

ROGER YUE CHI MING (*)

On regular rings and Artinian rings (**)

Introduction

This paper is essentially concerned with von Neumann regular rings and Artinian rings. Rings whose right ideals are quasi-injective (called right q -rings) are studied in [5], where they are characterised as right self-injective rings whose essential right ideals are ideals. (As usual, an ideal means a two-sided ideal). Our first theorem contains characteristic properties of regular left q -rings ([5], theorem 2.9) is here improved). Using recent results of Menal [8] on π -regular rings, we consider a class of rings such that for any finitely generated left module M , $\text{End}(M)$ is a π -regular ring whose primitive factor rings are Artinian. A few nice characterisations of left continuous regular rings and semi-simple Artinian rings are given. For example, A is semi-simple Artinian iff the sum of any two cyclic projective left A -modules is injective.

Throughout, A represents an associative ring with identity and A -modules are unitary. J, Z will denote respectively the Jacobson radical and the left singular ideal of A . Q will always stand for the maximal left quotient ring of A whenever $Z = 0$ (in that case, Q is a left self-injective regular ring and ${}_A Q$ is the injective hull of ${}_A A$). Following [9], a left A -module M is called semi-simple if the intersection of all the maximal left submodules of M is zero. Thus, A is semisimple iff $J = 0$. Also, a right (left) ideal of A is called reduced if it contains no non-zero nilpotent element.

Recall that: (1) A is unit-regular if, for any $a \in A$, there exists a unit (invertible element) $u \in A$ such that $a = auu$; (2) A is a π -regular ring if,

(*) Indirizzo: Université Paris VII, U.E.R. de Mathématiques, 2 Place Jussieu, 75251 Paris, France.

(**) Ricevuto: 12-II-1981.

for any $a \in A$, there exists a positive integer n such that $a^n \in a^n A a^n$; (3) A is of bounded index if the supremum of the indices of the nilpotent elements of A is finite; (4) A has stable range 1 if whenever $Aa + Ab = A$, $a, b \in A$, there exists $c \in A$ such that $a + cb$ is a unit; (5) A is a left V -ring if every simple left A -module is injective; (6) A left A -module M is p -injective (f -injective) if, for any principal (finitely generated) left ideal I of A and any left A -homomorphism $g: I \rightarrow M$, there exists $y \in M$ such that $g(b) = by$ for all $b \in I$. Following [12]₆, call A a left WP -ring (weak p -injective) if every left ideal not isomorphic to ${}_A A$ is p -injective. A is called a left wq -ring if every left ideal not isomorphic to ${}_A A$ is quasi-injective (wq -rings are studied in [10]_{1,2}). Write A is ELT ($MELT$) if every essential (maximal essential) left ideal is an ideal of A . ERT ($MERT$) rings are similarly defined on the right. Since the study of q -rings was initiated in [5], ELT rings have been considered by various authors.

It is well-known that there is no inclusion relation between the classes of arbitrary von Neumann regular rings and left V -rings. Since A is regular iff every left A -module is flat iff every left A -module is p -injective while a commutative ring is regular iff it is a V -ring, the notions of V -rings, flatness and p -injectivity are therefore closely connected to von Neumann regularity. Unit-regular rings and regular rings of bounded index have many interesting properties (cfr. [4]) and are therefore remarkable generalisations of strongly regular rings.

Let us first consider certain relations between the following generalisations of regular rings: (1) fully left idempotent rings; (2) rings whose simple left modules are flat; (3) left p - V -rings (rings whose simple left modules are p -injective); (4) left p -injective rings.

Lemma 1. Let M be a maximal right ideal of A which is an ideal. The following are then equivalent.

- (1) ${}_A A/M$ is injective,
- (2) ${}_A A/M$ is p -injective,
- (3) A/M_A is flat.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) may be established by going through the proof of ([12]₄, proposition 2.1(1)).

(2) implies (3). Since A/M is a division ring, then M is a maximal left ideal of A and A/M is a simple p -injective left A -module. For any $0 \neq u \in M$, if $Au = Mu$, then $u \in Mu$. If $Au \neq Mu$, then $Au/Mu \approx A/M$ is a simple left p -injective A -module and the canonical map $Au \rightarrow Au/Mu$ yields $u + Mu = ucu + Mu$ for some $c \in A$. Thus $u \in Mu$ again which proves that A/M is right A -flat.

