

VIJENDRA SINGH (*)

On a Relation Between Harmonic Summability and Riemann Summability. (**)

1. - Definitions and notations.

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with s_n as its n -th partial sum. We denote by S_n^k the n -th CESÀRO sum of order k of this series. An infinite series $\sum a_n$ is said to be $(R, 1)$ summable to the sum s , if the series

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{\sin nt}{nt}$$

converges in some interval $0 < t < t_0$ and if

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{\sin nt}{nt} = s.$$

The summability $(R, 1)$ is sometimes referred to as LEBESGUE summability.

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be (R_1) summable to the sum s , if the series

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n \frac{\sin nt}{n}$$

converges in some interval $0 < t < t_0$ and if,

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n \frac{\sin nt}{nt} = s.$$

(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, Hindu College, Moradabad, India.

(**) Ricevuto: 24-II-1970.

It is said to be (O, K) -summable to s , if

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^k / A_n^k = s \quad \text{where} \quad A_n^k = \binom{n+k}{n} \quad (K > -1)$$

and harmonic summable to the sum s , if $t_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where,

$$(1.6) \quad t_n = \frac{T_n}{\log(n+1)} = \frac{1}{\log(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^n p_{n-v} s_v, \quad p_n = \frac{1}{n+1}; \quad T_0 = 0.$$

It is well known that summability $(R, 1)$ and (R_1) are not comparable [1].

Concerning $(R, 1)$ summability and (R_1) summability SZÁSZ ([3], [4]) has proved the following

Theorem A. If $\sum a_n$ is $(O, 1-\alpha)$ summable for some positive $\alpha < 1$, and if

$$(1.7) \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{v=1}^n |S_v^{-\alpha}| = O(n^{1-\alpha}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then the series $\sum a_n$ is summable by $(R, 1)$ and (R_1) methods.

Recently VARSHNEY [5] has proved an analogous theorem for harmonic summability. His result is as follows:

Theorem B. If $\sum_1^\infty a_n$ is harmonic summable and if

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{v=1}^n |T_v - T_{v-1}| = O(\log n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then $\sum_1^\infty a_n$ is LEBESGUE summable.

Quite recently author [6] has proved the following theorem for (R_1) summability.

Theorem C. If $\sum a_n$ is harmonic summable and if

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_1^n |T_v| = O(\log n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ is (R_1) summable.

The question arises as to whether the condition (1.9) can be replaced by a lighter condition (1.8).

The object of this Note is to answer this question in affirmative.
In what follows we shall prove the following

Theorem. If $\sum_1^{\infty} a_n$ is harmonic summable and if,

$$(1.10) \quad W_n = \sum_{v=1}^n |T_v - T_{v-1}| = O(\log n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

then $\sum_1^{\infty} a_n$ is (R_1) summable.

2. - We set

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n \right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$$

then we have

$$(2.1) \quad a_n = \sum_{v=1}^n c_{n-v} (T_v - T_{v-1})$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad s_n = \sum_{v=1}^n c_{n-v} T_v.$$

It is well known that [2] $c_n = O(1/(n \log^2 n))$.

$$(2.3) \quad d_n = \sum_{v=0}^n c_v = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$T_n = o(\log n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

3. - We require the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 1 [5]. Let $K_n = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} b_v/v$, where $b_v = T_v - T_{v-1}$ and $\sum_1^n |T_v - T_{v-1}| = O(\log n)$, then $K_n = o(\log n/n)$. Also $K'_n = \sum_1^n K_v = o(\log n)$.

Lemma 2 [5]. Let

$$\eta_v(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{\sin(n+v)t}{n+v}$$

then

$$(3.1) \quad \eta_v(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{v \log T}\right), \quad T = \left[\frac{1}{t}\right], \quad (0 < t < 1), \quad (v \geq 1).$$

Lemma 3 [4]. If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n (\sin nt/n)$ converges in $0 < t < t_0$ then;

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n \frac{\sin nt}{n} = \sum_1^{\infty} a_n \varrho_n(t)$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \varrho_n(t) = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{\sin vt}{v}.$$

Conversely, if $s_n/n \rightarrow 0$, then the convergence of $\sum_1^{\infty} a_n \varrho_n(t)$ implies (3.2).

Lemma 4. If $\beta_v(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varrho_{n+v}(t)$, then

$$(3.4) \quad \beta_v(t) = O(1/v t \log T), \quad v \geq 1 \text{ where } T = [1/t].$$

Proof. Let $\beta_v(t) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^x + \sum_{n=x+1}^{\infty}\right) c_n \varrho_{n+v}(t) = U_1 + U_2$, say then,

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &= O\left(\sum_{n=x+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2 n} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+v)t}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{(v+x+1)t} \sum_{n=x+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2 n}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{v t \log T}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$U_1 = \sum_{n=0}^x c_n \varrho_{n+v}(t).$$

Applying ABELL's transformation to U_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} d_n \Delta \varrho_{n+v}(t) + d_x \varrho_{x+v}(t) = \\ &= O\left(\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} \frac{1}{\log(n+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+v)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log T} \cdot \frac{1}{(v+T)t}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{vt \log T}\right), \end{aligned}$$

hence $U_1 + U_2 = O(1/(vt \log T))$.

