

LUCA Q. ZAMBONI (\*)

**On the invariance of conjugation in cyclic homology (\*\*)**

**Introduction**

Let  $A$  be an *algebra* over a field of characteristic zero. We consider  $A^{\otimes n}$ , the  $n$ -th fold tensor product of  $A$  with itself, and the map  $b$

$$b: A^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$$

defined by

$$b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n + (-1)^n a_n a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}.$$

Then, the composition

$$b^2: A^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow A^{\otimes(n-1)}$$

is zero, and hence the pair  $(A^{\otimes n}; b)$  give rise to a *chain complex*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{b} A^{\otimes(n+1)} \xrightarrow{b} A^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{b} A^{\otimes(n-1)} \xrightarrow{b} \dots$$

For  $n \geq 1$  we define the  $n$ -th *Hochschild Homology group* of  $A$  by

$$H_n(A; A) = \frac{\text{Ker}[A^{\otimes(n+1)} \xrightarrow{b} A^{\otimes n}]}{\text{Im}[A^{\otimes(n+2)} \xrightarrow{b} A^{\otimes(n+1)}]}$$

---

(\*) Dept. of Math., Univ. of North Texas, Denton, Texas 76201-5116, USA.  
 (\*\*) Received October 1, 1992. AMS classification 19 D 55.

and for  $n = 0$

$$H_0(A; A) = \frac{A}{[A, A]}.$$

If we delete the last term of the sum in the definition of the map  $b$ , then the resulting map, commonly denoted  $b'$ , would also give rise to a *chain complex*. However, in most cases this latter complex turns not to be very interesting. For example, if the algebra  $A$  has a unit 1, then the  $(A^{\otimes n}; b')$  complex is *acyclic*. Indeed if  $b'(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = 0$ , then we can write  $a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = b'(1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the *cyclic group* of order  $n + 1$  generated by  $t$ . Then  $\Lambda$  acts on  $A^{\otimes(n+1)}$  by cyclicly permuting the entries, i.e.

$$t(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = (-1)^n a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}.$$

For  $n = 0$  we simply take  $t = \text{identity}$ . Then, it is readily verified that

$$b \circ (1 - t) = (1 - t) \circ b'$$

and hence  $b$  factors to a map

$$b: A^{\otimes(n+1)} / (1 - t) \rightarrow A^{\otimes n} / (1 - t).$$

The homology groups of the corresponding chain complex are called the *cyclic homology groups* of  $A$  and will be denoted  $H_n^\lambda(A)$ .

Let  $A$  be endowed with a unit 1 and let  $A^*$  denote the set of all invertible elements of  $A$ . Then each  $f$  in  $A^*$ , defines an *action* on  $A^{\otimes(n+1)}$  or on  $A^{\otimes(n+1)} / (1 - t)$  by *conjugation by  $f$*

$$a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mapsto fa_0 f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes fa_n f^{-1}.$$

We shall denote both actions by  $Ad(f)$ .

Since  $Ad(f)$  commutes with  $b$ , it induces an action on both the Hochschild and cyclic homology of  $A$ . In the next two sections, we shall derive some formulae concerning this action and establish that *the induced action on the homology is trivial*.

Although the triviality of the action in cyclic homology has already been established for differential graded algebras, the proof is based on the fact that the *infinitesimal counterpart* of  $Ad$ , namely  $ad$  defined by

$$a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes [X, a_i] \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$$

for  $X \in A$ , is zero on  $H_n^\lambda(A)$  and so, in the spirit of Newton, this infinitesimal picture can be integrated up to show that  $Ad$  is constant on  $H_n^\lambda(A)$ .

However, the proof fails to provide formulae for the chain homotopies which can be useful in the theory of Chern classes in algebraic  $K$ -theory.

In the final section, we use the triviality of the action to construct maps from  $K_0$  of a ring into its cyclic homology. In dualized form, this map is due to A. Connes.

