L. BORZACCHINI and C. PULITO (*)

Graph-covering and Ramsey's numbers by conversion matrices (**)

1 – Let be B_n the set of not-isomorphic simple graphs with n vertices, partially ordered by the relation $G_1 < G_2 \Leftrightarrow G_1$ is a subgraph of G_2 (in the terminology of Harary [6]); let's be $G \in B_n$ and $G_i \in B_n$, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. Let's denote G = (V, X) and $G_i = (V, X_i)$, utilizing, for sake of simplicity, the same set of vertices for all graphs of B_n . In the following we shall denote with (G, H) the number of subgraphs of H that are isomorphic to G.

In extremal graph theory (see Bollobas [3]) we can find two covering problems.

Cover 1: a vertex and an edge are said to cover each other if they are incident. A vertex-cover is a set of vertices covering all edges of a graph G. Vertex-covering-number of G, $\alpha_0(G)$, is the cardinality of a minimal vertex-cover. Analogously can be defined the edge-cover (a set of edges covering all vertices of G) and the edge-covering-number $\alpha_1(G)$.

Cover 2: a cover of G is a set $\{G_1, ..., G_k\}$ of subgraphs of G if $\bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i = X$.

We can now define from Cover 2 the following enumeration problem: «graph-covering-number» of G by $(G_1, G_2, ..., G_k)$ is the number of distinct k-uples $(G'_1, G'_2, ..., G'_k)$ that Cover 2 G such that $\forall i, G'_i$ is isomorphic to G_i .

Remark. Two k-uples (G_1',\ldots,G_k') and (G_1'',\ldots,G_k'') are distinct if $\exists i \ni G_i' \neq G_i''$.

Afterwards let's denote with $[G_1, G_2, ..., G_k/G]$ the graph-covering number of G by $(G_1, G_2, ..., G_k)$.

^(*) Indirizzo: Istituto di Geometria, Università, 70100 Bari, Italy.

^(**) Ricevuto: 6-V-1980.

If K_n is the complete graph with n vertices, we set

$$[G_1, ..., G_k/K_n] = [G_1, ..., G_k].$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{k} |X_i| = |X|$ we shall call the graph-covering-number the «graph-partition-number». If \hat{G} is the complementary graph of G, it is easy to show that

(2)
$$(G, K_n)[G_1, ..., G_k/G] = [G_1, ..., G_k, \hat{G}].$$

In this paper we will show the solution of the graph-covering enumeration for complete graphs (and from (2) for any graph) by conversion matrices; our opinion is that such matrices, though hard to compute, could be useful tools for many problems in graph theory; in the last section we will show an application of our results to Ramsey-numbers.

2 - Conversion matrices and graph-covering

Let's write $B_n = \{g_1, ..., g_M\}$, where $M = |B_n|$, by a total extension of the partial order defined on B_n . We can construct R (n-th order conversion matrix), setting $R_{ij} = (g_i, g_j)$.

For the theory of conversion matrices see: Sykes [8], Domb [5], Kennedy and Gordon [7], Borzacchini [4].

If A is a square matrix, following Zykov [9], Barton and David [2], we can introduce the «graph symmetric function» of a graph H, with n vertices and m edges, relative to A as

i.e. a function that comprises:

- (i) an outer sum over all sets of n integers $(i_1, ..., i_n)$ with $i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_n < k$, where k is the dimension of A;
- (ii) an inner sum, whose summand is $A_{u_1v_1} \dots A_{u_mv_m}$, in which there is one term for each distinguishable way of labelling (d.w.l.) the vertices of H with i_1, \dots, i_n and where $\{(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_m, v_m)\}$ is the set of edges of a graph isomorphic to H.

[3]

Obviously if \tilde{G} is the adjacency matrix of a graph G, then

$$(g,G) = [g]_{\widetilde{g}},$$

where $[g]_{\tilde{g}}$ is the graph symmetric function of g relative to \tilde{G} .

