

S. P. ACHARYA (*)

**On common fixed point
of a sequence of maps in uniform spaces. (**)**

1. - Introduction.

Recently Baidynath Roy [3] has proved the existence of a common fixed point for a sequence of mappings from a complete metric space into itself. His theorem is as follows

Theorem 1.1. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of maps each mapping a complete metric space (E, d) into itself such that

(i) for any two maps T_i, T_j ,

$$d(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \lambda d(x, y),$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$, and $x, y \in E$ with $x \neq y$, and

(ii) there is a point x_0 in E such that any two members of $\{x_n = T_n x_{n-1}\}$ are distinct. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point.

In the present paper, we extend this theorem to uniform spaces in different directions.

2. - Preliminary definitions and results.

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. For definitions of Cauchy net and a complete uniform space, see [2] (pp. 190-192). From Th. 15 ([2], p. 188) we see that

(*) Indirizzo: Dept. of Math., Bangabasi Evening College, Calcutta 9, India.

(**) Ricevuto: 5-IX-1973.

the uniformity \mathcal{U} on X can be generated by the family \mathcal{F} of all pseudometrics on X which are uniformly continuous on $X \times X$. But we have observed that it is not necessary to take all the members of \mathcal{F} to generate the uniformity \mathcal{U} ([1], Th. 2.1).

For any pseudometric p on X and any $r > 0$, we write

$$V_{(p,r)} = \{(x, y); x, y \in X \text{ and } p(x, y) < r\}.$$

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of pseudometrics on X generating the uniformity \mathcal{U} . Denote by \mathcal{V} the family of all sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)}$, where $p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, $r_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the integer n is not fixed).

Then clearly \mathcal{V} is a base for the uniformity \mathcal{U} .

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)}$, where $p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, and $r_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

For each $\alpha > 0$, the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, \alpha r_i)}$ belongs to \mathcal{V} . We denote this set by αV .

We now give a list of some properties of the sets of the form αV which can be easily established.

(2.1) If $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and α, β are positive, then $\alpha(\beta V) = (\alpha\beta)V$.

(2.2) If $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and α, β are positive, then $\alpha V \subset \beta V$ when $\alpha < \beta$.

(2.3) Let p be any pseudometric on X and α, β be any two positive numbers. If $(x, y) \in \alpha V_{(p, r_1)} \circ \beta V_{(p, r_2)}$, then $p(x, y) < \alpha r_1 + \beta r_2$.

(2.4) If $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and α, β are positive, then $\alpha V \circ \beta V \subset (\alpha + \beta)V$.

NOTE 2.1. Let p be any pseudometric on X and α, β, γ any three positive numbers. If

$$(x, y) \in \alpha V_{(p, r_1)} \circ \beta V_{(p, r_2)} \circ \gamma V_{(p, r_3)},$$

then $p(x, y) < \alpha r_1 + \beta r_2 + \gamma r_3$.

(2.5) Let $x, y \in X$. Then for every V in \mathcal{V} , there is a positive number λ such that $(x, y) \in \lambda V$.

(2.6) Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Then there is a pseudometric p on X such that $V = V_{(p, 1)}$. Let $x, y \in X$. Then by (2.5) there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $(x, y) \in \lambda V$.

Write $A_{(x,y)} = \{\lambda; \lambda > 0 \text{ and } (x, y) \in \lambda V\}$.

We can now verify in (2.6) that

$$p(x, y) = \text{Inf} \{\lambda; \lambda \in A_{(x,y)}\} .$$

We refer to p as the Minkowski's pseudometric of V in analogy with the Minkowski's functional of a convex and a balanced set in a linear topological space.

In the following section we shall utilise the following four theorems which we have proved in [1]. Here X is assumed to be a sequentially complete uniform space which is also a Hausdorff space, and \mathcal{V} , the family of all sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)}$, where $p_i \in \mathcal{P}$ and $r_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the integer n is not fixed), \mathcal{P} being a fixed family of pseudometrics on X generating the uniformity \mathcal{U} for X .