### 1 - A formula in Hochschild homology

Theorem 1. For each  $f \in A^*$ , define the mapping

$$h_f: A^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow A^{\otimes(n+2)}$$

by the formula

$$h_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} f a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes f^{-1} \otimes f a_i f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1}$$

then

$$b h_f + h_f b = Ad(f) - 1.$$

In particular,  $Ad(f)$  acts trivially on  $H(A, A)$ .

Proof. We shall first compute  $b h_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ . This will yield  $(n+2)(n+1)$  homogeneous terms, which we group in  $(n+1)$  groups each having  $(n+2)$  terms. We use the notation  $(i, j)$  to designate the  $i$ -th term in the  $j$ -th group, that is the  $i$ -th term of  $b$  applied to the  $j$ -th term of  $h_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ . For example

$$(1, 1) = Ad(f)(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = f a_0 f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1}$$

$$(n+2, n+1) = -a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n.$$

Subclaim. For each  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$ , we have that  $(k, k-1) = -(k, k)$ , giving rise to  $n$  cancellations each involving two terms.

Proof.  $(k, k-1)$  equals the  $k$ -th term of

$$b((-1)^k f a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-2} \otimes f^{-1} \otimes f a_{k-1} f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1})$$

which equals

$$(-1)^k (-1)^{k+1} f a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-2} \otimes a_{k-1} f^{-1} \otimes f a_k f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1}.$$

While  $(k, k)$  equals the  $k$ -th term of

$$b((-1)^{k+1} f a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-1} \otimes f^{-1} \otimes f a_k f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1})$$

which equals

$$(-1)^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1} f a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-2} \otimes a_{k-1} f^{-1} \otimes f a_k f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1}.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$b h_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = (Ad(f) - 1)(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) + I$$

where 
$$I = \sum_{k=3}^{n+2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} (j, k-1) + \sum_{i=k}^{n+2} (i, k-2) \right].$$

But for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-2$ ,  $(j, k-1)$  equals the  $j$ -th term of

$$b((-1)^k f a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-2} \otimes f^{-1} \otimes f a_{k-1} f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1})$$

which equals

$$(-1)^k (-1)^{j+1} f a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{j-1} \otimes a_j \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k-2} \otimes f^{-1} \otimes f a_{k-1} f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes f a_n f^{-1}$$

which equals  $(-1)$  times the  $(k-2)$ -nd term of

$$h_f((-1)^{j+1} a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{j-1} a_j \otimes \dots \otimes a_n).$$

So  $\sum_{j=1}^{k-2} (j, k-1)$  is equal to minus the  $\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}$  of the  $(k-2)$ -nd terms of the last expression.

Similarly,  $\sum_{i=k}^{n+2} (i, k-2)$  is equal to minus the  $\sum_{j=k-1}^n$  of the  $(k-2)$ -nd terms of

$$h_f((-1)^{j+1} a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{j-1} a_j \otimes \dots \otimes a_n + (-1)^n a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}).$$

So finally,

$$I = \sum_{k=3}^{m+2} (-1)((k-2)\text{-nd-term of } h_f(b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n))) = (-1) h_f b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n).$$

Hence,  $b h_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = (Ad(f) - 1)(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) - h_f b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ .

2 - A formula in cyclic homology

Given an invertible element  $f \in A^*$  and  $a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \in A^{\otimes(n+1)}$ , we consider the set  $H_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$  consisting of all terms in  $A^{\otimes(n+2)}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) The 0-th entry is  $f$ .
- 2) For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the  $i$ -th entry is either  $a_{i-1}$  or  $fa_{i-1}$  or  $fa_{i-1}f^{-1}$  with the convention that the  $i$ -th entry begins with an  $f$  iff the  $(i - 1)$ -st entry ends with an  $f^{-1}$ . In particular the 1-st entry must either be  $a_0$  or  $a_0f^{-1}$ .
- 3) The  $(n + 1)$ st entry is either  $a_n f^{-1}$  or  $fa_n f^{-1}$  where the latter occurs iff the  $n$ -th entry ends with an  $f - 1$ .