(3) implies (2). For any $0 \neq b \in A$, let $f: Ab \rightarrow A/M$ be a non-zero left A -homomorphism. Then $Ab \not\subseteq M$ (otherwise, since A/M_A is flat and M is an ideal of A , $b = vb$ for some $v \in M$ and for any $a \in A$, $f(ab) = f(avb) = avf(b) = 0$ contradicting f non-zero) which implies $A = M + Ab$. If $1 = u + db$, $u \in M$, $d \in A$, then $b = bu + bdb$ so that $f(b) = f(bu) + bf(db)$. But $bu = cbu$ for some $c \in M$ which implies $f(bu) = cf(bu) = 0$. Thus $f(ab) = abf(db)$ for all $a \in A$ which proves that ${}_A A/M$ is p -injective.

Prop. 2. (1) A MELT fully left idempotent ring is an ELT ring whose simple left modules are either injective or projective.

(2) A MELT fully left and right idempotent ring is von Neumann regular.

Proof. (1) Let M be a maximal left ideal which is essential in ${}_A A$. Since A is fully left idempotent, then A/M is right A -flat which implies ${}_A A/M$ injective by Lemma 1. This proves that every simple left A -module is either projective or injective whence any proper essential left ideal E of A is an intersection of maximal essential left ideals of A . Therefore E is an ideal of A (since A is MELT) which proves that A is ELT.

(2) follows from ([12]₁, proposition 9).

([12]₂, lemma 2.1) and Proposition 2 yield the following generalization of ([5], theorem 2.19).

Corollary 2.1. If A is a MELT left continuous ring whose simple right modules are flat, then $A = B \oplus C$, where B is a (left and right) continuous strongly regular ring and C is a semi-simple Artinian ring.

Corollary 2.2. A is ELT regular iff A is a MELT ring whose simple right modules are p -injective and flat.

Corollary 2.3. A is an ELT and ERT regular ring iff A is a semi-prime MELT and MERT ring whose simple left and right modules are flat.

The next result contains an improvement of ([5], theorem 2.9).

Theorem 3. The following conditions are equivalent.

(1) A is an ELT left and right self-injective regular left and right V -ring of bounded index;

(2) A is a regular ring whose left ideals are quasi-injective;

(3) A is a semi-prime π -regular left wq-ring such that the maximal left ideals of Q are quasi-injective;

(4) any left ideal I of A satisfies one of the following conditions: (a) I is p -injective quasi-injective; (b) I is a proper p -injective left annihilator; (c) $r(I)$ is a non-zero direct summand of A_A ;

(5) A is a semi-prime ring whose faithful left ideals are p -injective quasi-injective;

(6) A is a MELT left wq left and right V -ring;

(7) A is a semi-prime ring whose maximal left ideals and left annihilators are quasi-injective.

Proof. (1) implies (2) by ([5], theorem 2.3).

(2) implies (3) obviously.

Assume (3). Then A is left non-singular semi-simple [10]₂. If M is any maximal ideal of Q , then Q/M is a MELT simple ring which implies Q/M Artinian and proves that Q is of bounded index. A is therefore a semi-simple π -regular ring of bounded index and by ([8], theorem C), any primitive factor ring of A is Artinian. If A is not simple, then A contains a non-trivial central idempotent ([8], lemma 1) and therefore A is a left q -ring ([10]₁, lemma 1.5). In any case, A is a regular left q -ring and (3) implies (4).

Assume (4). Then A is left self-injective. Let K be a complement left ideal such that $E = Z \oplus K$ is an essential left ideal. If E is p -injective, then Z is p -injective and for any $z \in Z$, if $i: Az \rightarrow Z$ is the canonical injection, then $z = i(z) = zw$ for some $w \in Z$ which implies $Az \cap l(w) = 0$, whence $z = 0$ and therefore $Z = 0$. If $r(E) = eA$, $0 \neq e = e^2 \in A$, then $0 \neq e \in Z$, which yields a contradiction. Thus $Z = 0$ in any case and A is therefore regular. Then any proper essential left ideal of A must be quasi-injective which implies that A is a left q -ring and proves that (4) implies (5).

Assume (5). If K is a left ideal such that $E = Z \oplus K$ is essential, then ${}_A E$ is faithful (since A is semi-prime) which implies E (and hence Z) a p -injective left ideal. Then $Z = 0$ as before and since A is left self-injective, A is regular. Since ${}_A L$ is faithful for any essential left ideal L , then ${}_A L$ is quasi-injective whence A is a left q -ring and (5) implies (6).

(6) implies (7) by ([4], lemma 6.20) and ([10]₁, lemma 1.5).

Since a quasi-injective maximal essential left ideal in a left self-injective ring is an ideal, then (7) implies (1) by ([4], corollary 6.22) and Proposition 2.

