

GIOVANNI CIMATTI (*)

On the problem of the electrical heating of a conductor ()**

1 - Introduction

In this paper we study the steady state potential and temperature distribution inside a conductor taking into account the Thomson effect. To make the paper selfcontained we summarize briefly how the basic equations are derived (see [5] and [6]). In the Thomson model a temperature gradient will by itself produce a flow of electrons, even when no electric field is acting on them. Therefore the current density is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{J} = \sigma(\theta)(-\nabla\psi + \beta(\theta)\nabla\theta)$$

where $\sigma(\theta)$ is the electric conductivity, $\beta(\theta)$ a given function of the absolute temperature θ and ψ is the electric potential. Define

$$(1.2) \quad B(\theta) = \int_{\bar{\theta}}^{\theta} \beta(t) dt \quad \phi = B(\theta) - \psi$$

where $\bar{\theta}$ is an arbitrary reference value. In this way (1.1) becomes

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{J} = \sigma(\theta)\nabla\phi.$$

The vector flow of energy per unit area (including the potential and kinetic energy of the particles which constitute the current) is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbf{q} = \psi\mathbf{J} + \partial(\theta)\mathbf{J} - \varkappa(\theta)\nabla\theta$$

(*) Indirizzo: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, via Buonarroti 2, I-56100 Pisa.

(**) Ricevuto: 11-I-1988.

where $\varkappa(\theta)$ is the thermal conductivity and $\varepsilon(\theta)$ a given function representing the mean kinetic energy of the particles.

The conservation of electric charge and energy requires

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0 \qquad (1.6) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0.$$

By (1.3) and (1.5) it follows

$$(1.7) \quad \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\theta) \nabla \phi) = 0.$$

From (1.4) and (1.6) using (1.5) we have

$$(1.8) \quad -\nabla \cdot (\varkappa(\theta) \nabla \theta) + \tau(\theta) \mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla \theta = \frac{J^2}{\sigma(\theta)}$$

where $\tau(\theta)$ is the Thomson's coefficient given in the present notations by

$$(1.9) \quad \tau(\theta) = \varepsilon'(\theta) + \beta(\theta).$$

The term on the right hand side of (1.8) represents the heat source due to the Joule effect. The expression $\tau(\theta) \mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla \theta$ corresponds to the Thomson effect. We remark that $\tau(\theta)$ has no definite sign.

The aim of this paper is to prove, using a fixed point argument, the existence of at least one classical solutions of the system (1.7), (1.8) with Dirichlet boundary conditions i.e.

$$(1.10) \quad \theta = \theta_0 \qquad \phi = \phi_0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of an open and bounded subset representing the conducting body.

We start with a few preliminary remarks. Since θ is the absolute temperature the minimal hypotheses to make the problem physically reasonable are

$$(1.11) \quad \sigma(0) = 0 \qquad \sigma(\theta) > 0 \qquad \text{for all } \theta > 0$$

$$(1.12) \quad \varkappa(0) = 0 \qquad \varkappa(\theta) \geq \bar{\varkappa} \qquad \text{for all } \theta \geq \theta_m$$

where $\bar{\varkappa}$ is a positive constant and $\theta_m = \min \theta_0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Let (θ, ϕ) be a solution of

problem (1.7), (1.8), (1.10) so regular that the classical maximum principle can be applied to (1.8). We have $\theta \geq \theta_m$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

It is useful to define a new scale of temperatures $u = I(\theta)$ as follows

$$(1.13) \quad I(\theta) = \int_0^\theta z(t) dt.$$

By (1.12) $I(\theta)$ establishes a one to one correspondence of $[\theta_m, \infty)$ into $[u_m, \infty)$ where of course, $u_m = I(\theta_m)$. In this way problem (1.7), (1.8), (1.10) can be written in the following simpler form

$$(1.14) \quad \nabla \cdot (a(u) \nabla \phi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \phi = \phi_0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

$$(1.15) \quad -\Delta u + b(u) a(u) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u = a(u) |\nabla \phi|^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad u = u_0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

