log \{v(r, s+1) - s\}$$

and, using Lemma 1 and  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log v(r, s+1)}{\log r} = \varrho$ , we get

$$(5.2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{r \mu(r, s+1)/\mu(r, s)\}}{\log r} \leq 2\varrho.$$

Similarly, from the first inequality of (5.1) we can deduce

$$(5.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{r \mu(r, s+1)/\mu(r, s)\}}{\log r} \geq 2\varrho.$$

Combining (5.2) and (5.3) the result follows:

$$(ii) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{r \mu(r, s+1)/\mu(r, s)\}}{\log r} = 2\lambda,$$

this follows from the inequalities in (5.1).

**Theorem 6.** *If  $v(r, s)$  and  $v(r, s+1)$  denote the rank of the maximum terms  $\mu(r, s)$  and  $\mu(r, s+1)$  of  $f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z)$  and  $f^{(s+1)}(z)*g^{(s+1)}(z)$  respectively for  $|z| = r$ , then, at the points of existence of  $\mu'(r, s)$ ,  $r > 0$ ,*

$$\frac{v(r, s) - s}{v(r, s+1) - s} \leq \mu'(r, s) \left\{ \frac{r}{\mu(r, s)\mu(r, s+1)} \right\}^{1/2} \leq 1.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$(5.4) \quad \log \mu(r, s) = \log \mu(r_0, s) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{v(t, s) - s}{t} dt.$$

Differentiating we get

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\mu'(r, s)}{\mu(r, s)} = \frac{v(r, s) - s}{r}$$

at the points of existence of  $\mu'(r, s)$ .

Again from (5.1)

$$(5.6) \quad \nu(r, s) - s \leq \left\{ \frac{r \mu(r, s+1)}{\mu(r, s)} \right\}^{1/2} \leq \nu(r, s+1) - s.$$

Hence from (5.6) and (5.5) we find

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{\mu'(r, s)}{\{\mu(r, s)\}^{1/2}} \leq \left\{ \frac{\mu(r, s+1)}{r} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Again, using (5.5) and (5.7), we have

$$\frac{\nu(r, s) - s}{\nu(r, s+1) - s} \leq \mu'(r, s) \left\{ \frac{r}{\mu(r, s) \mu(r, s+1)} \right\}^{1/2} \leq 1.$$

Corollary:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mu'(r, s) \left\{ \frac{r}{\mu(r, s) \mu(r, s+1)} \right\}^{1/2} \right) = 1.$$

This follows from  $\nu(r, s) \sim \nu(r, s+1)$ .

**Theorem 7.** *If  $f(z)*g(z)$  be an integral function of order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) and lower order  $\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ), then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, s)}{\nu(r, s) \log r} \leq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\rho}.$$

**Proof.**

$$f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z) = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 a_n b_n z^{n-s}.$$

Since  $f(z)*g(z)$  is an integral function of finite order  $\rho$ , therefore, by Lemma 1,  $f^{(s)}(z)*g^{(s)}(z)$  is also an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  and so

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \{n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 | a_n b_n \}}{n \log n}.$$

Hence

$$-\log \{n^2(n-1)^2 \dots (n-s+1)^2 | a_n b_n \} > ((1/\rho) - \varepsilon) n \log n \quad \text{for } n > n_0.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mu(r, s) &= \log [\{v(r, s)\}^2 \{v(r, s) - 1\}^2 \dots \{v(r, s) - s + 1\}^2 | a_{r(r,s)} b_{v(r,s)} |] + \\ &\quad + \{v(r, s) - s\} \log r < \\ &< - ((1/\varrho) - \varepsilon) v(r, s) \log v(r, s) + v(r, s) \log r \quad \text{for } r > r_0, \end{aligned}$$

using the above inequality, or

$$\frac{\log \mu(r, s)}{v(r, s) \log r} \leq 1 - \frac{\log v(r, s)}{\log r} \left( \frac{1}{\varrho} - \varepsilon \right) \quad \text{for } r > r_0.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, s)}{v(r, s) \log r} \leq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\varrho}.$$

Theorem 8. *If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two integral functions, then*

$$(i) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log [r \mu'(r, s) / \mu'(r, s-1)]}{\log r} \geq \varrho,$$

$$(ii) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \{r [\mu'(r, s) / \mu'(r, 0)]^{1/s}\}}{\log r} \geq \varrho,$$

where  $\varrho$  is the order of  $f(z) * g(z)$  and  $\mu(r, s)$  is the maximum term of  $f^{(s)}(z) * g^{(s)}(z)$ .

Proof. We have from the second inequality of (5.1) and from (5.5)

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{v(r, s) - s}{r} < \frac{\mu'(r, s)}{\mu'(r, s-1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\log \left\{ r \frac{\mu'(r, s)}{\mu'(r, s-1)} \right\} > \log [v(r, s) - s].$$

On proceeding to limits and using the result of Lemma 1, (i) follows. Again giving to  $s$  in (5.8) the values 1, 2, ...,  $s$  and multiplying together, we obtain

$$\frac{\mu'(r, s)}{\mu'(r, 0)} > \left\{ \frac{v(r, s) - s}{r} \right\}^s.$$

From this result (ii) follows.

Theorem 9. *If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two integral functions, then*

$$(5.9) \quad \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^{\nu(r_1, s)-s} \leq \frac{\mu(r_2, s)}{\mu(r_1, s)} \leq \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^{\nu(r_2, s)-s}, \quad 0 < r_1 < r_2.$$

Proof. It is

$$\log \mu(r, s) = \log \mu(r_0, s) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\nu(x, s) - s}{x} dx.$$

From this we can write

$$(5.10) \quad \log \mu(r_2, s) \leq \log \mu(r_1, s) + [\nu(r_2, s) - s] \log \frac{r_2}{r_1},$$

$$(5.11) \quad \log \mu(r_2, s) \geq \log \mu(r_1, s) + [\nu(r_1, s) - s] \log \frac{r_2}{r_1}.$$

(5.10) and (5.11) lead to (5.9).

In conclusion, I offer my grateful thanks to Professor S. K. BOSE for his guidance in the work.

#### References.

- [1] R. P. BOAS, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, New York 1954.
- [2] R. WILSON, *Hadamard multiplication of integral functions of finite order and mean type*, J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1957), 421-429.
- [3] R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA, *On the order of integral functions*, Ganita **10** (1959), 23-30.
- [4] G. VALIRON, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, Toulouse 1923.

\* \* \*