Theorem 2.1. ([1], Th. 3.2). Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X mapping X into itself such that for any two members V_1, V_2 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2,$$

if $(x, T_1x) \in V_1$ and $(y, T_2y) \in V_2$, where α, β are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 , and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.2. ([1], Th. 3.3). Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X mapping X into itself, such that for any V_1, V_2 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2,$$

if $(y, T_1x) \in V_1$ and $(x, T_2y) \in V_2$, where α, β are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T_1, T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.3. ([1], Th. 3.4). Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X mapping X into itself such that for any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2 \circ \gamma V_3,$$

if $(x, T_1x) \in V_1, (x, y) \in V_2, (y, T_2y) \in V_3$, where α, β, γ are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 , and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then T_1, T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.4. ([1], Th. 3.5) Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X mappings X into itself such that for any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2 \circ \gamma V_3,$$

if $(y, T_1x) \in V_1, (x, y) \in V_2, (x, T_2y) \in V_3$, where α, β, γ are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then T_1, T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

3. - Results on fixed point of a sequence of operators.

In this section we assume that (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform space which is sequentially complete and also a Hausdorff space. Further we suppose that \mathcal{P} is a fixed family of pseudometrics on X generating the uniformity \mathcal{U} .

We denote by \mathcal{V} the family of all sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(x_i, r_i)}$, $p_i \in \mathcal{P}$ and $r_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the integer n is not fixed).

By an operator on X we mean a mapping of X into itself.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and any V in \mathcal{V} , and $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha V \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in V,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and further there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that in $\{x_n = T_n x_{n-1}\}$, $x_r \neq x_{r+1}$, ($r = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. We first establish that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Using (2.5), we find a positive number λ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in \lambda V = W$, say.

Then $W \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mu W \in \mathcal{V}$ for any $\mu > 0$. We have by the given condition

$$(x_1, x_2) = (T_1 x_0, T_2 x_1) \in \alpha W, \quad (x_2, x_3) = (T_2 x_1, T_3 x_2) \in \alpha(\alpha W) = \alpha^2 W.$$

By induction $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \alpha^n W$.

Since

$$(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \alpha^n W, \quad (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \in \alpha^{n+1} W,$$

we have [by (2.4)]

$$(x_n, x_{n+2}) \in \alpha^n W \circ \alpha^{n+1} W \subset (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1}) W.$$

Similarly

$$(x_n, x_{n+3}) \in (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \alpha^{n+2})W.$$

Let n and m ($> n$) be any two positive integers. Then

$$(x_n, x_m) \in (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1})W \subset \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha}W = \frac{\lambda\alpha^n}{1-\alpha}V.$$

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we can choose a positive integer n_0 such that $[\lambda\alpha^n/(1-\alpha)] < 1$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then $(x_n, x_m) \in V$ for $n \geq n_0$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Since X is sequentially complete, there is an element ξ in X such that $\xi = \mathcal{L}t x_n$.

Next since $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, there is a positive integer N such that $(x_{n-1}, \xi) \in V$, when $n \geq N$. Since $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) we can choose $r > N$ such that $x_{r-1} \neq \xi$. So for a fixed m

$$(x_r, T_m \xi) = (T_r x_{r-1}, T_m \xi) \in \alpha V.$$

Thus $(x_r, \xi) \in V$ and $(x_r, T_m \xi) \in \alpha V$. So $(\xi, T_m \xi) \in V \circ \alpha V \subset (1 + \alpha)V$.

Since V is arbitrary, $T_m \xi = \xi$. Hence ξ is a fixed point of $\{T_n\}$.

Let $\eta \in X$ and $T_n \eta = \eta$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). If possible let $\eta \neq \xi$. Since X is a Hausdorff space, we can find a member V of \mathcal{V} such that $\eta \notin V[\xi]$. Then

$$(1) \quad (\xi, \eta) \notin V.$$

By (2.5) there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $(\xi, \eta) \in \lambda V = W$, say. Now $(\xi, \eta) = (T_n \xi, T_m \eta) \in \alpha W$. Similarly $(\xi, \eta) \in \alpha(\alpha W) = \alpha^2 W$.

In this way we have

$$(2) \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \alpha^\nu W = \lambda \alpha^\nu V \quad (\nu = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Take ν so large that $0 < \lambda \alpha^\nu < 1$. Then (2) gives $(\xi, \eta) \in V$, which contradicts (1). Hence $\eta = \xi$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any two members V_1, V_2 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X*

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij} V_1 \circ \alpha_{ij} V_2,$$

if $(x, T_i x) \in V_1$ and $(y, T_j y) \in V_2$, where α_{ij} is independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and

$0 < \alpha_{ij} \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_{i,i+1} / (1 - \alpha_{i,i+1})]$ is convergent. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary but fixed point of X . Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$x_n = T_n x_{n-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We write

$$a_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\alpha_{i,i+1}}{1 - \alpha_{i,i+1}} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Now we prove the theorem by the following steps.

