

D. D. BAINOV, A. D. MYSHKIS and A. I. ZAHARIEV (*)

**On the oscillatory properties of the solutions
of non-linear neutral functional differential equations
of second order (**)**

1 - Introduction

In the present paper sufficient conditions have been obtained for oscillation or tending to zero of all bounded solutions of equations of the form

$$(1) \quad [A(x_t)]'' + \varphi(t)B(x_t) = 0$$

where $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$, $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, $\tau = \text{const} > 0$ and the functionals $A, B: C[-\tau, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are monotonic.

The oscillatory properties of linear and non-linear ordinary differential and functional differential equations have been an object of investigation by many authors [2]... [5], [8], [10]. The neutral equations of second order have numerous applications (see for instance [1], [6]) but their oscillatory and asymptotic properties are studied comparatively little. Some results in this direction for the case when the function $\varphi(t)$ is non-negative have been obtained in [9], [11].

2 - Preliminary notes

Def. 1. We shall say that the function $\varphi: I_\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($I_\varphi = [t_\varphi, \infty)$, $t_\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\infty = +\infty$) is *oscillating* if $\sup\{t | \varphi(t) = 0\} = \infty$ and $\sup\{t | \varphi(t) \neq 0\} = \infty$.

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: D. D. BAINOV and A. I. ZAHARIEV, Plovdiv University «Paissii Hilendarski», BG-Plovdiv; A. D. MYSHKIS, Moscow Institute of Railway Engineering, SU-Moscow.

(**) Ricevuto: 16-II-1988.

Def. 2. A function $x: I_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called a *solution of equation (1)* if $x \in C(I_x)$, $A(x_t) \in C^2(I_x + \tau)$ and satisfies equation (1) for $t \in I_x + \tau$.

By $\Omega^{\alpha, \beta}$ ($0 < \beta \leq \alpha$) we shall denote the set of all continuous functionals $A: C[-\tau, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy the following conditions:

A1. For any function $\varphi \in C[-\tau, 0]$ with the property $\varphi(t) \neq 0$, $t \in [-\tau, 0]$, the following equality holds

$$\operatorname{sgn} A(\varphi) = \operatorname{sgn} \varphi(0).$$

A2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any function $\varphi \in C[-\tau, \tau]$ with the property $\min_{[-\tau, \tau]} |\varphi(t)| > 0$ the inequality $\max_{[0, \tau]} |A(\varphi_t)| < \delta$ implies the inequality $|\varphi(0)| < \varepsilon$.

A3. For all constants b_1, b_2 , $0 < b_1 \leq b_2$, and any function $\varphi \in C[-\tau, \alpha]$ with the property $\min_{[-\tau, \alpha]} \varphi(t) > 0$ for which the inequality $b_1 \leq |A(\varphi_t)| \leq b_2$, $t \in [-\tau, \alpha]$, holds there exists a measurable set $Q \subseteq [-\tau, \alpha]$ and a constant $b_3 > 0$ such that $\mu(Q) \geq \beta$ (μ is the Lebesgue measure), $|\varphi(t)| \geq b_3$ for $t \in Q$ and the following equality holds

$$\operatorname{sgn} \varphi(t)|_Q = \operatorname{sgn} A(\varphi_t)|_{[0, \alpha]}.$$

Example 1. It is immediately verified that for any α and correspondingly chosen β the functional A defined by the equality $A(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi(-\tau_i)$, $n \geq 1$, $a_i > 0$, $0 \leq \tau_i \leq \tau$, ($i = \overline{1, n}$) belongs to the set $\Omega^{\alpha, \beta}$.

For the function $\rho: I_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the notation

$$E_p^+ = \{z \in I_p | \rho(z) \geq 0\} \quad E_p^- = \{t \in I_p | \rho(t) \leq 0\}.$$

By ρ^γ , $\gamma > 0$, we shall denote the set of continuous functions $\rho: I_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following property:

P1. There exists a number $\varepsilon > 0$ and a point $t_0 \in I_p$ such that for any $t \geq t_0$ for which $\rho(t) > 0$ one can find an interval $[t', t''] \subset I_p$ with length $t'' - t' \geq \gamma + \varepsilon$ with

the property $t \in [t', t''] \subset E_p^+$ (i.e. the intervals in which the function is positive should be large enough).

By Λ we shall denote the set of continuous functionals $B: C[-\tau, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:

B1. For any element $\varphi \in C[-\tau, 0]$ with the property $\min_{[-\tau, 0]} |\varphi(t)| > 0$ the following equality holds

$$\operatorname{sgn} B(\varphi) = \operatorname{sgn} \varphi(0).$$

B2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any element $\varphi \in C[-\tau, 0]$ with the property $\min_{[-\tau, 0]} |\varphi(t)| > 0$ for which the inequality $|\varphi(0)| \geq \varepsilon$ holds, the inequality $B(\varphi) \geq \delta$ holds as well.

