

P. C. BHAKTA (*)

Some results on the generation of quasi-uniformities. (**)

1. - Introduction.

Let X be a non-void set. For non-void subsets U and V of $X \times X$, $U \circ V$ is a subset of $X \times X$ defined by

$$U \circ V = \{(x, y) : (x, z) \in V \text{ and } (z, y) \in U \text{ for some } z \in X\}.$$

The set Δ is defined by $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$.

A non-void family \mathcal{U} of subsets of $X \times X$ is said to be « a quasi-uniformity on X » if the following axioms are satisfied ([2], Ch. 11, p. 174):

- (QU.1) $\Delta \subset U$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (QU.2) If $V \subset X \times X$ and $U \subset V$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $V \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (QU.3) If $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$, then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (QU.4) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a V in \mathcal{U} with $V \circ V \subset U$.

The pair (X, \mathcal{U}) is said to be « a quasi-uniform space ». A subfamily \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{U} is a base of the quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} if for any U in \mathcal{U} , there is a member V of \mathcal{B} with $V \subset U$. A family \mathcal{B} of subsets of $X \times X$ is a base for some quasi-uniformity on X iff the following conditions hold:

- (B.1) $\Delta \subset U$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}$.
- (B.2) For $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$ there is a W in \mathcal{B} with $W \subset U \cap V$.
- (B.3) For every $U \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a V in \mathcal{B} with $V \circ V \subset U$.

(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Calcutta 32, India.

(**) Ricevuto: 26-VIII-1975.

A mapping $p: X \times X \rightarrow R$ ($R =$ field of real numbers) is « a quasi-metric on X » if the following axioms are satisfied ($x, y, z \in X$):

$$(QM.1) \quad p(x, y) \geq 0 \text{ and } p(x, x) = 0.$$

$$(QM.2) \quad p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y).$$

For any quasi-metric p on X and $r > 0$ we write $V_{(p,r)} = \{(x, y): x, y \in X \text{ and } p(x, y) < r\}$.

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of quasi-metrics on X . Denote by \mathcal{B} the family of all sets of the form $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)}$ ($p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, $p_i > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

It is easy to verify that \mathcal{B} is a base for some quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} on X . We say that the family \mathcal{P} of quasi-metrics on X generates the quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} .

In the present paper we show (Th. 2.1) that every quasi-uniformity on a set X can be generated by a family \mathcal{P} of quasi-metrics on X . Next, we take a certain type of families \mathcal{V} of subsets of $X \times X$ and define scalar multiple αV of an element V of \mathcal{V} by a positive number α satisfying some axioms; we show (Th. 2.2) that each such family \mathcal{V} generates a quasi uniformity on X and conversely, every quasi-uniformity on X is generated by a family \mathcal{V} of the above type (Th. 2.3). Lastly we show (Th. 2.4) that every topology on a set X can be generated by a family of quasi-metrics on X .

2. - On the generation of quasi-uniformities.

Theorem 2.1. *Every quasi-uniformity on a set X can be generated by a family of quasi-metrics on X .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a quasi-uniformity on the set X . Let \mathcal{B} be a base for \mathcal{U} such that no member of \mathcal{B} is equal to $X \times X$. For each V in \mathcal{B} we can choose, proceeding as in Theorem 11.1.1 ([2], Ch. 11, p. 175), a sequence $\{U_n^{(V)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of sets in \mathcal{U} with $U_{n+1}^{(V)} \circ U_{n+1}^{(V)} \circ U_{n+1}^{(V)} \subset U_n^{(V)}$, where $U_0^{(V)} = X \times X$ and $U_1^{(V)} = V$. By metrization lemma ([1], Ch. 6,12, p. 185) there is a quasi-metric p_V on X such that

$$(1) \quad U_n^{(V)} \subset \{(x, y): p_V(x, y) < 2^{-n+2}\} \subset U_{n-1}^{(V)} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_V: V \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Denote by \mathcal{V} the quasi-uniformity on X generated by the family \mathcal{P} of quasi-metrics on X . Let U be any member of \mathcal{U} . There is a set V in \mathcal{B} with $V \subset U$. From (1) we have $V_{(p_V, 1)} \subset U_1^{(V)} = V$. This gives that $U \in \mathcal{V}$ and so $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$.

Next, let $W \in \mathcal{V}$. Then there are finite number of members V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m in \mathcal{B} and $r_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^m V_{(p_{V_i}, r_i)} \subset W$. Choose positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m with $2^{-n_i+2} < r_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

From (1) we have

$$U_{n_i}^{(r_i)} \subset \{(x, y) : p_{r_i}(x, y) < 2^{-n_i+2}\} \subset V_{(p_{r_i}, r_i)} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Write $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^m U_{n_i}^{(r_i)}$. Then $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^m V_{(p_{r_i}, r_i)} \subset W$.

