## ROBERTO CONTI (\*)

## Strictly stable linear ordinary differential equations and similarity (\*\*)

## Alla memoria di Giorgio Sestini

1. - Let  $\mathscr{M}$  denote the complex linear space of functions  $M: t \to M(t)$  defined for  $t \in J = ]\alpha$ ,  $\omega[, -\infty \le \alpha < \omega \le +\infty$ , with complex  $n \times n$  matrix values M(t), continuous on J.

Let  $\mathscr{M}'$  denote the subspace of functions  $M \in \mathscr{M}$  which are continuously differentiable on J.

Let us also denote by |M(t)| the euclidean norm of M(t), by  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M(t)| dt$  the  $\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{T} |M(t)| dt \le +\infty$ , and, when they exist, by  $M^{-1}(t)$  the inverse of M(t) and by  $\dot{M}(t)$  the derivative dM(t)/dt.

We shall consider four equivalence relations in  $\mathcal{M}$  defined as follows.

Def. 1.1 (R. Conti [1]<sub>1</sub>).  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  are integrally similar if there exist  $L \in \mathcal{M}'$  such that

$$|L(t)| \left| L^{-1}(t) \right| < l(\theta) \qquad \qquad \theta \in J \qquad \theta \leqslant t$$

(1.2) 
$$\int_{\theta}^{\omega} |\dot{L}(t) - A(t)L(t) + L(t)B(t)| dt < +\infty \qquad \theta \in J.$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata G. Sansone, Università, Via S. Marta, 3, I-50139 Firenze.

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> MR classification: 34A30. - Ricevuto il 2-III-1991.

Questo lavoro si riallaccia a quello del 1979: R. Conti,  $Equazioni \ differenziali \ lineari as intoticamente equivalenti a <math>x=0$ , pubblicato su questa Rivista nel volume (4) 5\*\* dedicato a Giorgio Sestini nel 70° compleanno. Per espresso desiderio dell'Autore, il presente lavoro viene ora pubblicato alla memoria del compianto prof. Giorgio Sestini, deceduto l'11-XII-1991. (N.d.R.)

Def. 1.2.  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  are strongly integrally similar if there exist  $L \in \mathcal{M}'$  such that

(1.3) 
$$\lim L(t) = I \qquad I \text{ the unit } n \times n \text{ matrix}$$

and (1.2) are satisfied.

Def 1.3 (L. Markus [3]).  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  are kinematically similar if there exist  $L \in \mathcal{M}'$  such that (1.1) and

(1.4) 
$$\dot{L}(t) - A(t)L(t) + L(t)B(t) = 0 \qquad t \in J$$

are satisfied.

- Def. 1.4.  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  are strongly kinematically similar if there exist  $L \in \mathcal{M}'$  satisfying (1.3) and (1.4).
- **2.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then if  $X: t \to X(t)$  represents a non singular matrix solution of the linear ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{x} - A(t) x = 0$$

we can associate with A its Cauchy (or evolution) operator  $E_A:(t,\ s)\to E_A(t,\ s)$  defined by

$$E_A(t, s) = X(t)X^{-1}(s)$$
  $t, s \in J$ .

Def. 2.1. A belongs to the subclass  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M}$  if for  $\theta \in J$  there exist  $\alpha(\theta) \ge 1$  such that

$$(2.1) |E_A(t, s)| < a(\theta) \theta \le t, s.$$

Remark. When (2.1) holds equation (A) is called *strictly stable* («strettamente stabile») according to Guido Ascoli [1].

It is known that

Theorem 2.1.  $\mathcal{S}$  is the equivalence class of  $0 \in \mathcal{M}$  both for kinematic and integral similarity.

For the proof see R. Conti  $[2]_2$  (pp. 74-75) where different notations and terminology are used.

3. - Def. 3.1. A belongs to the subclass  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}$  if for  $\theta \in J$   $\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{E}_A(t,\theta) \text{ exists and it is non singular.}$ 

Clearly  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subclass of  $\mathcal{J}$  and it can be proved (R. Conti  $[2]_3$ ).

Theorem 3.1. G is the equivalence class of  $0 \in M$  both for strong kinematic and strong integral similarity.

Since  $\mathscr C$  is a proper subclass of  $\mathscr S$ , this means that  $\mathscr S$  is partitioned by strong similarities into several equivalence classes. Therefore the following is an extension of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. The equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{L}$  are the same for strong kinematic similarity and strong integral similarity.

Proof. It is easy to verify that if  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $L \in \mathcal{M}'$ , then

(3.1) 
$$L(t) \to E_B(t, s) = \to E_A(t, s) L(s) + \int_s^t \to E_A(t, r) F(r) \to E_B(r, s) dr$$
  $t, s \in J$ 

holds, where

$$F(r) = \dot{L}(r) - A(r)L(r) + L(r)B(r).$$

Let A, B be strongly integrally similar. By Theorem 2.1 if  $A \in \mathcal{J}$  then also  $B \in \mathcal{J}$  and by virtue of (1.2) we can define

(3.2) 
$$W(s) = L(s) + \int_{s}^{\omega} E_{A}(s, r) F(r) E_{B}(r, s) dr.$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) it follows

$$\mathbf{E}_{B}(t, s) - \mathbf{E}_{A}(t, s) W(s) = [L^{-1}(t) - I] \mathbf{E}_{A}(t, s) [L(s)]$$

$$+\int_{s}^{t} E_{A}(s, r) F(r) E_{B}(r, s) dr ] - \int_{t}^{\omega} E_{A}(t, r) F(r) E_{B}(r, s) dr$$

and taking into account (1.3) we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} [E_B(t, s) - E_A(t, s) W(s)] = 0.$$

Therefore, if we define, for a fixed  $s \in J$ 

(3.3) 
$$M(t) = E_A(t, s) W(s) E_B(s, t)$$

we have

$$\lim_{t\to\omega}M(t)=I.$$

From (3.3) we have also  $M \in \mathcal{M}'$  and

$$\dot{M}(t) - A(t)M(t) + M(t)B(t) = 0$$

so that A, B are strongly kinematically similar.

Remark. All what precedes remains valid if  $\mathscr{M}$  denotes the space of complex  $n \times n$  matrix valued functions M which are measurable and locally Lebesgue integrable on J, and by  $\mathscr{M}'$  the subspace of M which are locally absolutely continuous on J.

The only difference is that the solutions of (A) are solutions in the sense of Carathéodory.

## References

- [1] G. ASCOLI, Osservazioni sopra alcune questioni di stabilità, Nota I, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sc. fis. mat. nat., (VIII) IX (1950), 129-134.
- [2] R. Conti: [•]<sub>1</sub> Sulla t-similitudine tra matrici e la stabilità dei sistemi differenziali lineari, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sc. fis. mat. nat., (VIII) XIX (1955), 247-250; [•]<sub>2</sub> Linear differential equations and controllability, Academic Press, 1976; [•]<sub>3</sub> Equazioni differenziali lineari asintoticamente equivalenti a  $\dot{x} = 0$ , Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) 5 (1979), 847-853.
- [3] L. Markus, Continuous matrices and the stability of differential systems, Math. Zeitsch., 62 (1955), 310-319.