We now look more closely at rings whose simple right modules are flat.

Lemma 4. *If every simple right A -module is flat, then*

- (1) *any reduced right ideal of A is a strongly regular ring,*
- (2) *any reduced finitely generated right ideal is a direct summand of A_A .*

Proof. (1) Let R be a reduced right ideal of A , $a \in R$. Since aA is reduced, then $l(a) \subseteq r(a)$. If $aA + r(a) \neq A$, let M be a maximal right ideal containing $aA + r(a)$. Then A/M is right A -flat which implies $a = ba$ for some $b \in M$. Therefore $1 - b \in l(a) \subseteq r(a) \subseteq M$ which yields $1 \in M$, a contradiction. Thus $aA + r(a) = A$ and $a = a^2c$ for some $c \in A$. Now $a = a^2d$, where $d = ac^2 \in R$ and since $a - ada \in R$, then $(a - ada)^2 = 0$ implies $a = ada$, whence R is a strongly regular ring.

(2) Let $F = aA + bA$ be a reduced right ideal generated by two elements a, b of A . From (1), $a = ada$ for some $d \in F$ and therefore $aA = eA$, where $e = ad$ is idempotent. Now $aA + bA = eA + (1 - e)bA = eA + uA$, where $(1 - e)bA = uA$, $u = u^2 \in F$ since $(1 - e)b \in F$. If we set $v = u(1 - e)$, then $v \in F$, $vu = u$, $v^2 = v$ and $uA = vA$. Therefore $F = eA + vA = (e + v)A$ is a direct summand of A_A as before. Then (2) follows by induction on the number of generators.

Call A *densely nil* if every non-zero right ideal contains a non-zero nilpotent element [2].

Corollary 4.1. *If A is a prime ring whose simple right modules are flat, then A is either a division ring or densely nil.*

Apply ($([12]_s$, proposition 6)).

Since (1) A fully left idempotent ring whose maximal left ideals are ideals is strongly regular; (2) A MELT left p -injective ring whose simple right modules are flat is an ELT left p - V -ring, then using the proof of ($[12]_s$, proposition 8(2)), we get the following decomposition result.

Theorem 5. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *A is a direct sum of a semi-simple Artinian ring and a strongly regular ring with zero socle;*
- (2) *A is a MELT ring with finitely generated left socle such that every cyclic semi-simple right A -module is flat;*
- (3) *A is a MELT left p -injective ring with finitely generated left socle such that every simple right A -module is flat.*

If A is a right continuous regular ring (in the sense of Utumi [11]) and $A = B \oplus C$, where B, C are ideals of A , then both B and C are right con-

tinuous regular rings with identity. A densely nil right continuous regular ring is right self-injective ([11], theorem 3). Following [2], write MDSN for the minimal direct summand of A_A containing the nilpotent elements of A . The next decomposition theorem extends that of right continuous regular rings given by Utumi ([11], p. 604).

Theorem 6. *Let A be a ring whose simple right modules are flat such that any reduced right ideal is essential in a finitely generated right ideal. Then $A = B \oplus C$, where B is the densely nil MDSN and C is a (left and right) continuous strongly regular ring.*

Proof. If I is a reduced right ideal then any essential extension of I_A in A_A is also reduced. By Lemma 4, any reduced right ideal is therefore essential in a direct summand of A_A and by ([2], theorem 12), $A = B \oplus C$, where B is the densely nil MDSN and C is a reduced abelian Baer ring with identity. Now C is a reduced right ideal of A and by Lemma 4, C is strongly regular. Since C is a Baer ring, then C is left and right continuous.

It is well-known that if $E = \text{End } {}_A(M)$, where ${}_A M$ is injective, $J(E)$ the Jacobson radical of E , then $E/J(E)$ is a left self-injective regular ring and $J(E) = \{f \in E/\ker f \text{ is essential in } {}_A M\}$. With suitable modifications, the proof of that result yields the next lemma. Set $B = \text{End } ({}_A A)$ and let $J(B)$ denote the Jacobson radical of B .

Lemma 7. *If A is a left p -injective ring whose complement left ideals are principal, then $B/J(B)$ is von Neumann regular and $J(B) = \{f \in B/\ker f \text{ is essential in } {}_A A\}$.*

We are now in a position to give some new characteristic properties of left continuous regular rings.

Theorem 8. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) A is left continuous regular;
- (2) A is a left non-singular left p -injective ring whose complement left ideals are principal;
- (3) A is a left non-singular left f -injective ring whose complement left ideals are finitely generated;
- (4) A is a right f -injective ring whose complement left ideals coincide with left annihilators of elements of A .