Lemma 5.

$$\Delta \beta_v(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{v \log T}\right) \quad [5] \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^2 \beta_v(t) = O\left(\frac{t}{v \log T}\right) \quad [6].$$

4. - Proof of the theorem.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s_n}{n} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^n c_{n-v} T_v \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=0}^n O\left(\frac{1}{(n-v) \log^2(n-v)}\right) o(\log v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{o(\log n + k)}{k \log^2 k} = \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{k-1} o\left(\frac{1}{k \log k}\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{n} \log \log n\right) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

therefore by virtue of Lemma 3 it is sufficient to prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varrho_n(t)$ converges and its limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ is equal to zero. Using (2.1) we have

$$(4.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varrho_n(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varrho_n(t) \sum_{v=1}^n c_{n-v} (T_v - T_{v-1}) \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (T_v - T_{v-1}) \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} c_{n-v} \varrho_n(t). \end{aligned} \right.$$

The change of order of summation is justified for

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |T_v - T_{v-1}| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n \varrho_{n+v}(t)| = O\left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |T_v - T_{v-1}| \frac{1}{vt} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|\right)$$

$$= O\left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |T_v - T_{v-1}| 1/v\right) \quad (\text{for fixed positive } t)$$

$$= O\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{W_v}{v(v+1)} + \frac{W_n}{n}\right) = O\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\log v}{v(v+1)}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right) = O(1).$$

Thus the series in (4.1) converge absolutely.

Let

$$F(t) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (T_v - T_{v-1}) \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} c_{n-v} Q_n(t).$$

Now we choose a positive number μ , put $n = [\mu/t]$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (T_v - T_{v-1}) \beta_v(t) &= \left(\sum_{v=1}^n + \sum_{n+1}^{\infty}\right) (T_v - T_{v-1}) \beta_v(t) \\ &= V_1 + V_2, \end{aligned}$$

say.

From (3.4) we have

$$V_2 = O\left(\frac{1}{t \log T} \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v} |T_v - T_{v-1}|\right).$$

Using ABEL's transformation we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &= O\left\{\frac{1}{t \log T} \left(\sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{W_v}{v(v+1)} - \frac{W_n}{n+1}\right)\right\} \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log n}{nt \log T}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{t \log T} \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\log v}{v(v+1)}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log n}{nt \log T}\right) = O\left(\frac{\log \mu}{\mu}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &= \sum_{v=1}^n (T_v - T_{v-1}) \beta_v(t) = \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^n (K_v - K_{v+1}) v \beta_v(t) && \text{(Lemma 1)} \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^n K_v \{v \beta_v(t) - (v-1) \beta_{v-1}(t)\} - n K_{n+1} \beta_n(t) \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^n K_v \left\{ v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varrho_{n+v} - (v-1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varrho_{n+v-1} \right\} - n K_{n+1} \beta_n(t) \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^n K_v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varrho_{n+v-1} - \sum_{v=1}^n K_v v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varrho_{n+v-1} - n K_{n+1} \beta_n(t) \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^n K_v \beta_{v-1}(t) + o\left(\sum_{v=1}^n K_v v \frac{1}{v \log T}\right) + \frac{o(\log n)}{nt \log T} \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^n K_v \beta_{v-1}(t) + o\left(\frac{\log n}{\log T}\right) + o\left(\frac{\log n}{nt \log T}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying ABEL's transformation twice, to the same sum we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{v=1}^n K_v \beta_{v-1}(t) = \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{v=m}^n K'_m \Delta^2 \beta_{v-1}(t) + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} K'_m \Delta \beta_{n-2}(t) + \sum_{m=1}^n K_m \beta_{n-1}(t) = \\
&= o\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-2} v \log v \frac{t}{(v-1) \log T}\right) + o\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \log m \frac{1}{(n-2) \log T}\right) + o\left(\frac{\log n}{nt \log T}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &= o\left(\frac{nt \log n}{\log T}\right) + o\left(\frac{\log n}{\log T}\right) + o\left(\frac{\log n}{nt \log T}\right) \\
&= o(\mu \log \mu) + o(\log \mu) + o(\log \mu/\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$V_1 + V_2 = O(\log \mu/\mu) + o(\mu \log \mu) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} |F(t)| \leq O(\log \mu/\mu),$$

μ being arbitrarily large, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F'(t) = 0 .$$

This completes the Proof of our Theorem.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Dr. S.M. MAZHAR Head Mathematics Section, Faculty of Engineering A. M. U. Aligarh, for his constant encouragement and guidance. I also thank Dr. R. N. GUPTA, Hindu College, Masahabad for his kind and sincere encouragement.

References.

- [1] G. H. HARDY and W. W. ROGOSINSKI, *Notes on Fourier series (V): Summability* (R_1), Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **45** (1949), 173-185.
- [2] K. S. K. IYENGAR, *A Tauberian theorem and its application to convergence of Fourier series*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A **18** (1943), 81-87.
- [3] O. SZÁSZ, *On Lebesgue summability and its generalization to integrals*, Amer. J. Math. **67** (1945), 389-396.
- [4] O. SZÁSZ, *Tauberian theorems for summability* (R_1), Amer. J. Math. **73** (1951), 779-791.
- [5] O. P. VARSHNEY, *On a relation between harmonic summability and Lebesgue summability*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2) **6** (1965), 273-281.
- [6] V. SINGH, *On a relation between harmonic summability and Riemann summability*, (communicated).

* * *