F. TRICERRI and L. VANHECKE (*)

Geodesic spheres

and naturally reductive homogeneous spaces

Let (M, g) be an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold and denote by $G_m(r)$ the geodesic sphere of M with center $m \in M$ and radius r. We always suppose that r < i(m) where i(m) is the injectivity radius of (M, g) at m.

When M is a two-point homogeneous space the geodesic spheres are (reductive) homogeneous spaces. Recently W. Ziller proved [8] that all these geodesic spheres are naturally reductive homogeneous spaces except for the Cayley plane where none of them has this property.

The main purpose of this note is to give a new and independent proof of the natural reductivity by using the theorem of Ambrose and Singer [1].

1 - Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a connected Riemannian manifold. Then (M, g) is said to be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold if there exists a group G of isometries of (M, g) acting transitively and effectively on M. Then M is diffeomorphic to G/K where K is the isotropy group of some point p in M.

Next let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{k} the Lie algebra of K. Suppose \mathfrak{m} is a vector space complement to \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathrm{Ad}(K)\,\mathfrak{m}\subseteq\mathfrak{m},\,i.e.\,\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}\oplus\mathfrak{m}$ is a reductive decomposition. Then we may identify \mathfrak{m} with T_pM by the map

^(*) Addresses: F. Tricerri, Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italia; L. Vanhecke, Departement Wiskunde, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200 B, B-3030 Leuven, Belgium.

 $X \mapsto X_p^*$, where X^* denotes the Killing vector field on (M, g) generated by the one-parameter subgroup $\{\exp(tX)\}$ acting on M. We denote by \langle , \rangle the inner product on \mathfrak{m} induced by the metric g.

Def. 1.1. The manifold (M, g) (or the metric g) is said to be naturally reductive if there exists a Lie group G and a subspace \mathfrak{m} with the properties described above and such that

(1)
$$\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle + \langle Y, [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = 0, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m},$$

where $[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ denotes the projection of [X, Y] on \mathfrak{m} .

It is clear that if we want to say that (M, g) is naturally reductive we first have to determine all transitive isometry groups G of M and then to consider all the complements of K in G which are invariant under G and, in addition, satisfy (1). In many cases this is not an easy task but sometimes one can obtain a quick answer by using an infinitesimal characterization which we shall treat now.

As is well-known, E. Cartan proved that a connected, complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold is a symmetric space if and only if the curvature is constant under parallel translation. Ambrose and Singer extended this theory in order to be able to characterize Riemannian manifolds by a local condition which is to be satisfied at all points. More specifically they proved

Lemma 1.2 [1]. Let (M, g) be a connected, complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold. Then (M, g) is homogeneous, i.e. there exists a transitive and effective group of isometries of M, if and only if there exists a tensor field T of type (1, 2) such that, with $\widetilde{\nabla} = \nabla - T$, we have

(2)
$$\widetilde{\nabla}g = 0$$
, $\widetilde{\nabla}R = 0$, $\widetilde{\nabla}T = 0$.

Here ∇ denotes the Levi Civita connection and R the Riemann curvature tensor of M.

Note that (2) is equivalent to the following conditions

(3)
$$g(T_x Y, Z) + g(Y, T_x Z) = 0$$
, $\nabla_x R = T_x \cdot R$, $\nabla_x T = T_x \cdot T$,

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and where T_x acts as a derivation on the tensor algebra. In [6], [7] we used this theorem to give a characterization of naturally reductive homogeneous spaces by means of the tensor T:

Lemma 1.3. Let (M, g) be a connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold. Then (M, g) is a naturally reductive homogeneous Rie-

mannian space if and only if there exists a tensor field T of type (1, 2) satisfying the conditions (2) and such that

$$(4) T_x X = 0$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

We refer to [6] for more details about the study of homogeneous Riemannian structures T on a Riemannian manifold.

3 - The second fundamental form of a geodesic sphere in a two-point homogeneous space

To prove the main results of this paper we shall need explicitly the second fundamental form of a geodesic sphere $G_m(r)$ with center m and radius r. We start by giving a brief description of an elegant and well-known method to obtain this form (see for example [2], [4]).