(I) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point ξ in X .

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Suppose p is the Minkowski's pseudometric of V . Let $x, y \in V$. Write $p(x, T_i x) = r_i$, $p(y, T_j y) = r_j$ and take $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $(x, T_i x) \in (r_i + \varepsilon)V$, $(y, T_j y) \in (r_j + \varepsilon)V$.

So by the given condition

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij}(r_i + \varepsilon)V + \alpha_{ij}(r_j + \varepsilon)V,$$

$$\therefore p(T_i x, T_j y) < \alpha_{ij}(r_i + \varepsilon) + \alpha_{ij}(r_j + \varepsilon).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$(3) \quad p(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_{ij}p(x, T_i x) + \alpha_{ij}p(y, T_j y).$$

Let λ be a positive number with $\lambda \geq p(x_0, x_1)$.

Now [by (3)]

$$p(x_1, x_2) = p(T_1 x_0, T_2 x_1) \leq \alpha_{12}p(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_{12}p(x_1, x_2).$$

So

$$p(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\alpha_{12}}{1 - \alpha_{12}} p(x_0, x_1) \leq a_1 \lambda,$$

$$p(x_2, x_3) = p(T_2 x_1, T_3 x_2) \leq \alpha_{23} p(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_{23} p(x_2, x_3),$$

$$\therefore p(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{\alpha_{23}}{1 - \alpha_{23}} p(x_1, x_2) \leq a_2 \lambda.$$

In general

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_{i,i+1}}{1 - \alpha_{i,i+1}} \right] \lambda = a_n \lambda.$$

Let us take any two positive integers n and m ($> n$).

Now

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{m-1}) \lambda = \left[\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} a_k \right] \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ is convergent, we can choose a positive integer n_0 such that

$$\left[\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} a_k \right] \lambda < 1, \text{ when } n \geq n_0.$$

So $p(x_n, x_m) < 1$, when $m > n \geq n_0$. This gives that

$$(x_n, x_m) \in V_{(p,1)} = V \quad \text{for } m > n \geq n_0.$$

So $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Since X is sequentially complete, there is a point ξ in X such that $\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

(II) ξ is a common fixed point of $\{T_n\}$.

Take V and p as above. For any positive integer n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi, T_m \xi) &\leq p(\xi, x_n) + p(T_n x_{n-1}, T_m \xi) \\ &\leq p(\xi, x_n) + \alpha_{n,m} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha_{n,m} p(\xi, T_m \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $p(\xi, T_m \xi) \leq \alpha p(\xi, T_m \xi)$.

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we get $p(\xi, T_m \xi) = 0$. So $(\xi, T_m \xi) \in V$.

Since V is arbitrary and X is a Hausdorff space, we have $\xi = T_m \xi$.

Hence ξ is a fixed point of T_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

(III) ξ is the unique common fixed point of $\{T_n\}$.

If possible, let η be a fixed point common to T_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Take any $V \in \mathcal{V}$.

Then $(\eta, T_1\eta) = (\eta, \eta) \in V$, and $(\xi, T_2\xi) = (\xi, \xi) \in V$.

So we get

$$(\xi, \eta) = (T_1\xi, T_2\eta) \in \alpha_{12}V_0\alpha_{12}V \subset 2\alpha_{12}V.$$

Since V is arbitrary, $\xi = \eta$. Hence the theorem.

Corollary 3.2.1. *Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X and v a positive integer such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any two members V_1 and V_2 in \mathcal{V} and $x, y \in X$*

$$(T_i^v x, T_j^v y) \in \alpha_{ij}V_1 \circ \alpha_{ij}V_2,$$

if $(x, T_i^v x) \in V_1$ and $(y, T_j^v y) \in V_2$, where α_{ij} is independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 , and $0 < \alpha_{ij} \leq \alpha < 1$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_{i,i+1} / (1 - \alpha_{i,i+1})]$ is convergent. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any two members V_1, V_2 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X*

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij}V_1 \circ \alpha_{ij}V_2,$$

if $(x, T_i x) \in V_1$ and $(y, T_j y) \in V_2$, where α_{ij} is independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < 1$. Further suppose that for at least one pair of operators T_r, T_s in $\{T_n\}$ satisfying the above condition, $0 < \alpha_{rs} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we can take $r = 1, s = 2$. Then in virtue of the given condition, it readily follows from Th. 2.1 that T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X . Let $\xi \in X$ be the common fixed point of T_1, T_2 .

