

METIN BAŞARIR (\*)

On some new sequence spaces (\*\*)

1 - Introduction

Let  $l_\infty$  be the set of all real or complex sequences  $x = (x_n)$  with the norm  $\|x\| = \sup_n |x_n| < \infty$ . A linear functional  $L$  on  $l_\infty$  is said to be a *Banach limit* [1], if it has the properties,

- (i)  $L(x) \geq 0$  if  $x \geq 0$  (i.e.,  $x_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ )
- (ii)  $L(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$
- (iii)  $L(Sx) = L(x)$ , where the shift operator  $S$  is defined by  $(Sx)_n = x_{n+1}$ .

If  $p$  is any sublinear functional on  $l_\infty$ , then we write  $\{l_\infty, p\}$  to denote the set of all linear functionals  $\varphi$  on  $l_\infty$ , such that  $p > \varphi$  i.e.,  $p(x) \geq \varphi(x), \forall x \in l_\infty$ . A sublinear functional  $p$  is said to generate Banach limits if  $\varphi \in \{l_\infty, p\}$  implies that  $\varphi$  is a Banach limit;  $p$  is said to dominate Banach limits if  $\varphi$  is a Banach limit implies that  $\varphi \in \{l_\infty, p\}$ . Then, if  $p$  both generates and dominates Banach limits, then  $\{l_\infty, p\}$  is the set of all Banach limits. It is known [1] that  $\{l_\infty, q\}$  is the set of all Banach limits, where

$$q(x) = \inf_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} \overline{\lim}_k \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r x_{k+n_i}.$$

It is well known that  $q(x) = t(x), x \in l_\infty$ , where

$$t(x) = \overline{\lim}_n \sup_i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{k+i}.$$

---

(\*) Dept. of Math., Firat Univ., Elazığ, Turkey.

(\*\*) Received November 18, 1992. AMS classification 46 A 45.

Let  $B$  be the set of all Banach limits on  $l_\infty$ . A sequence  $x \in l_\infty$  is said to be *almost convergent* to a number  $s$  if  $L(x) = s$  for all  $L \in B$ . Lorentz [6] has shown that  $x$  is almost convergent to  $s$  if and only if

$$t_{ki} = t_{ki}(x) = (x_i + x_{i+1} + \dots + x_{i+k-1})k^{-1} \rightarrow s$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $i$ . Let  $f$  denote the set of all almost convergent sequences.

Maddox [7], [8] has defined  $x$  to be *strongly almost convergent* to a number  $s$  if

$$t_{ki}(|x - s|) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |x_{i+j} - s| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $i$ . Throughout the paper we will write  $x - s$  for  $(x_k - s)$ . Let  $[f]$  denote the set of all strongly almost convergent sequences. It is easy to see that  $[f] \subset f \subset l_\infty$ .

The following sequence spaces have been introduced and examined for what concerns their relative strengths by Das and Sahoo [5].

$$w = \{x \mid \lim_n \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n t_{ki}(x - s) \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i, \text{ for some } s\}$$

$$[w] = \{x \mid \lim_n \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |t_{ki}(x - s)| \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i, \text{ for some } s\}$$

$$[w_1] = \{x \mid \lim_n \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n t_{ki}(|x - s|) \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i, \text{ for some } s\}.$$

It may be noted that almost convergent sequences are necessarily bounded but the sequence spaces  $w, [w]$  may contain unbounded sequences. If  $x \in w$  then we say that  $x$  is *w-convergent*. Similarly, we define *[w]-convergent sequences* and *[w<sub>1</sub>]-convergent sequences*.

By a lacunary sequence  $\theta = (k_r)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $k_0 = 0$ , we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with  $h_r = (k_r - k_{r-1}) \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  are denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ . The ratio  $k_r(k_{r-1})^{-1}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$ .

The object of the present paper is to determine a new sublinear functionals involving lacunary sequence that both dominates and generates Banach limits. Also we introduce a new concept of strong almost convergence through a lacunary sequence.

