

S. ZAIDMAN (*)

**A non-linear abstract differential equation
with almost-periodic solution (**)**

Introduction

In this paper we complete Theorem 1.1 (Chapter 10) of [3] to a simple quasi-linear situation, considering mild instead of regular solutions.

1 – Let X be a Banach space, and $S(t), t \geq 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ be a Co-operator semi-group, verifying an estimate $\|S(t)\| \leq M \exp [\beta t] \forall t \geq 0$, where β is a negative number. Let also A be its infinitesimal generator.

Next consider a function $f(x, t), X \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, which is continuous with respect to t for any $x \in X$, and verifies an uniform Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(x_1, t) - f(x_2, t)\| \leq N \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Remark that as a consequence, the function $f(\varphi(t), t), \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ is (strongly) continuous, if $\varphi(t), \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

We give the following

Def. The continuous function $u(t), \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, is a mild solution over \mathbf{R} of the differential equation

$$(1) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + f(u(t), t),$$

(*) Indirizzo: Dépt. de Mathématiques et de Statistique, C.P. 6128, Succursale « A », Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada.

(**) This research is supported by a grant of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Canada. — Ricevuto: 19-IX-1983.

if the functional relation

$$(2) \quad u(t) = S_{t-a}u(a) + \int_a^t S_{t-\sigma}f(u(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma$$

is satisfied $\forall a \in \mathbf{R}, \forall t \geq a$.

Our aim here is to establish the following

Theorem. *Let us assume that the continuous function $f(x, t), X \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ is almost-periodic in t , uniformly for x in compact subsets of X ⁽¹⁾, and has a sufficiently small Lipschitz constant. Then there exists one and only one almost-periodic mild solution over \mathbf{R} , $u(t)$, of the differential equation (1).*

2 – We need a preliminary Lemma before we shall be able to apply the contraction mapping principle.

Lemma. *Given any almost-periodic function $g(t), \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, there exists one and only one almost-periodic function $v(t)$, verifying the relation*

$$(3) \quad v(t) = S_{t-a}v(a) + \int_a^t S_{t-\sigma}g(\sigma) d\sigma \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \forall t \geq a.$$

The uniqueness follows in the following way. If $\omega(t), \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ is a bounded over \mathbf{R} mild solution of $v' = Av$ (that is $\omega(t) = S_{t-a}\omega(a), \forall a \in \mathbf{R}, t \geq a$), then $\omega(t) = 0 \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$. In fact, take a sequence of real numbers $t_n \downarrow -\infty$. For a given $t \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $\omega(t) = S_{t-t_n}\omega(t_n)$ (as soon as $t_n < t$). Hence

$$\|\omega(t)\| \leq M \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \|\omega(t)\| \exp[\beta(t-t_n)] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and } \omega(t) = 0.$$

The existence of the almost-periodic mild solution. Consider (as in [3]) the function $v(t)$ defined by the (improper) integral

$$v(t) = \lim_{n \downarrow -\infty} \int_n^t S(t-\sigma)g(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

⁽¹⁾ This means that, if K is any compact in X , then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, the set $\bigcap_{x \in K} J(\varepsilon, f(x, t))$ is relatively dense on \mathbf{R} (as in [2], p. 7).

It is proved in [3] (pp. 123-124) that $v(t)$ is a continuous almost-periodic function, $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, verifying the estimate

$$\|v(t)\| \leq \frac{M}{|\beta|} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{R}} \|g(\sigma)\| \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Hence, it remains to show that $v(t)$ is a mild solution over \mathbf{R} . Take therefore any $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \geq a$. From

$$v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-\sigma)g(\sigma) d\sigma \quad \text{we derive that} \quad v(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a S(a-\sigma)g(\sigma) d\sigma$$

which implies that $S(t-a)v(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a S(t-\sigma)g(\sigma) d\sigma$ and accordingly

$$S(t-a)v(a) + \int_a^t S(t-\sigma)g(\sigma) d\sigma = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-\sigma)g(\sigma) d\sigma = v(t).$$

Thus the Lemma is established.

Proof of the theorem. From our hypothesis it follows (see Appendix), that $V\varphi(t)$ which is almost-periodic, $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, the composite function $f(\varphi(t), t)$ has the same property. It makes therefore sense to consider the mapping from the (Banach) space $AP(X)$ (of almost-periodic functions, $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ endowed with the uniform norm over \mathbf{R}) into itself defined as follows: $\varphi \in AP(X) \rightarrow T\varphi = u$ which is the unique mild almost-periodic solution over \mathbf{R} of the above considered differential equation $u' = Au + f(\varphi(t), t)$. We show now that T is a strict contraction on $AP(X)$ (when the Lipschitz constant N is small enough).

Therefore, take $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in AP(X)$ and let $u_i = T\varphi_i$, $i = 1, 2$, so that

$$u_i(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-\sigma)f(\varphi_i(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

We get $u_1(t) - u_2(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-\sigma)[f(\varphi_1(\sigma), \sigma) - f(\varphi_2(\sigma), \sigma)] d\sigma$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|S(t-\sigma)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} N \|\varphi_1(\sigma) - \varphi_2(\sigma)\| d\sigma \\ &\leq M \cdot N \left(\int_{-\infty}^t \exp[\beta(t-\sigma)] d\sigma \right) \sup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{R}} \|\varphi_1(\sigma) - \varphi_2(\sigma)\|; \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\|T\varphi_1 - T\varphi_2\|_{AP(X)} \leq \frac{M \cdot N}{|\beta|} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{AP(X)}$,

which is a strict contraction when $N < |\beta|/M$.

