

YASH PAUL (\*)

## Ideals in antiflexible rings (\*\*)

### 1 - Introduction

A nonassociative ring  $A$  is called *antiflexible* in case the following identities hold

$$(1) \quad (x, y, z) = (z, y, x) \qquad (2) \quad (x, x, x) = 0$$

where  $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ . Antiflexible rings have been studied by Anderson and Outcalt [1], Celik [2], Rodabough [4] and others.

A straightforward verification shows that any ring satisfies

$$(T) \quad (wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z$$

which is known as the Teichmüller identity. Also, it is known [1] that an antiflexible ring with characteristic  $\neq 2$  satisfies the following identities:

$$(2)' \quad (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) = 0$$

$$(3) \quad (w, (x, y), z) = 0$$

where  $(x, y) = xy - yx$ .

2 - In what follows, an expression of the form  $(A, a, b)$  means the set of all finite sums  $(x, a, b)$  for  $x \in A$ , analogous arguments are meant for other form of similar expressions. Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $M = \{m \in A: (A, m, A) = (0)\}$  is called

---

(\*) Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Hauz Khas, IND-110016 New Delhi.

(\*\*) MR classification: 17A30. - Ricevuto: 21-XII-1990.

the *middle nucleus* of  $A$ . (3) implies that

$$(4) \quad (A, A) \subseteq M.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be an antiflexible ring with characteristic  $\neq 2$ , and let  $R$  be a right ideal of  $A$ .*

(a) *If  $R$  is maximal and nil, then  $R$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ .*

(b) *If  $R$  is minimal, then either  $R$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$  or the ideal generated in  $A$  by  $R$  is contained in  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose first the right ideal  $R$  is maximal and nil. If  $aR \not\subseteq R$  for some  $a \in A$ , we consider  $R + aR$ . This is a right ideal, since using (2)' and (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (aR)A &\subseteq (a, R, A) + a(RA) \subseteq (R, A, a) + (A, a, R) + aR \\ &\subseteq (R, A, a) + (R, a, A) + aR \subseteq R + aR. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $R \subseteq R + aR$  and  $R$  maximal imply

$$(5) \quad A = R + aR.$$

Let  $a = x_1 + ax_2$  where  $x_1, x_2 \in R$ . Then  $n$  iterations for  $a$  in the right side of this equation give  $a = x_3 + (((ax_2)x_2)\dots x_2)x_2$ , where  $x_3 \in R$  and  $x_2$  is a factor  $n$  times. Now  $(A, R, R) \subseteq (R, R, A) \subseteq R$  by (1), and so by finite induction we see that  $a = x_4 + a(x_2)^n$  where  $x_4 \in R$ . But since  $R$  is nil,  $(x_2)^n = 0$  for some  $n$ . Thus  $a \in R$  which means  $aR \subseteq R$ , a contradiction. We therefore have  $aR \subseteq R$  for all  $a \in A$ , i.e.  $R$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ .

Let us next assume that the right ideal  $R$  is minimal, but not a two-sided ideal. Then there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $aR \not\subseteq R$ . Let  $R' = \{x \in R: ax \in R\}$ . Now by (1) and (2)'  $x \in R'$  implies  $xr \in R$  and

$$a(xr) = (ax + xa)r - x(ra) + (xr)a - x(ar) \in R$$

for all  $r \in A$ . Thus it follows  $R' \subseteq R$  is a right ideal, and so by the minimality of  $R$  we have  $R' = (0)$ . Clearly using (2)' and (1)

$$(6) \quad (A, R, A) \subseteq (R, A, A) + (A, A, R) \subseteq (R, A, A) \subseteq R.$$

By (1), (T) and (2)'  $a(x, r, y) = (ax, r, y) - (a, xr, y) + (a, x, ry)$ ,  $(a, x, r)y = - (r, y, ax) - (r, ax, y) - (a, xr, y) + (ry, x, a) - (r, x, a)y$  and by (3)

$(a, xr, y) = (a, rx, y) = -(rx, y, a) - (y, a, rx) = -(rx, y, a) - (rx, a, y)$ .  
 So  $a(x, r, y) \in R$ . This implies that  $(A, R, A) \subseteq R' = (0)$ , i.e.  $R \subseteq M$ .