Let's now denote with A(G) the order of the automorphisms group of a graph G; if P(G) is the number of distinguishable ways of labelling the n vertices of G, then

(4)
$$A(G) = \frac{n!}{P(G)} = \frac{n!}{(G, K_n)}$$

(see Harary [6]).

In Borzacchini [4] the following lemma has been demonstrated.

Lemma. If $g \in B_{n,m}$, where $B_{n,r}$ is the set of not-isomorphic graphs with n vertices and r edges

(5)
$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{n,r}} \frac{(g,G)}{A(G)} = \frac{1}{A(g)} {\binom{n \choose 2} - m \choose {\binom{n}{2}} - r}.$$

Hence we can show the following theorem.

Theorem. If $G_i \in B_n$, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$

$$\sum_{H \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{(-1)^{m_H}}{A(H)} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(G_i, \ H \right) \ = \frac{(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}}{n!} \left[G_1, \dots, G_k \right].$$

Proof. Plainly any product of graph symmetric functions can be written as a linear sum of graph symmetric functions, where the coefficient of the R-graph symmetric function is the graph-covering-number of R (see also Barton and David [2]).

From (3) we have then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} (G_i, H) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} [G_i]_{\widetilde{H}} = \sum_{R \in B_n} [G_i, \dots, G_k/R][R]_{\widetilde{H}}.$$

From (5), for any $R \neq K_n$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{H \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{n}}} (-1)^{m_H} \frac{[R]_H^{\widetilde{\mathbf{n}}}}{A(H)} = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{n}}} (-1)^{m_H} \frac{(R,H)}{A(H)} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\binom{n}{2}} (-1)^r \sum_{H \in \mathcal{B}_{n,r}} \frac{(R,H)}{A(H)} = \frac{1}{A(R)} \sum_{r=0}^{\binom{n}{2}} (-1)^r \binom{\binom{n}{2} - m_R}{\binom{n}{2} - r} = 0, \end{split}$$

for $R = K_n$, $(K_n, H) = 1$ if $H = K_n$, $(K_n, H) = 0$ elsewhere and hence the thesis.

3 - Conversion matrices and Ramsey's numbers

Our theorem can be applied to any existence-problem of a cover (or partition) of a graph by graphs, or to any enumeration-problem of such covers (or partitions).

An interesting example can be the theory of Ramsey's numbers $N(k; l_1, ..., l_r)$ (see Aigner [1]).

Let's be S and n-set (i.e. a set with |S| = n) and $S^{(k)}$ the set of all k-subsets of S. $N(k; l_1, ..., l_r)$ is the smallest integer, depending only on $k, r, l_1, ..., l_r$, such that $\forall n \geqslant N(k; l_1, ..., l_r)$, if $A_1, ..., A_r$ is a partition of $S^{(k)}$, then exists an l_i -subset T of S such that $T^{(k)} \subseteq A_i$.

The simplest case is k=1. When k=2 the problem admits a convenient interpretation in graph theory: S can be the set of vertices and $S^{(2)}$ the set of edges of K_n . The partition $A_1, ..., A_r$ is an r-coloring of the edges; then $N(2; l_1, ..., l_r)$ is the smallest integer such that, $\forall n \geq N(2; l_1, ..., l_r)$, for any r-coloring of K_n , for some i there is a complete monochromatic subgraph on l_i vertices.

In other terms: if $G_1, ..., G_r$ are the subgraphs of K_n induced by the partition $A_1, ..., A_r$, there must be an i such that K_{l_i} is a subgraph of G_i , i.e. $(K_{l_i}, G_i) \neq 0$; because this condition must come true for any r-coloring, we have

$$\prod_{\substack{G_1, \ldots, G_r \\ G_i \in B_n}} \left([G_1, \ldots, G_r] \cdot \sum_{j=1}^r (K_{l_j}, G_j) \right) \neq 0 ,$$

where the first product is over all r-uples $(G_1, ..., G_r)$ such that $\forall i \ G_i \in B_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r |X_i| = \binom{n}{2}$ (i.e. $(G_1, ..., G_r)$ is a graph-partition of K_n).