These conditions ensure that in  $b$  of such a term, there are no build ups of either  $f$  or  $f^{-1}$  to any power greater than 1. For example

$$f \otimes a_0 \otimes a_1 f^{-1} \otimes fa_2 \otimes a_3 f^{-1} \otimes fa_4 f^{-1}.$$

Next, for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , let  $T_j(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$  be the subset of  $H_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$  consisting of those terms having  $j$  of the  $n$ -middle entries ending with  $f^{-1}$ . For example

$$f \otimes a_0 \otimes a_1 f^{-1} \otimes fa_2 \otimes a_3 f^{-1} \otimes fa_4 f^{-1} \in T_2(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_4).$$

Also  $T_0(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \{f \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n f^{-1}\}$

while  $T_n(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \{f \otimes a_0 f^{-1} \otimes fa_1 f^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes fa_n f^{-1}\}.$

In general,  $T_j(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$  has  $\binom{n}{j}$  elements. Moreover, the sets  $T_j(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$   $j = 0, \dots, n$  determine a partition of the set  $H_f(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ . If  $\sigma$  is in  $T_j(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ , then we will call  $\sigma$  a term of type  $j$ .

Theorem 2. For each  $f \in A^*$ , let

$$h_f^n : A^{\otimes(n+1)} / (1 - t) \rightarrow A^{\otimes(n+2)} / (1 - t)$$

be defined by 
$$h_f^n(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \frac{1}{n + 1} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j}^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in T_j(\lambda(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n))} \sigma.$$

Then for  $n \geq 1$  
$$bh_f^h + h_f^{n-1}b = Ad(f) - 1 \pmod{(1 - t)}.$$

In particular  $Ad(f)$  acts trivially on  $H^\lambda(A)$ .

*Proof.* First of all, since we are summing over all cyclic permutations  $\lambda$  of  $a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ , it follows that  $h_f^n$  is well defined modulo  $1 - t$ .

For  $a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ , we write  $b_i(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$  to denote the  $i$ -th term of  $b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ . For example  $b_4(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_3) = -a_3 a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2$ . In other words

$$b = b_1 + \dots + b_{n+1}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *We have*

$$(b_1 + b_n) \circ h_f^n(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = (Ad(f) - 1)(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n).$$

*Proof.* We first observe that for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\sigma \in T_n(\lambda(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n))$

$$b_1(\sigma) = Ad(f)(\lambda(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)) = Ad(f)(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$$

modulo  $1 - t$ .

Similarly, for  $\sigma \in T_0(\lambda(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n))$  we have

$$\sigma = \text{sgn } \lambda f \otimes a_{\lambda(0)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\lambda(n)} f^{-1}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+2}(\sigma) &= (-1)^{n+1} \text{sgn } \lambda a_{\lambda(n)} \otimes a_{\lambda(0)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\lambda(n-1)} \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^n \text{sgn } \lambda t(a_{\lambda(0)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\lambda(n)}) \\ &= -t \circ \lambda(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = -a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n. \end{aligned}$$

To finish proving the lemma, we show that  $b_1$  of terms of type  $j$  will cancel with  $b_{n+2}$  of terms of type  $j + 1$ . Recall that a term  $\sigma$  of type  $j$  has  $j$  of the  $n$  middle entries ending with  $f^{-1}$ . Therefore  $b_1(\sigma)$  will have  $(j + 1)$  entries which start with  $f$ . By applying cyclic permutations to  $b_1(\sigma)$  so that each of the  $(j + 1)$  entries which start with  $f$  appear in the 0-th entry, we can express  $b_1(\sigma)$  as  $b_1$  of  $(j + 1)$  distinct terms of type  $j$ .

For example if

$$\sigma = f \otimes a_0 f^{-1} \otimes fa_1 f^{-1} \otimes fa_2 \otimes a_3 f^{-1} \in T_2(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_3)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(\sigma) &= fa_0 f^{-1} \otimes fa_1 f^{-1} \otimes fa_2 \otimes a_3 f^{-1} \\ &= b_1(-f \otimes a_1 f^{-1} \otimes fa_2 \otimes a_3 f^{-1} \otimes fa_0 f^{-1}) \\ &= b_1(f \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 f^{-1} \otimes fa_0 f^{-1} \otimes fa_1 f^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, if we apply  $b_1$  to all terms of type  $j$ , each of the resulting terms will have coefficient  $\binom{n}{j}^{-1}(j+1)$ .