We next set  $W_0 = R$  and  $W_{i+1} = W_i + AW_i$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Suppose  $W_i$  is a right ideal of  $A$  contained in  $M$ . Then  $W_{i+1}A \subseteq (W_i + AW_i)A \subseteq W_i + (AW_i)A \subseteq W_i + A(W_iA) \subseteq W_i + AW_i = W_{i+1}$ , i.e.  $W_{i+1}$  is a right ideal. Also, using (3) and  $W_i \subseteq M$ ,  $(A, W_{i+1}, A) = (A, W_i, A) + (A, AW_i, A) \subseteq (A, W_iA, A) \subseteq (A, W_i, A) = (0)$ , i.e.  $W_{i+1} \subseteq M$ . Thus it follows by induction that each  $W_i$  is a right ideal contained in  $M$ . Since the ideal generated in  $A$  by  $R$  is simply  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} W_i$ , this completes the proof of the theorem.

A right ideal  $R$  of  $A$  is called *regular* if there exists an element  $g \in A$ , such that  $x - gx \in R$  for all  $x \in A$ .  $A$  is called *primitive* if it contains a regular maximal right ideal, which contains no two-sided ideal of  $A$  other than the zero ideal  $(0)$ . Define an ideal  $P$  of  $A$  to be a *primitive ideal* if the ring  $A/P$  is a primitive ring. The intersection of all regular maximal right ideals in  $A$  is called the *radical of  $A$*  and is denoted by  $\text{rad}A$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be an antiflexible ring with characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Then  $\text{rad}A$  is contained in  $P$  for any primitive ideal  $P$  of  $A$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $P$  is a primitive ideal of  $A$ .  $A/P$  is a primitive ring. Therefore by Theorem 3.5 in [2]  $A/P$  is either a simple ring with an identity element or it is an associative ring. In either case  $\text{rad}A/P = (0)$ .

If  $A/P$  is simple then by Lemma 3.1 in [1],  $A/P$  has no one sided proper ideals. If  $A/P$  is associative, by Theorems 6.16 and 6.20(a) in [3], the intersection of all the regular maximal right ideals of the ring  $A/P$  is zero. But the regular maximal right ideals of the ring  $A/P$  are of the form  $P_i/P$  where  $P_i$  is a regular maximal right ideal of the ring  $A \supseteq P$ . Let  $\{P_i: i \in I\}$  be the set of all the regular maximal right ideals of the ring  $A \supseteq P$ . Then we have

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \left( \frac{P_i}{P} \right) = (0) = \text{zero ideal of } \frac{A}{P} = P.$$

This implies that  $\frac{\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i}{P} = P$ . Therefore,  $\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \subseteq P$ . That is,  $\text{rad}A$  is contained in  $P$  for any primitive ideal  $P$  of  $A$ .

**References**

- [1] C. T. ANDERSON and D. L. OUTCALT, *On simple antiflexible rings*, J. Algebra **10** (1968), 310-320.
- [2] H. A. CELIK, *On primitive and prime antiflexible rings*, J. Algebra **21** (1972), 428-440.
- [3] N. H. MCCOY, *The theory of rings*, Macmillan, 1964.
- [4] D. J. RODABAUGH, *A generalization of the flexible law*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 468-487.

**Abstract**

*Let  $R$  be a right ideal of an antiflexible ring  $A$  with characteristic  $\neq 2$ . If  $R$  is maximal and nil, then  $R$  is a two-sided ideal. If  $R$  is minimal then it is either a two-sided ideal, or the ideal it generates is contained in the middle nucleus of  $A$ ,  $\text{rad } A$  is contained in  $P$  for any primitive ideal  $P$  of  $A$ .*

\*\*\*