2 - Sublinear functionals and lacunary sequences

A sequences  $x$  of real or complex numbers is said to be *lacunary  $w$ -convergent*, *lacunary  $[w]$ -convergent* or *lacunary  $[w_1]$ -convergent* (with respect to the lacunary sequence  $\theta$ ) to the value  $s$  if

$$\limsup_r \sup_i \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(x - s) = 0 \quad \limsup_r \sup_i \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |t_{ki}(x - s)| = 0$$

$$\limsup_r \sup_i \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(|x - s|) = 0$$

respectively. Let  $w_\theta$ ,  $[w]_\theta$  and  $[w_1]_\theta$  denote the set of the lacunary  $w$ -convergent sequences, the lacunary  $[w]$ -convergent and the lacunary  $[w_1]$ -convergent sequences, respectively.

For a lacunary sequence  $\theta$ , we define sublinear functionals on  $l_\infty$  by

$$\phi_\theta(x) = \overline{\lim}_r \sup_i \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(x)$$

$$\psi_\theta(x) = \overline{\lim}_r \sup_i \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |t_{ki}(x)|$$

$$\zeta_\theta(x) = \overline{\lim}_r \sup_i \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(|x|).$$

It can be easily seen that each of the above functionals are finite, well defined and sublinear on  $l_\infty$ .

In the following theorem, we demonstrate that  $\{l_\infty, \phi_\theta\}$  is the set of all Banach limits on  $l_\infty$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The sublinear functional  $\phi_\theta$  on  $l_\infty$  both dominates and generates Banach limits for every lacunary sequence; in other words*

$$\phi_\theta(x) = t(x) = q(x) \quad x \in l_\infty .$$

**Proof.** It is easy to verify that  $\phi_\theta(x) \leq t(x)$  for all  $x \in l_\infty$ . Hence,  $\phi_\theta$  generates Banach limits. Using the properties of  $L \in B$ , we obtain

$$L(x) = \frac{1}{h_r} L(\sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(x)) \leq \sup_i \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(x) .$$

This implies that  $L(x) \leq \phi_\theta(x)$  for all  $x \in l_\infty$  and then proves that  $B \subset \{l_\infty, \phi_\theta\}$ , that is  $\phi_\theta$  dominates Banach limits. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \{x \in l_\infty \mid \varphi(x) = s \text{ for all } \varphi \in \{l_\infty, \phi_\theta\}\} \\ &= \{x \in l_\infty \mid \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(x) \rightarrow s \text{ uniformly in } i\} = l_\infty \cap w_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that  $\varphi(x) = s$  for all  $\varphi \in \{l_\infty, \phi_\theta\}$  if and only if ([2] Theorem 6)

$$(1) \quad \phi_\theta(x) = -\phi_\theta(-x).$$

But this condition holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{ki}(x) \rightarrow s \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } i),$$

i.e.  $x \in w_\theta \cap l_\infty$ . But condition (1) also is equivalent (by Theorem 1) to  $t(x) = -t(-x)$ , i.e.  $x \in f$ .

Corollary 2. *For every  $\theta$ ,  $l_\infty \cap w_\theta = l_\infty \cap w = f$ .*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 1 and Theorem 2 c of [3].

If  $f(x - se) = 0$  for all  $f \in \{l_\infty, \psi_\theta\}$ , then we say that  $x$  is  $\psi_\theta$ -convergent to  $s$ . Similarly we define the  $\zeta_\theta$ -convergent sequences. In the following theorem, we characterize the  $\psi_\theta$ -convergent sequences and the  $\zeta_\theta$ -convergent sequences.

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \quad [w]_\theta \cap l_\infty &= \{x \mid \psi_\theta(x - se) = 0 \text{ for some } s\} = \{x \mid f(x - se) = 0, \forall f \in \{l_\infty, \psi_\theta\}\} \\ \mathbf{b} \quad [w_1]_\theta \cap l_\infty &= \{x \mid \zeta_\theta(x - se) = 0 \text{ for some } s\} = \{x \mid f(x - se) = 0, \forall f \in \{l_\infty, \zeta_\theta\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Hahn-Banach theorem,  $\{l_\infty, \psi_\theta\}$  is non-empty. If  $f \in \{l_\infty, \psi_\theta\}$ , then we have

$$-\psi_\theta(-x) \leq f(x) \leq \psi_\theta(x) \quad x \in l_\infty$$

or equivalently  $-\psi_\theta(-x + se) \leq f(x - se) \leq \psi_\theta(x - se)$ .