Let V be any member of \mathcal{V} and p the Minkowski's pseudometric of V . Then for any x, y in X we obtain

$$p(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_{ij}p(x, T_i x) + \alpha_{ij}p(y, T_j y).$$

Now let us take any member $T_i \neq T_1, T_2$ of $\{T_n\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi, T_r\xi) &= p(T_1\xi, T_r\xi) \\ &\leq \alpha_{1r}p(\xi, T_1\xi) + \alpha_{1r}p(\xi, T_r\xi) \\ &= \alpha_{1r}p(\xi, T_r\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \alpha_{1r} < 1$, $p(\xi, T_r\xi) = 0$. So $(\xi, T_r\xi) \in V$.

Since V is arbitrary and X is a Hausdorff space, $\xi = T_r\xi$.

The uniqueness of ξ can be shown exactly as in Th. 3.2.

Note 3.3.1. ξ is the only fixed point of each of the members of the sequence $\{T_n\}$.

Corollary 3.3.1. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X and ν a positive integer such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any two members V_1, V_2 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_i^\nu x, T_j^\nu y) \in \alpha_{ij}V_1 \circ \alpha_{ij}V_2,$$

if $(x, T_i^\nu x) \in V_1$ and $(y, T_j^\nu y) \in V_2$ where α_{ij} is independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < 1$. Further suppose that for at least one pair of operators T_r, T_s in $\{T_n\}$ satisfying the above condition, $0 < \alpha_{rs} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.4. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any two members V_1, V_2 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij}V_1 \circ \alpha_{ij}V_2,$$

if $(y, T_i x) \in V_1$ and $(x, T_j y) \in V_2$, where α_{ij} is independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < 1$. Further suppose that for at least one pair of operators T_r, T_s in $\{T_n\}$ satisfying the above condition, $0 < \alpha_{rs} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Without any loss of generality we can take $r = 1, s = 2$. Then it follows from Th. 2.2 that T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point ξ in X .

Let V be any member of \mathcal{V} and p the Minkowski's pseudometric of V . Then for any x, y in X we obtain,

$$p(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_{ij}p(y, T_i x) + \alpha_{ij}p(x, T_j y).$$

We deduce that ξ is a fixed point common to each member of $\{T_n\}$.

Now we show the uniqueness of ξ . Let $\eta \in X$ and $T_n\eta = \eta$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Take V and p as above.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi, \eta) &= p(T_1\xi, T_2\eta) \\ &\leq \alpha_{12}p(\eta, T_1\xi) + \alpha_{12}p(\xi, T_2\eta) \\ &= 2\alpha_{12}p(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < 2\alpha_{12} < 1$, $p(\xi, \eta) = 0$. So, $(\xi, \eta) \in V$. V being arbitrary, X a Hausdorff space, $\xi = \eta$.

Note 3.4.1. If each α_{ij} in Th. 3.4 satisfies the condition $0 < \alpha_{ij} < \frac{1}{2}$, then ξ is the only fixed point of each of $\{T_n\}$.

Corollary 3.4.1. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X and v be a positive integer such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any two members V_1, V_2 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X ,

$$(T_i^v x, T_j^v y) \in \alpha_{ij} V_1 \circ \alpha_{ij} V_2,$$

if $(y, T_i^v x) \in V_1$ and $(x, T_j^v y) \in V_2$, where α_{ij} is independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.5. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and $x, y \in X$,