2 - The existence theorem

The theorem of this section applies only in two dimensions. Thus we suppose Ω to be an open and bounded subset of R^2 representing the orthogonal cross section of a cylindrical body infinitely long in the axial direction. Let $\partial\Omega$ be the regular boundary of Ω (e.g. $\partial\Omega \in C^2$). Suppose u_0 and ϕ_0 to be traces on $\partial\Omega$ of $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ -functions and put $u_m = \min u_0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover we assume $a(u) \in C^2(R_+^1)$, $b(u) \in C^2(R_+^1)$ and

$$(2.1) \quad a_1 \geq a(u) \geq a_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all } u \geq u_m$$

$$(2.2) \quad |b(u)| \leq b_1 \quad \text{for all } u \geq u_m$$

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^\infty |b(t)| dt \leq B_1.$$

Theorem. *There exists at least one classical solution of problem (1.14), (1.15) if (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) hold true.*

Proof. Let us consider the following auxiliary problem

$$(2.4) \quad \phi - \phi_0 \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty \quad \int_a \hat{a}(u) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \chi dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \in H_0^1$$

$$(2.5) \quad u - u_0 \in H_0^1 \quad \int_a \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_a B(u) \hat{a}(u) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_a \phi \hat{a}(u) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla v dx$$

for all $v \in H_0^1$.

We define $\hat{a}(t) \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^1)$ as follows

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{a}(t) = a(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq u_m \qquad 2a_1 \geq \hat{a}(t) \geq a_0/2 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}^1.$$

Set $\hat{b}(t) \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \hat{b}(t) = b(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq u_m \qquad |\hat{b}(t)| \leq 2b_1 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}^1.$$

We put now

$$B(t) = \int_{u_m}^t \hat{b}(z) \, dz \qquad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}^1.$$

Using the Schauder fixed point theorem we can prove that (2.4), (2.5) has at least one solution. Define the operator $u = T(w)$ from $L^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$ with the following linear problems

$$(2.8) \quad \phi - \phi_0 \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty \qquad \int_{\Omega} \hat{a}(w) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \chi \, dx = 0 \qquad \text{for all } \chi \in H_0^1$$

$$(2.9) \quad u - u_0 \in H_0^1 \qquad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (B(w) - \phi) \hat{a}(w) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$

for all $v \in H_0^1$.

Equation (2.8) can be uniquely solved since $\hat{a}(w) \in L^2(\Omega)$. By the maximum principle we have

$$(2.10) \qquad \inf_{\partial\Omega} \phi_0 \leq \phi \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} \phi_0.$$

Moreover putting $\chi = \phi - \phi_0$ in (2.8) we obtain

$$(2.11) \qquad \int_{\Omega} \hat{a}(w) |\nabla \phi|^2 \, dx \leq C_1$$

and by (2.6)

$$(2.12) \qquad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 \, dx \leq C_2.$$

By (2.10) and (2.12) we have $(B(w) - \phi) \hat{a}(w) \nabla \phi \in L^2(\Omega)$. Hence (2.8) has one and only one solution in H^1 . Thus T is well-defined. Choosing $v = u - u_0$ in (2.9) we

get with simple calculations recalling (2.6), (2.7), (2.10) and (2.11),

$$(2.13) \quad \int_a |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C_3 [1 + (\int_a |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}].$$

Hence it follows

$$\int_a |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C_4$$

moreover applying the Poincaré inequality to $u - u_0$ we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \int_a |u|^2 dx \leq C_5$$

where the constant C_5 does not depend on the choice of w in L^2 . We claim that T is continuous. Suppose $w_n \rightarrow w^*$ in L^2 and let (ϕ^*, u^*) be defined by the problems

$$(2.15) \quad \phi^* - \phi_0 \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty \quad \int_a \hat{a}(w^*) \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \chi dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \in H_0^1$$

$$(2.16) \quad u^* - u_0 \in H_0^1 \quad \int_a \nabla u^* \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_a [B(w^*) - \phi^*] \hat{a}(w^*) \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla v dx$$

for all $v \in H_0^1$.