Now  $f(x - se) = 0$  if and only if  $\psi_\theta(x - se) = -\psi_\theta(-x + se) = 0$  ([2], Theorem 6). But since by definition  $\psi_\theta(x) = \psi_\theta(-x)$ , it follows that  $f(x) = s$  for  $f \in \{l_\infty, \psi_\theta\}$  if and only if  $\psi_\theta(x - se) = 0$ . It is easy to verify that  $\psi_\theta(x - se) = 0$  is equivalent to the fact that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |t_{ki}(x - s)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } i).$$

This completes the proof of **a**.

The proof of **b** is similar.

It is evident from Theorem 2 that  $[w]_\theta \cap l_\infty$  and  $[w_1]_\theta \cap l_\infty$  are the sets of all  $\psi_\theta$ -convergent sequences and all  $\zeta_\theta$ -convergent sequences, respectively.

In the following theorem we examine the relationship between  $[w_1]$ -convergence and lacunary  $[w_1]$ -convergence. We need a Lemma.

Lemma 1. *Suppose, for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $n_0$  and  $i_0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{ki}(|x - s|) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $i \geq i_0$ . Then  $x \in [w_1]$ .

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $n'_0, i_0$  such that

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{ki}(|x - s|) < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$$

for all  $n \geq n'_0$  and  $i \geq i_0$ . It is enough to prove that there exists  $n''_0$  such that for  $n \geq n''_0$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq i_0$

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{ki}(|x - s|) < \varepsilon.$$

Since, taking  $n_0 = \max(n'_0, n''_0)$ , (3) will hold for  $n \geq n_0$  and for all  $i$ , we obtain the result.

Once  $i_0$  has been chosen,  $i_0$  is fixed, so

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{i_0-1} \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} |x_j - s| \right) = M \quad (\text{constant}).$$

Now, taking  $0 \leq i \leq i_0$  and  $n > i_0$ , we have (from (4) and (2))

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{ki}(|x - s|) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i_0-1} + \sum_{k=i_0}^{n-1} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{j=i}^{i_0-1} + \sum_{j=i_0}^{i+k-1} \right) |x_j - s| \right] \leq \frac{M}{n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Taking,  $n$  sufficiently large, we can make  $\frac{M}{n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$  which gives (3) and hence the result.

**Theorem 3.** *We have  $[w_1]_\theta = [w_1]$  for every  $\theta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in [w_1]_\theta$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $r_0$  and  $s$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=0}^{h_r-1} t_{kq}(|x - s|) < \varepsilon$$

for  $r \geq r_0$  and  $q = k_{r-1} + 1 + i, i \geq 0$ .

Let  $n \geq h_r$ . Write  $n = mh_r + \theta$  where  $0 \leq \theta \leq h_r, m$  is an integer. Since  $h \geq h_r, m \geq 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{kq}(|x - s|) &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{(m+1)h_r-1} t_{kq}(|x - s|) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{k=\mu h_r}^{(\mu+1)h_r-1} t_{kq}(|x - s|) \\ &\leq \frac{m+1}{n} h_r \varepsilon \leq \frac{2mh_r}{n} \varepsilon \quad (m \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\frac{h_r}{n} \leq 1$ , since  $m \frac{h_r}{n} \leq 1$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{kq}(|x - s|) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Then by Lemma 1,  $[w_1] \subset [w_1]_\theta$ . It is trivial that  $[w_1]_\theta \subset [w_1]$  for every  $\theta$ . Hence we have the result.