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij} V_1 \circ \beta_{ij} V_2 \circ \alpha_{ij} V_3,$$

if $(x, T_i x) \in V_1, (x, y) \in V_2, (y, T_j y) \in V_3$, where α_{ij}, β_{ij} are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta_{ij} < 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_{i,i+1} + \beta_{i,i+1}) / (1 - \alpha_{i,i+1}) \right]$ is convergent. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary but fixed point of X . Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and take V and p as in Th. 3.2. Let

$$a_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha_{i,i+1} + \beta_{i,i+1}}{1 - \alpha_{i,i+1}} \right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Write

$$p(x, T_i x) = r_i, \quad p(x, y) = r, \quad p(y, T_i y) = r_i$$

and take $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$(x, T_i x) \in (r_i + \varepsilon) V, \quad (x, y) \in (r + \varepsilon) V, \quad (y, T_i y) \in (r_i + \varepsilon) V.$$

So by the given condition

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij}(r_i + \varepsilon) V \circ \beta_{ij}(r + \varepsilon) V \circ \alpha_{ij}(r_j + \varepsilon) V,$$

in view of Note 2.1, we obtain

$$p(T_i x, T_j y) < \alpha_{ij}(r_i + \varepsilon) + \beta_{ij}(r + \varepsilon) + \alpha_{ij}(r_j + \varepsilon).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary

$$p(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_{ij} p(x, T_i x) + \beta_{ij} p(x, y) + \alpha_{ij} p(y, T_j y).$$

Now take a positive number λ with $\lambda \geq p(x_0, x_1)$.

Then

$$p(x_1, x_2) = p(T_1 x_0, T_2 x_1) \leq \alpha_{12} p(x_0, x_1) + \beta_{12} p(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_{12} p(x_1, x_2),$$

or

$$p(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\alpha_{12} + \beta_{12}}{1 - \alpha_{12}} p(x_0, x_1) \leq a_1 \lambda,$$

$$p(x_2, x_3) = p(T_2 x_1, T_3 x_2) \leq \alpha_{23} p(x_1, x_2) + \beta_{23} p(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_{23} p(x_2, x_3),$$

or

$$p(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{\alpha_{12} + \beta_{12}}{1 - \alpha_{12}} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{23} + \beta_{23}}{1 - \alpha_{23}} \lambda = a_2 \lambda.$$

In general

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_{i,i+1} + \beta_{i,i+1}}{1 - \alpha_{i,i+1}} \right] \lambda = a_n \lambda.$$

Let n and m ($> n$) be any two positive integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq [a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{m-1}] \lambda = \left[\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} a_k \right] \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since by hypothesis, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ is convergent, we can find a positive integer n_0

such that $\lambda \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} a_k < 1$, for $n \geq n_0$.

So $p(x_n, x_m) < 1$, for $m > n \geq n_0$.

Then we complete the proof as in Th. 3.2. .

Corollary 3.5.1. *Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X and r a positive integer such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X*

$$(T_i^r x, T_j^r y) \in \alpha_{ij} V_1 \circ \beta_{ij} V_2 \circ \alpha_{ij} V_3,$$

if $(x, T_i^r x) \in V_1, (x, y) \in V_2, (y, T_j^r y) \in V_3$, where α_{ij}, β_{ij} are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta_{ij} < 1$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_{i, i+1} + \beta_{i, i+1}) / (1 - \alpha_{i, i+1}) \right]$ is convergent. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X*

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij} V_1 \circ \beta_{ij} V_2 \circ \alpha_{ij} V_3,$$

if $(x, T_i x) \in V_1, (x, y) \in V_2, (y, T_j y) \in V_3$, where α_{ij}, β_{ij} are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < 1, \beta_{ij} > 0$. Further suppose that for at least one pair of operators T_r, T_s satisfying the above condition, $2\alpha_{rs} + \beta_{rs} < 1$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Without any loss of generality we take $r = 1, s = 2$. Then it follows from Th. 2.3 that T_1, T_2 have a unique common fixed point ξ in X .

Let V be any member of \mathcal{V} and p the Minkowski's pseudometric of V . Then for any x, y in X we obtain

$$p(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_{ij} p(x, T_i x) + \beta_{ij} p(x, y) + \alpha_{ij} p(y, T_j y).$$

Let us take any member $T_i \neq T_1, T_2$ of $\{T_n\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi, T_i\xi) &= p(T_1\xi, T_i\xi) \\ &\leq \alpha_{1i}p(\xi, T_1\xi) + \beta_{1i}p(\xi, \xi) + \alpha_{1i}p(\xi, T_i\xi) = \alpha_{1i}p(\xi, T_i\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \alpha_{1i} < 1$, $p(\xi, T_i\xi) = 0$. So $(\xi, T_i\xi) \in V$.