B3. $B(s \cdot 1(\cdot))$ is a non-decreasing function for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1(t) \equiv 1$ and the following relation holds

$$\int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{B(s \cdot 1(\cdot))} + \frac{1}{|B(-s \cdot 1(\cdot))|} \right] ds < \infty.$$

Remark 1. We shall note that from condition B3 it follows that no functional $B \in \Lambda$ can be linear.

Lemma 1. Let the function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be absolutely continuous, $\varphi \in C^2[a, C]$ and let the function $f \in C[\min \varphi, \max \varphi]$ be non-increasing.

Then the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b h(t) \varphi''(t) f(\varphi(t)) dt \\ & \geq h(b) \varphi'(b) f(\varphi(b)) - h(a) \varphi'(a) f(\varphi(a)) - \int_a^b h'(t) \varphi'(t) f(\varphi(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If f is of class C^1 , then the assertion of the lemma is proved by an integration by parts and in the case when f is of class C by means of uniform approximation of f by non-increasing functions of class C^1 .

Theorem 2. Let for equation (1) numbers α, β ($0 < \beta \leq \alpha$) exist such that

the following conditions be fulfilled:

1. $A \in \Omega^{\alpha, \beta}$
2. $\rho \in \rho^{\alpha+\tau}$
3. $B \in \Lambda$.
4. For any constant $a > 0$ the following relation holds

$$\sup_{\{|z \in C[-\tau, \tau] | 0 < |z(t)| \leq a\}} \frac{B(\varphi)}{B(A(\varphi) \cdot 1(\cdot))} < \infty.$$

5. There exists a locally absolutely continuous function $h: I_p \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with the properties $\text{Var}_{[t, t]} h = 0(t)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Var}_{[t, \infty)} h' < \infty$, for which the following relation holds

$$(2) \quad \int_{E_\varepsilon^-} h(t) |\rho(t)| dt < \infty.$$

6. There exists a number $\varepsilon > 0$ for which the following inequality is satisfied

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu\{s \in [t, t + \alpha + \tau] | h(s) \rho(s) \leq \varepsilon\} < \beta.$$

Then each bounded solution of equation (1) either oscillates or tends to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $x: I_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of equation (1) which is not identically equal to zero for sufficiently large values of t . Without loss of generality we can assume that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \in I_x$. Multiplying both sides of equation (1) by the expression $\frac{h(t)}{B(A(x_t) \cdot 1(\cdot))}$ and integrating from $t_1 = t_x + \tau$ to $t > t_1$ we obtain the equality

$$\int_{t_1}^t \frac{[A(x_s)]'' h(s) ds}{B(A(x_s) \cdot 1(\cdot))} + \int_{t_1}^t h(s) \rho(s) \frac{B(x_s)}{B(A(x_s) \cdot 1(\cdot))} ds = 0.$$

Applying to the first integral Lemma 1 and integrating once more from t_1 to $t > t_1$ we obtain the inequality

$$(3) \quad \int_{t_1}^t \frac{h(s)[A(x_s)]'}{B(A(x_s) \cdot 1(\cdot))} ds - \frac{h(t_1)[A(x_{t_1})']|_{t=t_1}}{B(A(x_{t_1}) \cdot 1(\cdot))} (t - t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t \left(\int_{t_1}^s \frac{h'(y)[A(x_y)]'}{B(A(x_y) \cdot 1(\cdot))} dy \right) ds + \int_{t_1}^t \left(\int_{t_1}^s h(y) \rho(y) \frac{B(x_y)}{B(A(x_y) \cdot 1(\cdot))} dy \right) ds \leq 0.$$

Taking into account the properties of the function $h(t)$ and setting $\phi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{B(s \cdot 1(\cdot))}$ we obtain for $t \rightarrow \infty$ the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^t \frac{h(s)[A(x_s)]' ds}{B(A(x_s) \cdot 1(\cdot))} = \int_{t_1}^t h(s) d\phi(A(x_s)) \\ & = h(t) \phi(A(x_t)) - h(t_1) \phi(A(x_{t_1})) - \int_{t_1}^t \phi(A(x_s)) dh(s) = 0(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^t \frac{h'(s)[A(x_s)]' ds}{B(A(x_s) \cdot 1(\cdot))} = \int_{t_1}^t h'(s) d\phi(A(x_s)) \\ & = h'(t) \phi(A(x_t)) - h'(t_1) \phi(A(x_{t_1})) - \int_{t_1}^t \phi(A(x_s)) dh'(s) = 0(1). \end{aligned}$$

From inequality (3), in view of relations (2), (4) and condition 4 of Theorem 2, we obtain for $t \rightarrow \infty$ the relation

$$(5) \quad \int_{t_1}^t \left(\int_{\{t_1, s\} \cap E_\tau^+} h(y) \rho(y) \frac{B(x_y)}{B(A(x_y) \cdot 1(\cdot))} dy \right) ds = 0(t).$$

We shall prove that the following relation holds

$$(6) \quad \int_{\{t_1, \infty\} \cap E_\tau^+} h(t) \rho(t) \frac{B(x_t)}{B(A(x_t) \cdot 1(\cdot))} dt = \infty$$

which obviously contradicts relation (5).

