## GHIRDARI LAL FOTEDAR (\*)

## On one sided quasi-inverses. (\*\*)

- 1. It is well known [1] that if an element of a ring has more than one right quasi-inverse (r.q.i.) then, it has infinitely many r.q.i.'s. The object of this paper is to derive this result by a simple set theoretic argument and to find a formula giving all the r.q.i.'s of an element when one of them is known. We also obtain some properties of a ring with an element having more than one r.q.i. In particular we shall show that such a ring admits an isomorphism into itself. We also obtain an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents constructed by Jacobson as a particular case of our method. Incidently we also show that the ring contains an infinite set of elements whose squares vanish.
- 2. Let a, b be two elements of a ring R such that  $a \circ b = 0 \neq b \circ a$  so that b is a r.q.i. of a but not a left quasi-inverse, where  $x \circ y = x + y xy$  for all x, y in R. Let,  $S = \{t \in R/a \circ t = 0\}$ . Then S is obviously non-empty. Let  $\varphi$  be the mapping of R into itself defined by

$$\varphi(z) = b - z \circ a .$$

Then we have,

Theorem (2.1).  $\varphi$  is a one to one map of R into a subset of itself such that S is mapped into a proper subset of itself.

<sup>(\*)</sup> Indirizzo: U.G.C. Junior Research Fellow, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi - 7, India.

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Ricevuto: 21-III-1972.

Proof.

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(z') \Rightarrow z \circ a = z' \circ a$$

circling both sides on the right by b, we get z = z'.

Let  $z \in S$ . Then,

$$a \circ (b - (z \circ a)) = a \circ b - a \circ (z \circ a) + a = -(a \circ z) \circ a + a = 0$$

so that  $\varphi(z) \in S$ . Obviously  $b \notin \varphi(S)$ , since there is no  $z \in R$  such that,  $z \circ a = 0$ .

Corollary. The set S is infinite.

3. – We shall now obtain all the r.q.i.'s of a, given one of them, say b.

Lemma (3.1). If u is any element of a ring R, then the general solution of the equation

$$(3.1) u \circ x = uy$$

is given by

$$(3.2) x = uz - u, y = u \circ z,$$

where z is arbitrary in R, and distinct values of z give rise to distinct solutions.

Proof. Writing the equation  $u \circ x = uy$  in the form u + x = u(x + y) and denoting x + y by z, it follows that any solution must be of the form (3.2). Conversely, if x and y are given by (3.2), then

$$u \circ x = u \circ (uz - u) = u + uz - u - u^2z + u^2 =$$
  
=  $u(z + u - uz) = u(u \circ z) = uy$ .

Now suppose that for some  $z, z' \in R$ , uz - u = uz' - u and  $u \circ z = u \circ z'$ . Adding the corresponding sides of the two equations we get z = z'.

Theorem (3.1). If b is a solution of the equation,

$$(3.3) a \circ w = 0,$$

for a given  $a \in R$  then, w = by + x, where x and y are given by (3.2) for any  $z \in R$  and u = a. We observe that w may also be written in the form,

$$(3.4) w = z + b - (b \circ a \circ z).$$

Proof. If w = by + x, where x and y are given by (3.2) for any z in R and u = a then,

$$a \circ w = a \circ (by + x) = a + by + x - aby - ax =$$

$$= (a \circ x) + by - aby = (a + b - ab)y = (a \circ b)y = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$w = by + x = b(a \circ z) + (az - a) =$$

$$= b + (a \circ z) - b \circ (a \circ z) + (az - a - z) + z = b + z - (b \circ a \circ z).$$

We now show that if w is any solution of (3.3), then w can be put in the form (3.4). In fact we have only to take z = w so that

$$b + w - (b \circ a \circ w) = b + w - b = w.$$

We shall now give some deductions from Theorem (3.1).

1) If the equation (3.3) has a unique solution  $b \in R$ , then b is also a solution of  $t \circ a = 0$ , so that a is q.r.i.

Proof. By hypothesis w = b for all  $z \in R$ , so that  $z = b \circ a \circ z$ . In particular taking z = 0, we get  $b \circ a = 0$ .

- 2) Conversely we have, if  $b \circ a = 0$ , then w = z + b z = b for all  $z \in R$ , so that b is the only r.q.i. of a.
- 3) The infinite number of r.q.i. of a of the form,  $b-b \circ a^{\circ k} + a^{\circ k-1}$ , k=1,2,... where for any  $x \in R$ ,  $x^{\circ n} = x \circ x^{\circ n-1}$ , for  $n \ge 1$  and  $x^{\circ 0} = 0$  obtained by Jacobson [1] can be obtained from (3.4) by putting  $z = a^{\circ k-1}$ .

Lemma (3.2). If w is any solution of (3.3) of which b is a given solution then,

$$(3.5) w \circ a = (b \circ a)(z \circ a),$$

for some  $z \in R$ ; and conversely, (3.5) gives a solution w of (3.3) for any  $z \in R$ .