Let ξ be a unit vector of $T_m M$ and denote by $\gamma(r)$ the geodesic tangent to ξ , i.e. $\gamma(r) = \exp_m(r\xi)$. The Jacobi field equation along γ is

$$Y'' + R_{\gamma' F} \gamma' = 0.$$

Let $\{e_i, i = 1, ..., n \text{ and } e_1 = \xi\}$ be an orthonormal basis at m and denote by $\{E_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$ the orthonormal basis along γ obtained by parallel translation of $\{e_i\}$ along γ . Next we consider the n-1 Jacobi vector fields Y_a , a = 2, ..., n, along γ with initial conditions

$$Y_a(0) = 0$$
, $Y'_a(0) = e_a$.

Put

$$Y_a(r) = (A_a^b E_b)(r)$$
.

This gives rise to the endomorphism-valued function $r\mapsto A(r)$ and the endomorphism-valued equation

$$A'' + R \circ A = 0,$$

with initial conditions

(6)
$$A(0) = 0$$
, $A'(0) = I$,

where I is the identity and R the symmetric endomorphism of $\{\gamma'(r)\}^{\perp} \subset T_{\gamma(r)}M$ given by $R(r)X = R_{\gamma'(r)X}\gamma'(r), X \in \{\gamma'(r)\}^{\perp}$.

Since $\gamma'(r)$ is a unit normal vector of $G_m(r)$ at $p = \exp_m(r\xi)$, the shape operator S of $G_m(r)$ at p is given by $SX = \nabla_X \gamma'(r)$, $X \in T_p G_m(r)$, and it is well-known that $S_p = (A'A^{-1})(r)$.

Now we derive *explicit* formulas for S when M is a two-point homogeneous space. In this case we can always choose a basis $\{e_i\}$ at m which diagonalizes R(0) and, since M is a symmetric space, $\{E_i\}$ diagonalizes R at each point $\gamma(r)$.

For Euclidean space E^n we have A = rI and hence

$$S = \frac{1}{r} I.$$

Next, for a space of constant curvature μ we obtain $A = \alpha I$ where

$$\alpha = \frac{\sin\sqrt{\mu}\ r}{\sqrt{\mu}} \ \text{ for } \mu > 0, \qquad \alpha = \frac{\sinh\sqrt{|\mu|}\ r}{\sqrt{|\mu|}} \ \text{ for } \mu < 0.$$

In this case we have

$$(8) S = \beta I$$

with
$$\beta = \sqrt{\mu} \cot \sqrt{\mu} r$$
 for $\mu > 0$, $\beta = \sqrt{|\mu|} \coth \sqrt{|\mu|} r$ for $\mu < 0$.

Finally we consider the case $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{H}P^n$ and \mathbb{C} ay P^2 or their noncompact duals. In this case there are only two eigenvalues for the endomorphism R. More specifically we have

(9)
$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I_p & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\alpha}{4} I_q \end{pmatrix}$$

where p + q = n - 1 (see for example [3]). In what follows we consider the case $\alpha > 0$. The formulas for $\alpha < 0$ can be obtained by replacing the trigonometric functions by hyperbolic functions. From (5), (6) and (7) we obtain

$$A(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sin \sqrt{\alpha} \, r \, I_p & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sin \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \, r I_q \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

(10)
$$S(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\alpha} \cot \sqrt{\alpha} r I_{p} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \cot \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} r I_{q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this it follows at once that the second fundamental form σ can be written as

(11)
$$\sigma(X, Y) = g(SX, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bR_{y'Xy'Y}, \quad X, Y \in T_pG_m(r),$$

where

(12)
$$a = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 4 \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \cot \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} r - \sqrt{\alpha} \cot \sqrt{\alpha} r \right\},$$
$$b = \frac{4}{3\alpha} \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \cot \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} r + \sqrt{\alpha} \cot \sqrt{\alpha} r \right\}.$$

Note that in all these cases the eigenvalues of the shape operator are constant on each geodesic sphere, i.e. are radial functions.

We shall also need the Gauss equation for the geodesic sphere $G_m(r)$

(13)
$$R'_{XYZW} = R_{XYZW} + \sigma(X, Z)\sigma(Y, W) - \sigma(X, W)\sigma(Y, Z),$$

where R' is the Riemann curvature tensor of $G_m(r)$ and $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{X}(G_m(r))$.

4 - Geodesic spheres and naturally reductive homogeneous spaces

In this section we prove our main results. First we consider the trivial case.