Hence, from (6) we have

Corollary 1. $N(2; l_1, ..., l_r)$ is the smallest integer n such that

$$\prod_{\substack{G_1,\dots,G_r\\G_i,e,B}} \Big(\sum_{H\in\mathcal{B}_n} \frac{(-1)^{m_H}}{A(H)} \prod_{i=1}^r (G_i, H) \Big) \Big(\sum_{j=1}^r (K_{e_j}, G_j) \Big) \neq 0.$$

A natural generalization of Ramsey's problem for k=2 is the question whether, if $(L_1, ..., L_r)$ is an r-uple of graphs with n vertices and if G is a graph with n vertices, for any r-coloring of G, for some i there is a monochromatic subgraph (of G) isomorphic to L_i . We can say that the answer is affirmative if and only if

$$\prod_{\substack{a_1,\,\ldots,\,a_r\ a_r\in B_B}} [G_1,\,\ldots,\,G_r/G] \cdot \sum_{j=1}^r \left(L_j,\,G_j
ight)
eq 0$$
 .

From (2) and (6) we can write

Corollary 2. For any r-coloring of G, for some i there is a monochromatic subgraph isomorphic to L_i if and only if

$$\prod_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_r \\ G_i \in B_n}} \left(\sum_{H \in B_n} \frac{(-1)^{m_H}}{A(H)} \prod_{i=1}^r (G_i, H) \cdot (\hat{G}, H) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^r (L_j, G_j) \right) \neq 0.$$

Formulae of our corollaries are hard to compute, but Ramsey's numbers are really hard to compute. Corollary gives an answer for a generalization of Ramsey's problem that includes other proposed generalizations (see Aigner [1]).

These examples show that our theorem allows a general answer to the question: it's possible to cover a graph utilizing a set of graphs defined up to isomorphism, i.e. whose labelling is free? And, if the answer is affirmative: in how many ways it's possible such a cover? So the conversion matrices can be a central tool for graph theory, but their quick calculus is up today an open problem.

References

- [1] M. Aigner, Combinatorial theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1979.
- [2] D. E. Barton and F. N. David, The random intersection of two graphs, « Research papers in statistics », F. N. David ed., J. Wiley 1966.
- [3] B. Bollobas, Extremal graph theory, Academic Press, London 1978.
- I. Borzacchini, Lattice constants. Matrical equations for conversion matrices, Pubbl. IAC (3) 170 (1979).
- [5] C. Domb, Graph theory and embeddings, «Phase transitions and critical phenomena», III Domb and Green eds., Academic Press 1974.
- [6] F. HARARY, Graph theory, Addison Wesley, Reading 1969.
- [7] J. W. Kennedy and M. Gordon, Graph contractions and a generalized Möbius inversion, in «Second international conference on Combinatorial Mathematics», A. Gewitz and L. V. Quintas eds., The N. York Academy of Sciences, N. Y. 1979.
- [8] M. F. SYKES, J. W. ESSAM, B. R. HEAP and B. J. HILEY, Lattice constants systems and graph theory, J. Math. Phys. 7 (1966), 1557.
- [9] A. A. ZYKOV, Algebras of complexes, Mat. Sb. N. S. (41) 159 (1957) (trans. E. Buchtenkirch, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) 15 (1960), 15-32).

Summary

If G = (V, X) and $G_i = (V, X_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, are graphs with n vertices, a cover of G is a set $\{G_1, ..., G_k\}$ of subgraphs of G if $\bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i = X$. Let's define a graph-covering-number n of G by $G_1, ..., G_k$ as the number of distinct k-uples $(G'_1, ..., G'_k)$ that cover G such that $\forall i : G'_i$ is isomorphic to G_i . In this paper we show a theorem linking the graph-covering-numbers to conversion matrices and then some connections between Ramsey's numbers and conversion matrices.

* * *