From condition A2 it follows that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(x_t) > 0$, so let us set $C := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(x_t)$. On the other hand, from equation (1) it follows that the function $A(x_\cdot)$ is concave (convex) in any interval belonging to $\{I_x + \tau\} \cap E_\tau^+$ ($\{I_x + \tau\} \cap E_\tau^-$). In view of condition 6 of Theorem 2 we conclude that $\sup E_\tau^+ = \infty$, hence there exists a sequence $\{t_i\} \subset E_\tau^+$ with the property $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (t_{i+1} - t_i) = \infty$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A(x_{t_i}) = C$. From condition P1 it follows that there exists a sequence of disjoint intervals $\{l_i\}$, $t_i \in l_i$, with length $\alpha + \tau$ such that the inequality $\inf_i \min_{t \in Q_i} A(x_t) > 0$ holds.

Then by condition A3 there exists measurable sets $Q_i \subset l_i$ with the property $\mu(Q_i) \geq \beta$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), such that the inequality $\inf_i \min_{t \in Q_i} x(t) > 0$ holds. From last

inequality and condition B2 it follows that $\inf_i \inf_{t \in Q_i} B(x_i) > 0$, hence the following inequality holds

$$(7) \quad \inf_i \inf_{t \in Q_i} \frac{B(x_i)}{B(A(x_i) \cdot 1(\cdot))} > 0.$$

From condition 6 of Theorem 2 it follows that there exist sets $Q'_i \subseteq Q_i$ for which $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \inf \mu(Q'_i) > 0$ and the inequality

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \inf \inf_{t \in Q'_i} h(t) \rho(t) > 0$$

holds. Inequalities (7) and (8) immediately imply relation (6).

Remark 2. If, moreover, it is given that the function $\rho(t) \geq 0$, then each bounded solution which for sufficiently large values of t is not identically zero oscillates. In this case, if $x(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_x$, then the function $A(x)$ for $t \geq t_x$ is concave, hence $x(t)$ may tend to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$ only if it is identically zero for $t > t_x$.

References

- [1] R. BRAYTON, *Nonlinear oscillation in a distributed network*, Quart. Appl. Math. 24 (1967), 289-301.
- [2] G. J. BUTLER, *Integral averages and the oscillation of second order ordinary differential equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 11 (1980), 190-200.
- [3] W. J. COLES, *An oscillation criterion for second order differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 755-759.
- [4] P. HARTMAN, *On nonoscillatory linear differential equations of second order*, Amer. J. Math. 74 (1952), 389-400.
- [5] I. V. KAMENEV, *Some specific nonlinear oscillation theorems*, Mat. Zametki, 10 (1971), 129-134 (in Russian).
- [6] V. B. KOLMANOVSKII and V. R. NOSOV, *Stability and periodic régimes of controlled systems with aftereffect*, Moscow 1981 (v. p. 446) (in Russian).
- [7] T. KUSANO and H. ONOSE, *Nonlinear oscillation of second order functional differential equations with advanced argument*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 29 (1977), 541-559.
- [8] A. D. MYSHKIS, *Linear differential equations with delayed argument*, Moscow (1972) (v. p. 372) (in Russian).
- [9] A. D. MYSHKIS, D. D. BAINOV and A. I. ZAHARIEV, *Oscillatory and asymptotic properties of a class of operator-differential inequalities*, Proc. of the Royal Soc. of Edinburgh 96A (1984), 5-13.

- [10] A. WINTER, *A criterion of oscillatory stability*, Quart. Appl. Math. 7 (1949), 115-117.
- [11] A. I. ZAHARIEV and D. D. BAINOV: [\bullet]₁ *Oscillating properties of a class of neutral type functional differential equations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 22 (1980), 365-372; [\bullet]₂ *On some oscillation criteria for a class of neutral type functional differential equations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 28 (1986), 229-239.

Summary

In the present paper sufficient conditions have been obtained for oscillation or tending to zero of all bounded solutions of equations of the form $[A(x_t)]' + \rho(t)B(x_t) = 0$, where $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$, $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, $\tau = \text{const} > 0$, $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the functionals $A, B: C[-\tau, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are monotonic.