This gives that $W \in \mathcal{U}$ and so $V \subset \mathcal{U}$. Therefore we have $V = \mathcal{U}$ and the proof is complete.

Now let X be a non-void set and \mathcal{V} be a non-void family of subsets of $X \times X$ having the following properties:

- (i) $\Delta \subset V$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$.
- (ii) $V_1 \cap V_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{V}$.

Clearly \mathcal{V} does not satisfy all the conditions for being a base for some quasi-uniformity on X . We denote by R_+ the set of all positive real numbers. Let f be a mapping of $R_+ \times \mathcal{V}$ into \mathcal{V} . For simplicity we write $\alpha \cdot V$ or αV for $f(\alpha, V)$, where $\alpha \in R_+$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and call αV as scalar multiple of V . We suppose that this multiplication satisfies the following axioms. For $\alpha, \beta \in R_+$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$

- (iii) $1 \cdot V = V$,
- (iv) $\alpha(\beta V) = (\alpha\beta)V$,
- (v) $\alpha V \subset \beta V$ if $\alpha < \beta$,
- (vi) $\alpha V \circ \beta V \subset (\alpha + \beta)V$,
- (vii) if $(x, y) \in X \times X$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $(x, y) \in \lambda V$.

We denote by \mathcal{F} the class of all families \mathcal{V} having the properties (i) and (ii) with a (scalar) multiplication satisfying the axioms (iii)-(vii).

Theorem 2.2. *For each \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{F} there is a quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} on X such that \mathcal{V} is a base for \mathcal{U} ; and for each V in \mathcal{V} there is a quasi-metric p_V on X such that*

$$V_{(p_V, r)} \subset rV \subset V_{(p_V, \varrho)} \quad (0 < r < \varrho)$$

and the family $\{p_V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ of quasi-metrics on X generates the quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} .

Proof. We prove the theorem by the following steps.

(I) Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Take $U = \alpha V$, where $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then $U \in \mathcal{V}$. By (vi) and (v) we have $U \circ U = \alpha V \circ \alpha V \subset 2\alpha V \subset V$. Thus \mathcal{V} satisfies the conditions (B.1), (B.2) and (B.3). Hence \mathcal{V} is a base for some quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} on X .

(II) Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Take any x, y in X . Then by (vii) there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $(x, y) \in \lambda V$. Let $A_{(x,y)} = \{\lambda: \lambda > 0 \text{ and } (x, y) \in \lambda V\}$. Define $p_V(x, y)$ by $p_V(x, y) = \inf \{\lambda: \lambda \in A_{(x,y)}\}$. From definition it is clear that $p_V(x, y) \geq 0$. Since $(x, x) \in \lambda V$ for every $\lambda > 0$, we have $A_{(x,x)} = \{\lambda: \lambda > 0\}$ and so $p_V(x, x) = 0$.

Now let x, y, z be any three elements in X . Write $p_V(x, z) = r_1$ and $p_V(z, y) = r_2$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. Then $(x, z) \in (r_1 + \varepsilon)V$ and $(z, y) \in (r_2 + \varepsilon)V$. By (vi) we have $(x, y) \in (r_1 + \varepsilon)V_0(r_2 + \varepsilon)V \subset (r_1 + r_2 + 2\varepsilon)V$, which gives that $r_1 + r_2 + 2\varepsilon \in A_{(x,y)}$. Hence $p_V(x, y) \leq r_1 + r_2 + 2\varepsilon = p_V(x, z) + p_V(z, y) + 2\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we obtain: $p_V(x, y) \leq p_V(x, z) + p_V(z, y)$. Thus p_V satisfies the axioms (QM.1) and (QM.2). So p_V is a quasi-metric on X .

Let r and ϱ be any two positive numbers with $r < \varrho$. If $(x, y) \in V_{(p_V, r)}$, then $p_V(x, y) < r$ which gives that $(x, y) \in rV$ and so $V_{(p_V, r)} \subset rV$. If $(x, y) \in rV$, then $p_V(x, y) \leq r < \varrho$ which gives that $(x, y) \in V_{(p_V, \varrho)}$; so $rV \subset V_{(p_V, \varrho)}$. Hence $V_{(p_V, r)} \subset rV \subset V_{(p_V, \varrho)}$ for $0 < r < \varrho$.

(III) Let \mathcal{U}_0 denote the quasi-uniformity on X generated by the family $\{p_V: V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ of quasi-metrics on X . Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{V} is a base for \mathcal{U} , there is a member $V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $V \subset U$. Again, since $V_{(p_V, 1)} \subset V$, it follows that $U \in \mathcal{U}_0$ and so $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_0$.