Proof. It is easy to see that (1) implies (2), (3) and (4).

Assume (2). Since $Z = 0$, then $J(B) = 0$ in Lemma 7 and $A \approx B$ is therefore regular. Then any complement left ideal is a direct summand of ${}_A A$ which implies A left continuous and therefore (2) implies (1).

Similarly, (3) implies (1).

Assume (4). Then $Z = 0$. For any $a \in A$, Aa is a left annihilator by Ikeda-Nakayama's theorem which then implies that $Aa = l(u)$, $u \in A$. If K is a complement left ideal such that $E = Aa \oplus K$ is an essential left ideal, since $K = l(v)$ for some $v \in A$, then $E = l(uA) + l(vA) = l(uA \cap vA)$ which yields $E = A$ (since $Z = 0$) and proves A regular. Since any complement left ideal is the left annihilator of an element (and therefore a direct summand of ${}_A A$), then (4) implies (1).

We now know from [3] that prime left non-singular left p -injective rings need not be primitive. However, the next remark holds ([12]₂, proposition 1.6) is thereby improved).

Remark. A prime left p -injective ring is primitive with non-zero socle iff it has a maximal left annihilator.

The next proposition is motivated by [8].

Prop. 9. *Let A be a semi-simple left WP, π -regular ring such that every maximal left ideal of Q is quasi-injective. Then A is a regular left and right V -ring of bounded index such that for any finitely generated left A -module M . $\text{End}_A(M)$ is a π -regular ring of bounded index. Consequently, $\text{End}_A(M)$ has stable range 1 and M cancels from direct sums of left A -modules.*

Proof. Since $Z = 0$, Q is left self-injective regular of bounded index by Theorem 3, which implies that A is of bounded index. If A is simple, then A is Artinian by ([8], theorem C). If not, then A contains a non-trivial central idempotent by ([8], lemma 1) which implies that A is von Neumann regular ([12]₆, Lemma 1.3). Thus A is a left and right V -ring such that for any finitely generated left A -module M , $\text{End}_A(M)$ is a π -regular ring whose primitive factor rings are Artinian and the last part of the proposition then follows ([8], theorem D).

Similarly, ([8], theorems C and D) yield the next result which is related to a question of J. W. Fisher: Are π -regular V -rings regular?

Prop. 10. *Let A be a MELT π -regular fully right idempotent ring such that every maximal left ideal of Q is quasi-injective. Then A is an ELT unit-regular left and right V -ring whose primitive factor rings are Artinian.*

Finally, we turn to characteristic properties of semi-simple Artinian rings.

Theorem 11. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *A is semi-simple Artinian;*
- (2) *A is a semi-prime ring whose faithful left modules are injective;*
- (3) *A is a semi-prime ring whose faithful proper left ideals have non-zero right annihilators;*
- (4) *A is a regular ring whose faithful non-singular left modules are projective;*
- (5) *A is a left self-injective ring such that the sum of any two cyclic injective left A-modules is injective;*
- (6) *the sum of any two cyclic projective submodules of every left A-module is injective;*
- (7) *every semi-simple left A-module is p-injective and quasi-injective;*
- (8) *A is a MELT ring whose projective and quasi-injective left modules coincide;*
- (9) *A is a left p.p. ring whose essential right ideals are right annihilators.*

Proof. Obviously, (1) implies (2) while (2) implies (3).

The proof of Theorem 3 shows that (3) implies (4).

Assume (4). Since A is regular, then a theorem of I. Kaplansky asserts that any finitely generated submodule of a projective left A -module is a direct summand. Therefore, ${}_A Q$ projective implies that ${}_A A$ is a direct summand of ${}_A Q$ whence $A = Q$ is left self-injective regular. Then A is left hereditary (cfr. the proof of Theorem 3 (4)) and we may conclude that (4) implies (5).

It is easy to see that (5) implies (6).

Assume (6). Then A is left self-injective. Let $B = A_1 \oplus A_2$ be a direct sum of two copies of A , $B_1 = \{(-a, 0) \in B/a \in A_1\}$, $B_2 = \{(0, a) \in B/a \in A_2\}$. For any left ideal I of A , write $K = \{(a, a) \in B/a \in I\}$. Then $B_1 \approx A_1$, $B_2 \approx A_2$ and the canonical homomorphism $B \rightarrow \bar{B} = B/K$ yields $B_1 \approx \bar{B}_1$ and $B_2 \approx \bar{B}_2$. Since $\bar{B} = \bar{B}_1 + \bar{B}_2$, then \bar{B} is injective by hypothesis and $\bar{B} = \bar{B}_1 \oplus T$ implies \bar{B}/\bar{B}_1 left A -injective. If $(\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2) + \bar{B}_1 \in \bar{B}/\bar{B}_1$, then $(\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2) + \bar{B}_1 = (\bar{b}_2, \bar{b}_2) + \bar{B}_1$ which yields $A/I \approx \bar{B}/\bar{B}_1$, whence A/I is a cyclic injective left A -module. Therefore (6) implies (7) by ([9], theorem 3.2).