On the other hand, each of these terms arises in opposite sign and with coefficient  $\binom{n}{j+1}^{-1}(n-j)$  from  $b_{n+2}$  of terms of type  $j+1$ . In fact, since  $b_1(\sigma)$  has  $(n-j)$  entries not ending with  $f^{-1}$ , it can be expressed as  $b_{n+2}$  of  $(n-j)$  terms of type  $j+1$ . Again this is done by applying cyclic permutations until the entries not ending in  $f^{-1}$  appear in the 0-th entry, and then use the fact that  $a_i = a_i f^{-1}(f)$  and  $fa_i = fa_i f^{-1}(f)$  to express the resulting term as  $b_{n+2}$  of a term of type  $j+1$ .

For instance, in the previous example,  $b_1(\sigma)$  has  $3-2=1$  entry not ending in  $f^{-1}$  and we can write

$$b_1(\sigma) = -b_5(-f \otimes a_3 f^{-1} \otimes fa_0 f^{-1} \otimes fa_1 f^{-1} \otimes fa_2 f^{-1}).$$

Finally, since  $\binom{n}{j}^{-1}(j+1) = \binom{n}{j+1}^{-1}(n-j)$  we see that  $b_1$  of terms of type  $j$  cancel with  $b_{n+2}$  of terms of type  $j+1$ .

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$(b_2 + \dots + b_{n+1}) \circ h_f^n(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) + h_f^{n-1} \circ b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma$  is a term of type  $j$ , then for  $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ ,  $b_i(\sigma)$  is either of type  $j$  or of type  $j-1$ . The latter occurs whenever the  $(i-1)$ -st entry ends with  $f^{-1}$ .

For example, if  $\sigma = f \otimes a_0 f^{-1} \otimes fa_1 \otimes a_2 f^{-1} \otimes fa_3 \otimes a_4 f^{-1}$  is of type 2, then  $b_3(\sigma)$  and  $b_5(\sigma)$  are of type 2 while  $b_2(\sigma)$  and  $b_4(\sigma)$  are of type 1.

Assuming that  $b_i(\sigma)$  is of type  $j$ , then  $b_i(\sigma)$  also arises as  $b_i(\sigma')$  for some  $\sigma'$  of type  $j+1$ . In fact,  $\sigma'$  is obtained from  $\sigma$  by inserting a  $f^{-1}$  at the end of the  $(i-1)$ -st entry and an  $f$  at the start of the  $i$ -th entry.

For example, in the previous example  $b_3(\sigma) = b_3(\sigma')$ , where

$$\sigma' = f \otimes a_0 f^{-1} \otimes f a_1 f^{-1} \otimes f a_2 f^{-1} \otimes f a_3 \otimes a_4 f^{-1}.$$

Therefore  $b_i(\sigma)$  occurs with a coefficient  $\frac{1}{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{j}^{-1} + \binom{n}{j+1}^{-1} \right)$ .

On the other hand, it also occurs in opposite sign with a coefficient  $\frac{1}{n} \binom{n-1}{j}^{-1}$  from  $h_f^{n-1} \circ b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{j}^{-1} + \binom{n}{j+1}^{-1} \right) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \frac{j!(n-j)!}{n!} + \frac{(j+1)!(n-(j+1))!}{n!} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \frac{j!(n-j)!}{n!} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{j+1}{n-j} \right) = \frac{j!(n-j-1)!}{n!(n-j)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{j!(n-j-1)!}{(n-1)!} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n-1}{j}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in the event that  $b_i(\sigma)$  is of type  $j-1$ , then it also arises as  $b_i(\sigma')$  for some  $\sigma'$  of type  $j-1$ . In fact  $\sigma'$  is obtained from  $\sigma$  by removing the  $f^{-1}$  in the  $(i-1)$ -st entry and the  $f$  in the  $i$ -th entry. So,  $b_i(\sigma)$  occurs from  $b_i \circ h_f^n$  with coefficient

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left( \binom{n}{j}^{-1} + \binom{n}{j-1}^{-1} \right).$$

But it also occurs in opposite sign from  $h_f^{n-1} \circ b$  with coefficient  $\frac{1}{n} \binom{n-1}{j-1}^{-1}$ . Once again the two coefficients are equal.