Let (ϕ_n, u_n) be the sequence of solutions obtained by putting $w = w_n$ in (2.8) and (2.9). Taking $\chi = \phi_n - \phi_0$ we have

$$(2.17) \quad \int_a |\nabla \phi_n|^2 dx \leq C_5.$$

Therefore it is possible to extract from $\{\phi_n\}$ a subsequence weakly convergent in H^1 and strongly in L^2 . If $\bar{\phi}$ is the limit function letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we find

$$\bar{\phi} - \phi_0 \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty \quad \int_a \hat{a}(w^*) \nabla \bar{\phi} \cdot \nabla \chi dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \in H_0^1.$$

Since the solution of (2.15) is unique, we conclude that the entire sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ converges to ϕ^* . Now $(B(w_n) - \phi_n) \hat{a}(w_n) \nabla \phi_n$ converges weakly to $(B(w^*) - \phi^*) \hat{a}(w^*) \nabla \phi^*$ by (2.6), (2.7) and because by the maximum principle we have $|\phi_n| \leq \sup |\phi_0|$ on $\partial\Omega$. It follows by (2.9) that u_n converges to u^* weakly in H^1 and strongly in L^2 . This implies the continuity of T . Let Σ be a closed and convex subset of L^2 given by

$$\Sigma = \{v \in L^2; \int_a |v|^2 dx \leq C_5\}$$

where C_3 is the constant appearing in (2.14). We have $T(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$, moreover $T(\Sigma)$ is compact. By the Schauder fixed point theorem, we conclude that T has at least one fixed point. Therefore problem (2.8), (2.9) has at least one solution (ϕ, u) .

We claim that (ϕ, u) is in fact a classical solution of problem (1.14), (1.15). From (2.4) it follows by a theorem of Meyers [7], that $\nabla\phi \in L^{2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence $(B(u) - \phi)\hat{a}(u)\nabla\phi \in L^{2+\varepsilon}$ and we have $\nabla u \in L^{2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ again by Meyers' theorem applied this time to equation (2.5). Therefore $\partial\hat{a}(u)/\partial x_i \in L^{2+\varepsilon}$. This permit to apply alternatively to (2.4) and (2.5) the usual bootstrap argument and to conclude that the regularity of (ϕ, u) depends only on the degree of regularity of $\hat{a}(t)$, $\hat{b}(t)$. By our hypotheses we obtain $(\phi, u) \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. Integrating by parts in (2.4) we have

$$(2.18) \quad \nabla \cdot (\hat{a}(u)\nabla\phi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \phi = \phi_0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Again by integration by parts in (2.5) and by (2.18) we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad -\Delta u = \hat{a}(u)|\nabla\phi|^2 - \hat{b}(u)\hat{a}(u)\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla u \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad u = u_0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Applying the classical maximum principle to (2.19) we infer $u \geq u_m$. Therefore $\hat{a}(u) = a(u)$ and $\hat{b}(u) = b(u)$. We conclude that (ϕ, u) is a classical solution of (1.14), (1.15).

Remark. The following weak formulation of equation (1.15)

$$(2.20) \quad u - u_0 \in H_0^1 \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} a(u)|\nabla\phi|^2 v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} b(u)a(u)(\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla u)v \, dx$$

for all $v \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty$

appears at first sight the most naturale. However the Stampacchia-Chicco maximum principle [1], [8], at least in its immediate form, does not applies to (2.20).

References

- [1] M. CHICCO, *Principio di massimo forte per sottosoluzioni di equazioni ellittiche di tipo variazionale*, Boll. Union. Mat. Ital. (3) **22** (1967) 368-372.
- [2] G. CIMATTI, *A bound for the temperature in the thermistor problem*, J. Appl. Math. **40** (1988), 15-22.

- [3] G. CIMATTI and G. PRODI, *Existence results for a nonlinear elliptic system modelling a temperature dependent electrical resistor*, to appear in Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.
- [4] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA and N. N. URAL'TSEVA, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [5] L. D. LANDAU and E. M. LIFSHITZ, *Electrodynamics of continuous media*, Pergamon, New York, 1960.
- [6] F. LEWELLYN JONES, *The physics of electrical contacts*, Oxford Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1957.
- [7] N. G. MEYERS, *An L^p -estimate for the gradient of solutions of second order elliptic divergence equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 17 (1968), 189-206.
- [8] G. STAMPACCHIA, *Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinuous*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 15 (1965), 189-258.

Abstract

Using a fixed point argument a theorem of existence is given for the nonlinear boundary value problem governing the electrical heating of a solid conductor. The electrical and thermal conductivities are supposed to be both given functions of the temperature and account is taken of the Thomson effect.
