

RAFFAELE SCAPELLATO (\*)

## Semigroup identities in near-rings (\*\*)

### Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the researches of [2] on various kinds of identities in (left) near-rings.

We have seen in [2]<sub>1</sub> that an autodistributive and idempotent near-ring satisfies the identity  $xyz = yxz$ . Here we show (Theorem 2.6) that in a large class of near-rings (including the regular ones) any permutation identity implies the  $xyz = yxz$ .

### 1 - Preliminaries

In this paper we work on *left* near-rings. For such a  $N$  we put  $A(x) = \{y \in N | xy = 0\}$  for each  $x \in N$ , and  $A(X) = \cap \{A(x) | x \in X\}$  for each  $X \subseteq N$ . Moreover, we put  $K = \{x \in N | A(x) \neq 0\}$  and  $A = \cap \{A(x) | x \in K\}$ .

A near-ring  $N$  is called *simple* if it has no non-trivial ideals, *integral* if it is 0-symmetric and without divisors of zero, *regular* if for each  $x \in N$  there is  $x' \in N$  such that  $xx'x = x$ , *neutral* if for each  $x, y \in N$ ,  $A(x) \neq 0$  implies  $xy = 0y$ . It is said that  $N$  has the IFP if  $xy = 0$  implies  $xry = 0$  for each  $x, y, r \in N$ .

We call here  $N$  a *W-near-ring* if there is a map  $x \mapsto x^0$  from  $N$  to itself, such that  $(x^0)^2 = x^0$  and  $x^0x = x$  for all  $x$  and, moreover, for each  $N$ -subgroup  $M$  of  $N$ ,  $x \in M$  implies  $x^0 \in M$ . This concept is similar but different from that of weak regularity.

---

(\*) Indirizzo: Dipartimento di Matematica, Via Università 12, I-43100 Parma.

(\*\*) Ricevuto: 14-XII-1988.

A permutation identity  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n = x_{\varphi(1)} x_{\varphi(2)} \dots x_{\varphi(n)}$  will be denoted by  $I(\varphi)$  ( $n$  is supposed to be fixed). These identities have been studied, e.g., in [1] and [3]<sub>2</sub>.

The following has been proved (see [3]<sub>1</sub>, Thm. 1 or [1], Lemma 2).

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup satisfying  $I(\varphi)$ . For each  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, x, y \in S$  we get*

$$a_1 \dots a_n x y b_1 \dots b_n = a_1 \dots a_n y x b_1 \dots b_n.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $N$  be a near-ring, let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer, let  $N'$  be the set of all products of  $k$  elements of  $N$ . If  $N$  satisfies  $I(\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi(i) = i$  for  $i \leq k$ , then  $N/A(N')$  satisfies the identity*

$$x_{k+1} x_{k+2} \dots x_n = x_{\varphi(k+1)} x_{\varphi(k+2)} \dots x_{\varphi(n)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $b \in N'$  and  $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n \in N$ . By  $I(\varphi)$

$$a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \dots a_n - a_{\varphi(k+1)} a_{\varphi(k+2)} \dots a_{\varphi(n)} \in A(b).$$

It follows that this element lies in  $A(N')$ .

Though very simple, the above result seems to be useful. E.g., in a near-ring without nilpotent elements,  $I(\varphi)$  implies the identity considered there. As a very special case, a regular near-ring satisfying the identity  $xyzt = xzyt$  satisfies also the  $xyz = yxz$ . A much more general result will be shown in Thm. 2.6.

## 2 - Main results

The following theorem is analogous to [2]<sub>2</sub>, Thm. 7.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If the distributive near-ring  $N$  satisfies  $I(\varphi)$ , then the nilpotent elements of  $N$  form an ideal  $J$  of  $N$ , and  $J = \mathcal{N}(N)$  (the nil radical of  $N$ ).*

**Proof.** Let  $J$  be the set of all nilpotent elements of  $N$ , let  $a, b \in J$ . Then there is a positive integer  $m$  such that  $a^m = b^m = 0$ .

We get  $(a + b)^{2(m+n)} = \sum_i z_i$ , where  $z_i$  is a product of  $2(m+n)$  elements of  $\{a, b\}$ . For a fixed  $i$ , there are at least  $m$  factors equal to  $a$  (or else  $m$  equal to  $b$ ) in this product, and lying after the first  $n$  and before the last  $n$  factors. By Lemma 1.1,  $z_i = xa^m y$  or  $z_i = xb^m y$  thus  $z_i = 0$ . This proves that  $a + b \in J$ . The rest is clear, by Lemma 1.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** *If the distributive near-ring  $N$  satisfies  $I(\varphi)$ , then  $N/\mathcal{N}(N)$  is a subdirect sum of integral domains.*

**Proof.** We may see  $N$  as a right near-ring, as well as a left. The assertion follows easily from Lemma 1.2 and [2]<sub>2</sub>, Thm. 9.

Let us now pass to the  $W$ -near-rings. Note that *every regular near-ring is a  $W$ -near-ring* (if  $x = xx'$  put  $x^0 = xx'$ ). An example of a non-regular  $W$ -near-ring is the following.