### 3 - Application to $K_0(A)$

There is a *natural map*  $S$ , that occurs in cyclic homology which decreases the degree by two

$$S: H_n^\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_{n-2}^\lambda(A).$$

The definition of  $S$  is as follows: If  $\sigma \in H_n^\lambda(A)$ , then  $b\sigma \in \text{Im}(1-t)$ . Say  $b\sigma = (1-t)\tau$  for some  $\tau \in A^{\otimes n}$ . But then  $-b'\tau = N\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in A^{\otimes n-1}$ , where  $N = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-2}$ . Moreover as  $b\alpha \in \text{Im}(1-t)$ , we define  $S\sigma$  to be the class of  $\alpha$  in  $H_{n-2}^\lambda$ . It is readily verified that  $S$  is well defined.



We now apply the previous theorem to obtain *homomorphisms*

$$Ch_n : K_0(A) \rightarrow H_{2n}^\lambda(A)$$

compatible with the map  $S$ .  $K_0(A)$  denotes the group of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules. For this purpose we shall now assume that  $A$  is a *ring*.

Let  $P$  be a *finitely generated projective  $A$ -module*. Then  $P$  is the image in  $A^k$  of an idempotent matrix  $p \in M_k(a)$ . Of course  $p$  is only well defined up to conjugation by an invertible matrix.

Theorem 3. *Define*

$$Ch_n : K_0(A) \rightarrow H_{2n}^\lambda(A)$$

by 
$$Ch_n(p) = \text{Tr} \left( \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{n!} p^{\otimes(2n+1)} \right)$$

where  $p^{\otimes(2n+1)}$  denotes the  $(2n + 1)$ -th tensor power of  $p$ .

Then  $Ch_n$  is a well defined group homomorphism compatible with the map  $S$ .

Proof.  $\text{Tr}$  denotes the *generalized trace map*

$$\text{Tr}(a^0 \otimes a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n) = \sum a_{i_1, i_2}^0 \otimes a_{i_2, i_3}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i_{n+1}, i_1}^n.$$

To see that  $b$  is well defined, we first note that for the tensor powers of  $p$  we have

$$b(p^{\otimes(2n+1)}) = p^{\otimes 2n}$$

and the last expression equals  $(1 - t) \left( \frac{1}{2} p^{\otimes 2n} \right)$ .

Moreover, since  $Ad$  acts trivially on  $H^\lambda(A)$ , it follows that the map is independent on the choice of representative of the idempotent  $p$ .

Finally, the compatibility with  $S$  is established as follows. Let  $\sigma$  denote  $Ch_n(p)$ , then  $b\sigma = (1 - t)\tau$  where

$$\tau = \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{n! 2} p^{\otimes 2n}$$

so that 
$$-b'\tau = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (2n)!}{n! 2} p^{\otimes(2n-1)}.$$

Finally,  $-b'\tau = N\alpha$  where  $\alpha = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (2n)!}{n! 2(2n-1)} p^{\otimes(2n-1)}$  which is equal to  $Ch_{n-1}(p)$ .

### References

- [1] A. CONNES, *Non commutative differential geometry*, Publ. Math. IHES 62 (1985).
- [2] J. D. S. JONES, *Cyclic homology and equivariant homology*, Invent. Math. 87 (1987), 403-423.
- [3] M. KAROUBI, *Connexions, courbures et classes caractéristiques*, Conference Proceedings 2, *K-Theorie Algebrique*, Canadian Math. Soc., 1982.
- [4] D. QUILLEN, *Higher algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. 341, Springer, Berlin 1973.
- [5] L. ZAMBONI, *A Chern character in cyclic homology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 331 (1992), 157-163.

### Summary

*In this paper we derive some combinatorial formulae concerning the action of conjugation on both the Hochschild and cyclic homology of an algebra  $A$ .*

\*\*\*