Let $u(t) \in AP(X)$ be a fixed point of T ; therefore

$$u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-\sigma) f(u(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma, \quad \text{then} \quad u(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a S(a-\sigma) f(u(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma,$$

and for $t \geq a$

$$\begin{aligned} & S(t-a)u(a) + \int_a^t S(t-\sigma) f(u(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^a S(t-\sigma) f(u(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma + \int_a^t S(t-\sigma) f(u(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-\sigma) f(u(\sigma), \sigma) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $u(t)$ is a mild solution (almost-periodic).

It only remains to prove the following (compare [1], Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.11).

Appendix. Let the continuous function $f(x, t)$, $X \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, be almost-periodic, $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, $\forall x \in X$, and uniformly for $x \in K$ -any compact subset of X . Then, if $\varphi(t) \in AP(X)$, the composite function $f(\varphi(t), t)$, $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ is also almost-periodic.

Proof. If K is any compact set in X , the family of almost-periodic functions $\{f(x, t)\}_{x \in K}$ is a relatively compact family in $C_b(\mathbf{R}; X)$ -space of continuous bounded functions over \mathbf{R} . This follows from Lyusternik's theorem ([2], p. 7) in the following way:

(i) Fix $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$; the set $\{f(x, t_0)\}_{x \in K}$ is the continuous image in X of the compact set K , hence it is compact in X .

(ii) The set $\{f(x, t)\}_{x \in K}$ is uniformly almost-periodic, by assumption.

(iii) The set $\{f(x, t)\}_{x \in K}$ is equi-uniformly continuous over \mathbf{R} , that is $\|f(x, t') - f(x, t'')\|_X < \varepsilon$ if $|t' - t''| < \delta_K(\varepsilon)$, $\forall x \in K$.

In fact, from (ii) it follows that $f(x, t)$ is uniformly continuous with respect to x on K , uniformly on \mathbf{R} , which is now proved: f is uniformly continuous on $K \times [0, L]$, $\forall L > 0$. We know that $\bigcap_{x \in K} J(\varepsilon/9, f(x, t)) = T$ is relatively dense.

Let L be an inclusion length. Given $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $\exists \zeta \in [-t, -t + L] \cap T$, so that $0 \leq t + \zeta \leq L$. Next, $\exists \delta > 0$, so that $x, y \in K$ and $\|x - y\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|f(x, t) - f(y, t)\| < \varepsilon/9$ for $0 \leq t \leq L$. Then, for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and $x, y \in K$, $\|x - y\| < \delta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x, t) - f(y, t)\| &\leq \|f(x, t) - f(x, t + \zeta)\| + \|f(x, t + \zeta) - f(y, t + \zeta)\| \\ &\quad + \|f(y, t + \zeta) - f(y, t)\| < \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by compactness of K , \exists a finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$, such that, $\forall y \in K$, $\|y - x_i\| < \delta$ for some i . The finite family of almost-periodic-hence uniformly continuous over \mathbf{R} -functions, $\{f(x_1, t), \dots, f(x_n, t)\}$ is obviously equi-uniformly continuous so that $\exists \varrho(\varepsilon/3)$ with property

$$|t' - t''| < \varrho \Rightarrow \|f(x_i, t') - f(x_i, t'')\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Given now $y \in K$, take x_i so that $\|x_i - y\| < \delta$. It follows, for $|t' - t''| < \varrho(\varepsilon/3)$, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(y, t') - f(y, t'')\| &\leq \|f(y, t') - f(x_i, t')\| + \|f(x_i, t') - f(x_i, t'')\| \\ &\quad + \|f(x_i, t'') - f(y, t'')\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the family $\{f(x, t)\}_{x \in K}$ is relatively compact in $C_b(\mathbf{R}; X)$. If now φ is almost-periodic, $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, the closure of its range, $K = \overline{\text{Ran } \varphi}$ is a compact set in X so that the family of functions $\{f(x, t)\}_{x \in K}$ is relatively compact in $C_b(\mathbf{R}; X)$. Adding one element (the function $\varphi(t)$) maintains relative compactness of the family of almost-periodic functions, $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, $\{f(x, t)\}_{x \in \overline{\text{Ran } \varphi}} \cup \{\varphi(t)\}$. Applying again Lyusternik's theorem we find that the set of ε' common almost-periods $T_1 = \bigcap_{x \in K} J(\varepsilon', f(x, t)) \cap J(\varepsilon', \varphi)$ is relatively dense on the real line. Now, as was proved above, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in \overline{\text{Ran } \varphi}$ and $\|x - y\| < \delta$ implies $\|f(x, t) - f(y, t)\| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$. If we now take $\varepsilon' = \inf(\varepsilon/3, \delta)$ we can find a $\zeta \in \bigcap_{x \in K} J(\varepsilon/3, f(x, t)) \cap J(\delta, \varphi)$ in any interval of length $L_{\varepsilon'}$ on the real line. For any such ζ it is

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\varphi(t + \zeta), t + \zeta) - f(\varphi(t), t)\| &\leq \|f(\varphi(t + \zeta), t + \zeta) - f(\varphi(t), t + \zeta)\| \\ &\quad + \|f(\varphi(t), t + \zeta) - f(\varphi(t), t)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

because $\|\varphi(t + \zeta) - \varphi(t)\| < \delta \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$.

This proves the almost-periodicity of the composite function $f(\varphi(t), t)$.

References

- [1] A. M. FINK, *Almost periodic differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1974.

- [2] B. M. LEVITAN and V. V. ZHIKOV, *Almost periodic functions and differential equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, New York 1982.
- [3] S. ZAIDMAN, *Abstract differential equations*, Pitman Publishing, San Francisco-London-Melbourne 1979.

* * *