Theorem 4.1. The geodesic spheres in E^n or in a space of constant curvature are naturally reductive homogeneous spaces.

Proof. It follows easily from (7), (8), (13) and the fact that

$$R_{XYZW} = \alpha \{ g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z) \}$$

that T=0 satisfies the equations (2) of Ambrose and Singer. So the result follows at once from Lemma 1.3. (Note that T=0 implies that $G_m(r)$ is a symmetric space.)

The case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{H}P^n$ or their noncompact duals is a bit more complicated.

Theorem 4.2. Let M be the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n(\alpha)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature α or its noncompact dual. Then the geodesic spheres are naturally reductive homogeneous spaces.

Proof. We consider the case $\alpha > 0$. The case $\alpha < 0$ can be obtained by replacing the trigonometric functions by hyperbolic functions.

Let J denote the almost complex structure on M and denote by F the Kähler form on M, i.e. F(X, Y) = g(X, JY) where $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. The curvature tensor of M is given by

$$R_{XYZW} = \frac{\alpha}{4} \left\{ g(X, Z) g(Y, W) - g(X, W) g(Y, Z) + F(X, Z) F(Y, W) - F(X, W) F(Y, Z) + 2F(X, Y) F(Z, W) \right\}.$$
(14)

Further, let $G_m(r)$ be a geodesic sphere and put $p = \exp_m(r\xi)$. Denote by η the 1-form on $G_m(r)$ defined by

(15)
$$\eta(X) = g(X, J\gamma'(r)), \quad X \in T_r G_m(r), \quad p \in G_m(r).$$

It follows from (10) (with p=1 and q=2n-2) or (11) and (14) that

(16)
$$\sigma(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y) + \mu \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad X, Y \in T_p G_m(r),$$

where λ and μ are radial functions.

Next we put

$$(17) T = 3\lambda \eta \wedge F$$

on the geodesic sphere $G_m(r)$. Let ∇' denote the Riemannian connection on $G_m(r)$. Then it follows easily from (15), (16) and (17)

$$(18) \quad (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}'\eta)(Y) = -\lambda F(X,Y), \quad (T_{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\eta)(Y) = -\lambda F(X,Y), \qquad X,Y \in T_{\mathbf{x}}G_{\mathbf{m}}(r).$$

Hence, with $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla' - T$, we have

Similarly we obtain for $X, Y, Z \in T_nG_m(r)$

(20)
$$(\nabla'_x F)(Y, Z) = (T_x \cdot F)(Y, Z) = \lambda [g(X, Y)\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)],$$

and so

$$\widetilde{\nabla} F = 0 .$$

Next, since $\nabla g = 0$, we get from (16) and (19)

$$(22) \widetilde{\nabla} S = 0$$

and hence, (13), (14), (21) and (22) imply $\tilde{\nabla}T = \tilde{\nabla}R' = 0$.

This means that $G_m(r)$ is a homogeneous space. Moreover, since T is a 3-form, the condition (4) is fulfilled and the geodesic sphere is naturally reductive.

Note that the expression (17) for the 3-form T can be obtained by solving the system of equations (2) explicitly. On the other hand it is very natural that the 3-form T is expressed by means of the 1-form η and the Kähler form F which are the natural forms related to the geometrical situation.

Theorem 4.3. Let M be the quaternionic projective space $\mathbf{H}P^n(\alpha)$ of maximal sectional curvature $\alpha > 0$ or its noncompact dual. Then the geodesic spheres are naturally reductive homogeneous spaces.

Proof. We do the case $\alpha > 0$. There exist locally three almost complex structures J_i , i = 1, 2, 3, such that

$$J_1 J_2 = J_3 \,, \quad J_2 J_3 = J_1 \,, \quad J_3 J_1 = J_2 \,.$$

Denote by F_i , i = 1, 2, 3, the associated 2-forms, i.e. $F_i(X, Y) = g(X, J_i Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Then the curvature of M is given by

$$(23) \begin{array}{c} R_{XYZW} = \frac{\alpha}{4} \left\{ g(X,Z)g(Y,W) - g(X,W)g(Y,Z) \right. \\ \\ \left. + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} \left(F_i(X,Z)F_i(Y,W) - F_i(X,W)F(Y,Z) + 2F_i(X,Y)F_i(Z,W) \right) \right\}. \end{array}$$

Next, let $G_m(r)$ be a geodesic sphere and put $p = \exp_m(r\xi)$. Define the three 1-forms η_i , i = 1, 2, 3, on $G_m(r)$ by

$$(24) \eta_i(X) = g(X, J_i \gamma'(r)), X \in T_p G_m(r), p \in G_m(r).$$

Then, it follows from (10) (with p=3, q=4n-4) or (11) and (23) that

(25)
$$\sigma(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y) + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{3} \eta_i(X) \eta_i(Y), \quad X, Y \in T_p G_m(r),$$

where λ and μ are radial functions.