Assume (7). The fact that every semi-simple left A -module is p -injective

implies A von Neumann regular whence every left ideal is semi-simple. This implies that A is a left q -ring and by Theorem 3, A is a left V -ring. But then every left A -module is semi-simple by ([9], theorem 2.1) which proves that every left A -module is quasi-injective and therefore every left A -module is injective and projective. Thus (7) implies (8).

Assume (8). Then A is left self-injective and any maximal essential left ideal (being an ideal of A) is quasi-injective and therefore projective. Thus every maximal left ideal of A is projective and (8) implies (9) by ([12]_b, remark 6).

Finally, assume (9). Since a maximal right ideal is either a direct summand of A_A or an essential right ideal, then every maximal right ideal of A is a right annihilator. If I is a finitely generated proper right ideal, M a maximal right ideal containing I , then $l(M) \neq 0$ implies $l(I) \neq 0$. Since A is a left p.p. ring, by ([1], theorem 5.4), every principal left ideal is a direct summand of ${}_A A$ which proves A regular. Then every maximal right ideal, being the right annihilator of an element, is a direct summand of A_A which proves that (9) implies (1).

Semi-group analogues of certain results on injectivity and p -injectivity have been considered in [6] and [7].

Question. Are there semi-group analogues of Theorems 3 and 11?

Acknowledgement. We are indebted to the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions leading to the present version.

References

- [1] H. BASS, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466-488.
- [2] G. F. BIRKENMEIER, *Baer rings and quasi-continuous rings have a MDSN*, Pac. J. Math. **97** (1981), 283-292.
- [3] O. I. DOMANOV, *A prime but not primitive regular ring*, Uspchi Mat. Nauk. **32** (1977), 219-220.
- [4] K. R. GOODEARL, *Von Neumann regular rings*, Monographs and studies in Maths., 4, Pitman, London 1979.
- [5] S. K. JAIN, S. H. MOHAMED and S. SINGH, *Rings in which every right ideal is quasi-injective*, Pac. J. Math. **31** (1969), 73-79.

- [6] C. S. JOHNSON and F. R. MC MORRIS, *Completely cyclic injective semi-lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 385-388.
- [7] J. K. LUEDEMAN, F. R. MC MORRIS and S. K. SIM, *Semi-groups for which every totally irreducible S-system is injective*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **19** (1978), 27-35.
- [8] P. MENAL, *On π -regular rings whose primitive factor rings are Artinian*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **20** (1981), 71-78.
- [9] G. O. MICHLER and O. E. VILLAMAYOR, *On rings whose simple modules are injective*, J. Algebra **25** (1973), 185-201.
- [10] S. MOHAMED and S. SINGH: [\bullet]₁ *Weak q-rings*, Canad. J. Math. **29** (1977), 687-695; [\bullet]₂ *Weak q-rings with zero singular ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 25-30.
- [11] Y. UTUMI, *On continuous regular rings and semi-simple self-injective rings*, Canad. J. Math. **12** (1960), 597-605.
- [12] R. YUE CHI MING: [\bullet]₁ *On generalizations of V-rings and regular rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **20** (1978), 123-129; [\bullet]₂ *On von Neumann regular rings, III*, Monatsch. Math. **86** (1978), 251-257; [\bullet]₃ *On annihilator ideals, II*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul, **28** (1979), 129-136; [\bullet]₄ *On regular rings and V-rings*, Monatsh. Math. **88** (1979), 335-344; [\bullet]₅ *On V-rings and prime rings*, J. Algebra **62** (1980), 13-20; [\bullet]₆ *Von Neumann regularity and weak p-injectivity*, Yokohama Math. J. **28** (1980), 59-68.

A b s t r a c t

Some characteristic properties of the following classes of rings are given: (1) Regular rings whose left ideals are quasi-injective. (2) Left continuous regular rings. (3) Semi-simple Artinian rings. Connections between several generalisations of von Neumann regular rings are also considered. Known results are improved.

* * *