Next, let $U \in \mathcal{U}_0$. Then there is a set W of the form $W = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_{V_i}, r_i)}$ ($V_i \in \mathcal{V}$) such that $W \subset U$. Let α be a positive number with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Write $W_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (\alpha r_i) V_i$. Then $W_0 \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $(\alpha r_i) V_i \subset V_{(p_{V_i}, r_i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), we have $W_0 \subset W \subset U$ which gives that $U \in \mathcal{U}$; so $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$. Therefore $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}$ and the proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 2.3. *If \mathcal{U} is a quasi-uniformity on X , then there is a family \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{F} such that \mathcal{V} generates \mathcal{U} .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a quasi-uniformity on the set X . Then by Theorem 2.1 there is a family \mathcal{P} of quasi-metrics on X such that \mathcal{P} generates the quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} . Denote by \mathcal{V} the family of all sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)}$ ($p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, $r_i > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

Then \mathcal{V} is a base for the quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} . Clearly \mathcal{V} possesses the properties (i) and (ii).

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Then we have

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)} \quad (p_i \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } r_i > 0)$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, \alpha r_i)}$ is also an element of \mathcal{V} . We define $\alpha V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, \alpha r_i)}$. It is obvious that the axioms (iii) and (iv) are satisfied.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in R_+$ and $\alpha < \beta$. If $(x, y) \in \alpha V$, then $(x, y) \in V_{(r_i, \alpha r_i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). So $p_i(x, y) < \alpha r_i < \beta r_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) which gives that $(x, y) \in V_{(r_i, \beta r_i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Thus $(x, y) \in \beta V$ and so $\alpha V \subset \beta V$ which proves (v).

Let α, β be any two elements of R_+ . If $(x, y) \in \alpha V_0 \beta V$, there is an element z in X such that $(x, z) \in \beta V$ and $(z, y) \in \alpha V$. So $p_i(x, z) < \beta r_i$ and $p_i(z, y) < \alpha r_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Now $p_i(x, y) \leq p_i(x, z) + p_i(z, y) < (\alpha + \beta) r_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) which gives $(x, y) \in (\alpha + \beta) V$. So $\alpha V_0 \beta V \subset (\alpha + \beta) V$. Next, let $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Write $\alpha_i = p_i(x, y)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and let $\theta = \max \{\alpha_i / r_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Choose $\lambda > \theta$. We have $p_i(x, y) = \alpha_i \leq \theta r_i < \lambda r_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), which gives that $(x, y) \in \lambda V$. Thus the (scalar) multiplication αV satisfies all the conditions (iii)-(vii). Therefore $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{F}$. By Theorem 2.2, \mathcal{V} generates a quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U}_0 (say) on X for which \mathcal{V} is a base. Since \mathcal{V} is a base of each of the quasi-uniformities \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}_0 we have $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Let \mathcal{U} be a quasi-uniformity on X . For each $x \in X$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $U[x] = \{y : y \in X \text{ and } (x, y) \in U\}$.

Denote by τ the family of all subsets G of X such that for each $x \in G$ there is a member $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $U[x] \subset G$. Then τ is a topology on X . We say that \mathcal{U} induces the topology τ . By Theorem 11.1.2 ([2], Ch. 11, p. 177) we see that if τ is a topology on X , there is a quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} on X such that the topology induced by \mathcal{U} on X is identical with τ .

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of quasi-metrics on X . For any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $r > 0$ and $x \in X$, let $S_p(x; r) = \{y : y \in X \text{ and } p(x, y) < r\}$.

Denote by \mathcal{C} the family of all subsets of X of the form

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_{p_i}(x; r_i) \quad (p_i \in \mathcal{P}, r_i > 0 \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then \mathcal{C} is a base for some topology τ on X . We say that the topology τ is generated by the family \mathcal{P} of quasi-metrics. Since

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_{p_i}(x; r_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)}[x],$$

it follows that the topology induced by \mathcal{U} on X is identical with that generated by the family \mathcal{P} of quasi-metrics.

Theorem 2.4. *Every topology on X can be generated by a family of quasi-metrics on X .*

Proof. Let τ be a topology on X . By Theorem 11.1.2 ([2], Ch. 11, p. 177) there is a quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} on X such that \mathcal{U} induces the topology τ . By Theorem 2.1, \mathcal{U} can be generated by a family \mathcal{P} of quasi-metrics on X . From above it follows that \mathcal{P} generates τ .

References.

- [1] J. L. KELLY, *General topology*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Princeton 1955.
- [2] W. J. PERVIN, *Foundations of general topology*, Academic Press, N. Y. 1964.

* * *