Let  $(G, +)$  be a cyclic group, whose order is not a prime. Let  $D = \{x \in G \mid G \neq \langle x \rangle\}$ . For  $x, y \in G$  define  $xy = y$  if  $x \in D$ ,  $xy = 0$  otherwise. Now  $N = (G, +, \cdot)$  is a near-ring.

Let  $x \mapsto x^0$  be any map from  $N$  to itself, such that  $x^0 \in D$  for all  $x$  and  $x^0 = x$  for  $x \in D$ . It is now easily checked that  $N$  is a  $W$ -near-ring.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If the  $W$ -near-ring  $N$  satisfies  $I(\varphi)$ , then  $N$  has the IFP.*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b, r \in N$ , with  $ab = 0$ . By Lemma 1.1, we have  $arb = a^0 \dots a^0 arb^0 \dots b^0 b = a^0 rab = a^0 r0 = 0$ .

Of course, the hypothesis of the preceding lemma can be weakened. The same argument may also be used in order to simplify the proof of [2]<sub>3</sub>, Thm. 13.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $N$  be a  $W$ -near-ring. If  $A \neq 0$  then  $N$  is neutral and has a left identity.*

**Proof.** Since  $A$  is a  $N$ -subgroup, for  $w \in A \setminus \{0\}$  we get  $w^0 \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $A(w^0) \neq 0$  then from  $w^0 \in A$  it follows  $w^0 = (w^0)^2 = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $A(w^0) = 0$ .

A known argument (see e.g. [2]<sub>1</sub>, Lemma 5 or [2]<sub>3</sub>, Lemma 12, where it is used in the proof) shows that  $w^0$  is a left identity. Now for  $x, y \in N$ , with  $A(x) \neq 0$ , we get  $xw^0 = 0$  and  $xy = xw^0 y = 0y$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $N$  be a subdirectly irreducible 0-symmetric  $W$ -near-ring. If it has the IFP, then  $N$  is simple.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the intersection of all the non-zero ideals of  $N$ , let  $w \in H \setminus \{0\}$ . We get  $w^0 \in H$ , because  $H$  is an  $N$ -subgroup.

If  $A(w^0) \neq 0$  then  $K \neq 0$  and  $A \neq 0$ , whence  $H \subseteq A$ . This implies  $(w^0)^2 = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $A(w^0) = 0$  and, since it is idempotent,  $w^0$  is a left identity. From the 0-symmetry of  $N$  it follows  $N = w^0 N \subseteq H$ . Then  $N$  is simple.

In the following statements, we will write  $x, y, z$  instead of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $N$  be a  $W$ -near-ring. The following are equivalent:*

$$(1) \quad I(\varphi) \text{ holds in } N \qquad (2) \quad xyz = yxz \text{ holds in } N$$

(3)  $N$  is a subdirect sum of neutral subdirectly irreducible near-rings with  $xyz = yxz$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Only (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) needs to be proved. Since the image inverse of a  $f(N)$ -subgroup is a  $N$ -subgroup, for each homomorphism  $f$  defined on  $N$ , it is easy to see that each homomorphic image of  $N$  is again a  $W$ -near-ring. Then  $N$  is a subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible  $W$ -near-rings  $N_i$ .

Each  $N_i$  is neutral (Lemma 2.4). By using  $I(\varphi)$  and a left identity of  $N_i$  (Lemma 2.4), a straightforward computation yields  $xyz = yxz$ . It follows (3).

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $N$  be a 0-symmetric  $W$ -near-ring. The following are equivalent:*

$$(1) \quad I(\varphi) \text{ holds in } N \qquad (2) \quad xyz = yxz \text{ holds in } N$$

(3)  $N$  is a subdirect sum of integral,  $N$ -simple near-rings, each of which satisfies  $xyz = yxz$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.6, we need only to prove that if  $N$  is subdirectly irreducible, it is integral. Since  $N$  is simple, by Lemma 2.5, and has the IFP, by Lemma 2.3, for  $x \in N \setminus \{0\}$  we get  $A(x) = 0$  or  $A(x) = N$ . Clearly  $x^0 \notin A(x)$ , then  $A(x) = 0$ .

## References

- [1] M. S. PUTCHA and A. YAQUB, *Semigroups satisfying permutation identities*, Semigroup Forum 3 (1971), 68-73.
- [2] R. SCAPELLATO: [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>1</sub> *On autodistributive near-rings*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) 10 (1984), 303-310; [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>2</sub> *Sui quasi-anelli verificanti identità semigruppali C-mobili*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (6) 4-B (1985), 789-799; [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>3</sub> *Near-rings with discriminator terms*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 36 (1988), 219-229.
- [3] R. WIEGANDT: [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>1</sub> *On rings with subsets satisfying permutation identities*, Karachi J. Math. 3 (1985), 1-7; [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>2</sub> *On subdirectly irreducible near-rings which are fields*, Proc. Conf. Near-rings and Near-fields, Tübingen 1985, 295-300.

## Riassunto

*Proseguiamo qui la nostra indagine sui quasi-anelli soddisfacenti identità semigruppali. Mostriamo, in particolare, che per una classe di quasi-anelli, comprendente tutti quelli regolari, una tale identità implica sempre la commutatività debole (cioè l'identità  $xyz = yxz$ ).*

\*\*\*