Since V is arbitrary and X is a Hausdorff space, $\xi = T_i\xi$.

Let $\eta \in X$ and $T_i\eta = \eta$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi, \eta) &= p(T_1\xi, T_2\eta) \\ &\leq \alpha_{12}p(\xi, T_1\xi) + \beta_{12}p(\xi, \eta) + \alpha_{12}p(\eta, T_2\eta) = \beta_{12}p(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \beta_{12} < 1$, $p(\xi, \eta) = 0$. So $(\xi, \eta) \in V$. V being arbitrary, $\xi = \eta$.

Note 3.6.1. ξ is the only fixed point of each of the members of the sequence $\{T_n\}$.

Corollary 3.6.1. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X and ν a positive integer such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_i^\nu x, T_j^\nu y) \in \alpha_{ij}V_1 \circ \beta_{ij}V_2 \circ \alpha_{ij}V_3,$$

if $(x, T_i^\nu x) \in V_1$, $(x, y) \in V_2$, $(y, T_j^\nu y) \in V_3$, where α_{ij}, β_{ij} are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < 1$, $\beta_{ij} > 0$. Further suppose that for at least one pair of operators T_r, T_s satisfying the above condition, $2\alpha_{rs} + \beta_{rs} < 1$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.7. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_i x, T_j y) \in \alpha_{ij}V_1 \circ \beta_{ij}V_2 \circ \alpha_{ij}V_3,$$

if $(y, T_i x) \in V_1$, $(x, y) \in V_2$, $(x, T_j y) \in V_3$, where α_{ij}, β_{ij} are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < 1$, $\beta_{ij} > 0$. Further suppose that for at least one pair of operators T_r, T_s satisfying the above condition, $2\alpha_{rs} + \beta_{rs} < 1$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we take $r = 1$, $s = 2$. Then it follows from Th. 2.4 that T_1, T_2 have a unique common fixed point ξ in X .

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and p be the Minkowski's pseudometric of V . Then for any x, y in X we deduce that

$$p(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_{ij} p(y, T_i x) + \beta_{ij} p(x, y) + \alpha_{ij} p(x, T_j y)$$

and show that ξ is a fixed point of each member of $\{T_n\}$.

Then we show the uniqueness of ξ .

Let $\eta \in X$ and $T_i \eta = \eta$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Take V and p as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi, \eta) &= p(T_1 \xi, T_2 \eta) \\ &\leq \alpha_{12} p(\eta, T_1 \xi) + \beta_{12} p(\xi, \eta) + \alpha_{12} p(\xi, T_2 \eta) \\ &= (2\alpha_{12} + \beta_{12}) p(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < 2\alpha_{12} + \beta_{12} < 1$, $p(\xi, \eta) = 0$, So $(\xi, \eta) \in V$. V being arbitrary, $\xi = \eta$.

Note 3.7.1. If each α_{ij} in Th. 3.7. satisfies the condition $0 < 2\alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij} < 1$, then ξ is the only fixed point of each member of $\{T_n\}$.

Corollary 3.7.1. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of operators on X and r be a positive integer such that for any two operators T_i, T_j in $\{T_n\}$ and for any three members V_1, V_2, V_3 in \mathcal{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_i^r x, T_j^r y) \in \alpha_{ij} V_1 \circ \beta_{ij} V_2 \circ \alpha_{ij} V_3,$$

if $(y, T_i^r x) \in V_1$, $(x, y) \in V_2$, $(x, T_j^r y) \in V_3$, where α_{ij}, β_{ij} are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 , and $\alpha_{ij} > 0$, $\beta_{ij} > 0$, $2\alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij} < 1$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique common fixed point in X .

In fine, the author expresses his indebtedness to Dr. P. C. Bhakta, Jadavpur University for his kind help and guidance in the preparation of the paper.

References.

- [1] S. P. ACHARYA, *Some results on fixed points in uniform spaces*, Yokohama Math. J. **22** (1974).
- [2] J. L. KELLEY, *General topology*, D. Van Nostrand Co., New York 1955.
- [3] B. ROY, *On a paper of Kannan*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **63** (1971), 7-10.

* * *