**Proof.** Circling both sides of (3.4) by a on the right we get

$$w \circ a = b \circ a + z \circ a - (b \circ a \circ z \circ a) = (b \circ a)(z \circ a).$$

Conversely circling both sides of (3.5) by b on the right we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(b\circ a)(z\circ a)\}\circ b=\{(b\circ a)+(z\circ a)-(b\circ a)\circ (z\circ a)\}\circ b=\\ &=\{(b+z-b\circ a\circ z)\circ a\}\circ b=(b+z-b\circ a\circ z)=w\;.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma (3.3). If  $u, z, z' \in R$ , then

$$(3.6) (z \circ u)(z' \circ u) = (z'' \circ u),$$

where,

(3.7) 
$$z'' = z + z' - (z \circ u \circ z').$$

Proof.

$$(z \circ u)(z' \circ u) = (z \circ u) + (z' \circ u) - (z \circ u) \circ (z' \circ u) =$$
  
=  $\{z + z' - (z \circ u \circ z')\} \circ u = z'' \circ u$ .

Lemma (3.4). If w is a solution of (3.3) for some  $z \in R$  then, for any  $u \in R$ 

$$(3.8) (u \circ a)(w \circ a) = (w \circ a).$$

**Proof.** Taking z' = w, z = u in (3.6) and (3.7) and u = a we get

$$(z \circ a)(w \circ a) = \{u + w - (u \circ a \circ w)\} \circ a = (w \circ a).$$

Corollary 1.  $(w \circ a)^2 = (w \circ a)$ , for all solutions w of (3.3).

Proof. Take u = w in (3.8).

Corollary 2. If w and w' are any two solutions of (3.3) and

$$(3.9) x = (w \circ a) - (w' \circ a),$$

then  $x^2 = 0$ .

Proof.

$$x^{2} = \{(w \circ a) - (w' \circ a)\}^{2} = (w \circ a)^{2} - (w \circ a)(w' \circ a) - (w' \circ a)(w \circ a) + (w' \circ a)^{2}$$

$$= (w \circ a) - (w' \circ a) - (w \circ a) + (w' \circ a) \text{ (by (3.8), Cor. 1)}$$

$$= 0.$$

Lemma (3.5). If w' = (z') corresponding to  $z' \in R$  and w'' = (z'') corresponding to  $z'' \in R$  are two solutions of (3.3) then

$$(3.10) w' - w'' = (b \circ a)(z' - z'').$$

Proof. From (3.4) we have

$$\begin{split} w' - w'' &= (z' - z'') - \{(b \circ a \circ z') - (b \circ a \circ z'')\} = \\ &= (z' - z'') - \{-(b \circ a)z' + (b \circ a)z'' + (z' - z'')\} = (b \circ a)(z' - z'') \;. \end{split}$$

Corollary. If N is the right ideal in R defined by  $N = R(b \circ a)$  then the elements in the same coset of N in R give rise to same solution of (3.3) and elements in the distinct cosets of N in R give rise to distinct solutions of (3.3). Also he index of N in R is infinite.

Proof. This follows from Lemma (3.5) and Theorem (2.1).

4. - Theorem (4.1). The mapping  $\chi_{ij}$ : RR, i, j = 1, 2, ... defined by

$$\chi_{ij}(z) = b^{\circ i} \circ z \circ a^{\circ j} - b^{\circ i} \circ a^{\circ j}$$

is an isomorphism of (R, +) into (R, +).

For i = j,  $\mathcal{X}_{ii}(z)$  is an isomorphism of (R, ., +) into (R, ., +). If R has identity 1 then,  $1 - b^{\circ i} \circ a^{\circ i}$  is the identity for  $\mathcal{X}_{ii}(R)$ .

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{split} (1-b)^i z (1-a)^j &= (1-b)^i \big(1-(1-z)\big) (1-a)^j = \\ &= (1-b)^j (1-a)^i - (1-b)^i (1-z) (1-a)^j = (1-b^{\circ_i} \circ a^{\circ_j}) - \\ &- (1-b^{\circ_i} \circ z \circ a^{\circ_j}) = b^{\circ_i} \circ z \circ a^{\circ_j} - b^{\circ_i} \circ a^{\circ_j} = \mathcal{X}_{i,i}(z) \;. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{X}_{ij}(z+z') &= (1-b)^i(z+z')(1-a)^j = \\ &= (1-b)^i z (1-a)^j + (1-b)^i z' (1-a)^j = \mathcal{X}_{ij}(z) + \mathcal{X}_{ij}(z') \;. \end{split}$$

Obviously  $\chi_{ij}(z) = 0$  for z = 0. Conversely if  $\chi_{ij}(z) = 0$  then

$$0 = (1-a)^{i} \chi_{ij}(z)(1-b)^{j} = z.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{X}_{ij}$  is an isomorphism of (R, +) into (R, +). Since  $\mathcal{X}_{ij}(R)$  does not obviously contain the element  $b^{\circ_i} \circ a^{\circ_j}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{X}_{ij}$  maps R into a proper subset.