Note that we can always choose the almost complex structures J_i , i = 1, 2, 3, such that $\nabla_x J_i|_p = 0$ for any fixed X, (see for example [5]).

Now we shall prove that the 3-form

(26)
$$T = 3\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{3} \eta_i \wedge F_i - 6\mu \, \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3$$

gives the required tensor field. Therefore we need several formulas which are easily verified. Let (i, l, m) be a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3). Then we have

(27)
$$\nabla'_{x}\eta_{i} = -\frac{\lambda}{2}i_{x}F_{i} + \mu i_{x}(\eta_{i}\wedge\eta_{m}), \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where ∇' denotes the Riemannian connection on $G_m(r)$ and i_x is the interior product with respect to X. With $\theta_x(Y) = g(X, Y)$ we also have

(28)
$$\nabla'_{\mathbf{x}} F_i = 2\lambda \theta_{\mathbf{x}} \wedge \eta_i + 2\mu \sum_{k=1}^3 \eta_k(X) \, \eta_k \wedge \eta_i \,, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 \,.$$

Further

(29)
$$T_x \cdot \eta_i = -\frac{\lambda}{2} i_x F_i + (2\lambda + \mu) i_x (\eta_i \wedge \eta_m),$$

$$(30) T_X \cdot F_i = 2\lambda \left(\eta_i(X)F_m - \eta_m(X)F_i\right) + 2\lambda \left(\theta_X \wedge \eta_i\right) + 2\mu \sum_{k=1}^3 \eta_k(X)(\eta_k \wedge \eta_i),$$

for i=1,2,3. Hence (27)-(30) imply, with $\tilde{\nabla}=\nabla-T,$

(31)
$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{x}\eta_{i} = -2\lambda i_{x}(\eta_{i}\wedge\eta_{m}), \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{x}F_{i} = -2\lambda(\eta_{i}(X)F_{m}-\eta_{m}(X)F_{i}),$$

for i=1,2,3. From (31) and (26) we obtain $\widetilde{\nabla} T=0$, and, finally, from (25), (31) and $\widetilde{\nabla} g=0$ we get

$$\widetilde{\nabla}S = 0.$$

The result follows now at once since (31), (32), the expression (23) for R' and the Gauss equation (13) imply $\nabla R' = 0$.

5 - Remark

The Cayley plane is much more difficult to handle and we have been unable to obtain the result of Ziller by a method which is similar to that used for the other two-point homogeneous spaces. But we believe that it must be possible to use the result of Ambrose and Singer to obtain the nonexistence of a naturally reductive homogeneous structure. We hope to come back on this problem in another paper.

References

- [1] W. Ambrose and I. M. Singer, On homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), 647-669.
- [2] A. L. Besse, Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed, Ergebnisse der Mathematik 93, Springer, Berlin 1978.
- [3] R. B. Brown and A. Gray, Riemannian manifolds with holonomy group Spin (9), Differential Geometry (in honor of K. Yano), Kinokuniya, Tokyo (1972)
- [4] B. Y. Chen and L. Vanhecke, Differential geometry of geodesic spheres, J. Reine Angew. Math. 325 (1981), 28-67.
- [5] S. Ishihara, Quaternion Kählerian manifolds, J. Differential Geometry 9 (1974), 483-500.
- [6] F. TRICERRI and L. VANHECKE, Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds, Lecture Note Series, London Math. Soc. 83, Cambridge Univ. Press. 1983.
- [7] F. TRICERRI and L. VANHECKE, Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces and generalized Heisenberg groups, Compositio Math. (to appear).
- [8] W. Ziller, Homogeneous Einstein metrics on spheres and projective spaces, Math. Ann. 259 (1982), 351-358.

* * *