In order to prove Theorem 4, we require the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , that there exist  $n_0$  and  $i_0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{ki}(x - s)| < \varepsilon$$

*for all  $n \geq n_0, i \geq i_0$ . Then  $x \in [w]$ .*

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $n'_0, i_0$  such that

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{ki}(x-s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for } n \geq n'_0, \quad i \geq i_0.$$

As in Lemma 1, it is enough to show, there exist  $n''_0$  such that for  $n \geq n''_0, 0 \leq i \leq i_0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{ki}(x-s)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $i_0$  is fixed, put

$$\sum_{k=0}^{i_0-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} |x_j - s| = M.$$

Now, let  $0 \leq i \leq i_0$  and  $n > i_0$ , then

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{ki}(x-s)| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_0-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} |x_j - s| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_0-1} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=i_0}^{i+k-1} (x_j - s) \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=i_0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} (x_j - s) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_0-1} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=i_0}^{i_0+(k+i-i_0)-1} (x_j - s) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=i_0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} (x_j - s) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k - i_0 > n'_0$ . Then for  $0 \leq i < i_0$ , we have  $k + i - i_0 \geq n'_0$ . From (5)

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{i_0} \sum_{k=0}^{i_0-1} \left| \frac{1}{k+i-i_0} \sum_{j=i_0}^{i_0+(k+i-i_0)-1} (x_j - s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

From (6) and (7) 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{ki}(x-s)| \leq \frac{M}{n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Hence the result.

Theorem 4. For every  $\theta$ , we have  $[w]_\theta \cap l_\infty = [w]$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in [w]_\theta \cap l_\infty$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $r_0$  and  $q_0$  such that

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=0}^{h_r-1} |t_{kq}(x-s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for  $r \geq r_0$  and  $q \geq q_0, q = k_{r-1} + 1 + i, i \geq 0$ .

Now, let  $n \geq h_r$ ,  $m$  is an integer greater than equal to 1. Then

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{kq}(x-s)| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{j=q+\mu h_r}^{q+(\mu+1)h_r-1} (x_j-s) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=q+m h_r}^{q+k-1} |x_j-s| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=\mu h_r}^{(\mu+1)h_r-1} \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{j=q}^{q+k-1} (x_j-s) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m h_r}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=q}^{q+k-1} |x_j-s|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in l_\infty$ , for all  $j$ ,  $|x_j - s| < M$ . So, from (8) and (9)

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{kq}(x-s)| \leq \frac{1}{n} m h_r \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{M h_r}{n}.$$

For,  $\frac{h_r}{n} \leq 1$ ,  $M \frac{h_r}{n}$  can be made less than  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  by taking  $n$  sufficiently large and since  $m \frac{h_r}{n} \leq 1$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{kq}(x-s)| < \varepsilon$$

for  $r \geq r_0$ ,  $q \geq q_0$ . Hence, by Lemma 2,  $[w]_\theta \cap l_\infty \subset [w]$ .

It is trivial that  $[w] \subset [w]_\theta \cap l_\infty$ . The proof is completed.

We have the following corollary if we consider together with Theorem 4 of [3] Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

Corollary 3.  $[f] \subset [w_1]_\theta \subset l_\infty \cap [w]_\theta \subset l_\infty \cap w_\theta = f$ .

We are indebted to the referee for his valuable suggestions which improved the paper.

## References

- [1] S. BANACH, *Théorie de opérations linéaires*, Warsazava 1932.
- [2] G. DAS, *Banach and other limits*, J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1973), 501-507.
- [3] G. DAS and S. K. MISHRA, *A note on a theorem of Maddox on strong almost convergence*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 89 (1981), 393-396.
- [4] G. DAS and B. K. PATEL, *Lacunary distribution of sequences*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (1989), 64-74.
- [5] G. DAS and J. K. SAHOO, *On some sequence space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 164 (1992), 381-398.



- [6] G. G. LORENTZ, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167-190.
- [7] I. J. MADDOX, *A new type of convergence*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 83 (1978), 61-64.
- [8] I. J. MADDOX, *On strong almost convergence*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 85 (1979), 345-350.

### Summary

*The object of this paper is to introduce some new sequence spaces related with the concept of lacunary strong almost convergence, [3] [4].*

\*\*\*