For i = j, we have

$$\chi_{ii}(zz') = (1-b)^{i}(zz')(1-a)^{i} = (1-b)^{i}(z(1-a)^{i}(1-b)^{i}z')(1-a)^{i} =$$

$$= ((1-b)^{i}z(1-a)^{i})((1-b)^{i}z'(1-a)^{i}) = \chi_{ii}(z)\chi_{ii}(z').$$

Thus  $\mathcal{X}_{ii}$  is an isomorphism of (R, ., +) into (R, ., +). Finally if  $1 \in R$ , then  $1 - b^{\circ i} \circ a^{\circ i} = (1 - b)^{i} (1 - a)^{i}$  is the identity for  $\mathcal{X}_{ii}(R)$ , since

$$(1-b)^i z (1-a)^i (1-b)^i (1-a)^i = (1-b)^i z (1-a)^i = (1-b)^i (1-a)^i (1-b)^i z (1-a)^i$$

Remark 1.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{X}_{ij}(z)\,\mathcal{X}_{kl}(z') &= \mathcal{X}_{il}\big(z(1-a)^{j-k}\,z'\big)\,, \qquad \text{if} \ j \!\geqslant\! k\,\,, \\ &= \mathcal{X}_{il}\big(z(1-b)^{k-j}\,z'\big)\,, \qquad \text{if} \ j \!\leqslant\! k\,\,. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$egin{array}{lll} {\mathcal X}_{ij}(z) {\mathcal X}_{kl}ig((b \circ a) z'ig) &= 0 \;, & ext{if} \; j > k \;, \ {\mathcal X}_{ij}ig(z(b \circ a)ig) {\mathcal X}_{kl}(z') &= 0 \;, & ext{if} \; j < k \;, \ {\mathcal X}_{ij}ig(z) {\mathcal X}_{jk}ig((b \circ a) z'ig) &= {\mathcal X}_{ij}ig(z(b \circ a)ig) {\mathcal X}_{jk}(z') \;, \ &= {\mathcal X}_{ik}ig(z(b \circ a) z'ig) \;, & ext{if} \; j 
eq k \;, \ {\mathcal X}_{ij}ig(z(b \circ a)ig) {\mathcal X}_{kl}ig((b \circ a)^{ik} z'ig) &= 0 \;, & ext{if} \; j 
eq k \;, \ &= {\mathcal X}_{il}ig(z(b \circ a) z'ig) \;, & ext{if} \; j 
eq k \;. \end{array}$$

In particular, taking  $z = z' = w \circ a$  with  $w \in S$ , we get

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{ij}(b \circ a) \, \mathcal{X}_{kl}(w \circ a) &= 0 & ext{if} \quad j 
eq k \;, \ &= \mathcal{X}_{il}(w \circ a) & ext{for} \; j = k \;. \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to Jacobson's result [1] for w = b.

Remerk 2. If R is a ring and  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1 \neq ba$ . Then by Kaplansky's result a has an infinity of righ inverses. The general formula for all the right inverses of a will be, w = z + b - baz where z is arbitrary in R and aw = 1. Here again we observe that  $\mathcal{X}_{ij}: R \to R$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_{ij}(z) = b^i z a^j$  is an isomorphism of (R, +) into (R, +) since  $1 \notin \mathcal{X}_{ij}(R)$  and for i = j, it is also true that  $\mathcal{X}_{ii}$  is an isomorphism of  $(R, \cdot, +)$  into  $(R, \cdot, +)$  and that  $b^i a^i$  serves as the identity for  $\mathcal{X}_{ii}(R)$ .

5. – Let  $a \circ b = 0 \neq b \circ a$ , for  $a, b \in R$ . Let  $S = \{w \in R \mid a \circ w = 0\}$ . Let  $K = \{z \circ a \mid z \in R\}$ . Then by Lemma (3.3) K is a semigroup. Let R' be the subring of R generated by the set K. Then

$$R' = \left\{ lpha = \left( \sum_{z \in R} n(lpha, z) (z \circ a) \right) \in R \, | \, n(lpha, z) = 0 \right.$$

for all but a finite number of  $z \in R$ ,  $n(\alpha, z)$  being an integer. Let,

$$T = \{ \beta = (w \circ a) - (w' \circ a) | w, w' \in S \}.$$

Then the subring D generated by T consists of linear combinations of the element  $\beta \in T$ . It is clear from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) that D is an ideal in R' and that  $D^2 = 0$ .

I am highly indebted to Dr. P. Kesava Menon, Director, Joint Cipher Bureau, Ministry of Defence, for his kind guidance and encouragement during the preparation of this manuscript. I also wish to express my thanks to Om Kumar for typing out the manuscript.

## References.

[1] N. JACOBSON, Some remarks on one sided inverses, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1950), 352-355.

\* \* \*