

WEIMIN XUE (*)

A note on YJ -injectivity ()**

Throughout R is an associative ring with identity and modules are unitary. The left and right annihilators of a subset X of R are denoted by $\mathbf{l}(X)$ and $\mathbf{r}(X)$, respectively. The Jacobson radical of R is denoted by $J(R)$. The terminology and notation not defined here can be found in Anderson and Fuller [AF].

A right R -module M is called p -injective [Y7], [Y8] if every right R -homomorphism $aR \rightarrow M$, $a \in R$, extends to $R \rightarrow M$; and it is called YJ -injective [Y7], [Y8] (= GP -injective in [NKK]) if for every $a \in R$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^n \neq 0$ and every right R -homomorphism $a^n R \rightarrow M$ extends to $R \rightarrow M$. The ring R is called *right p -injective* [Y1], [Y5], [NY1], [PWY], if the right R -module R_R is p -injective; equivalently if $\mathbf{lr}(a) = Ra$ for each $a \in R$; and R is called *right YJ -injective* if the right R -module R_R is YJ -injective; equivalently if for every $a \in R$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^n \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{lr}(a^n) = Ra^n$ (see [Y3], Lemma 3).

YJ -injective rings have been studied in many papers such as [Y2], [Y3], [Y4], [Y6], [Y7], [Y8], [C1], [DC], and [NKK], but an example of a right YJ -injective ring which is not right p -injective is lacked in the literature. In this note we give such an example to show that the notion of YJ -injective rings is indeed a proper generalization of p -injective rings. Recently, Yue Chi Ming [Y8] has shown that R is a right self-injective regular ring if R contains an injective maximal right ideal and every simple right R -module is YJ -injective, thus answering a question of [Y7] in the affirmative. We shall give a different proof of Yue Chi Ming's result. To answer two other questions of Yue Chi Ming [Y5], [Y7], we present a right p -injective ring R with maximum condition on right annihilators but R is not right

(*) Department of Mathematics, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350007, People's Republic of China. e-mail: wmxue@fjtu.edu.cn

(**) Received May 29, 1998. AMS classification 16 D 50. Supported by the National Science Foundation of China, and the Scientific Research Foundation of Fujian Province.

artinian; and a *PI*-ring R with an injective maximal right ideal but R is not right self-injective.

The ring R in the next example was essentially given by Clark [C2], who proved that R is left hereditary but $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ is not projective, in response to a question of Xue [X2]. Here we have a different purpose.

Example 1. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ be the field with two elements.

Let A be the subring of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ consisting of elements of the form

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a, a, a, \dots)$$

i.e., A is obtained by adjoining the identity of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ to its ideal $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Then A is a commutative countable regular ring with each element an idempotent. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a, a, a, \dots) \in A$ we let $k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a, a, a, \dots) = ka$ then \mathbb{Z}_2 is a right A -module.

Let

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ & A \end{bmatrix}.$$

(1) Take $a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in R$. Then $a^2 = 0$ and $\mathbf{r}(1(a)) = \mathbf{r}\left(R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R \neq aR$. Hence R is not left *YJ*-injective. However $\mathbf{r}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{N})} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{r}(a)) = \mathbf{1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{N})} \end{bmatrix}\right) = Ra$.

(2) Take $a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix} \in R$ with $0 \neq e \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Then $\mathbf{r}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{r}(a)) = R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq Ra$. Hence R is not right *p*-injective. However, $a^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix}$ is a non-zero idempotent so $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{r}(a^2)) = Ra^2$.

(3) If $a \in R$ is an idempotent then $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{r}(a)) = Ra$.

(4) If $a \in R$ is left invertible then $\mathbf{r}(a) = 0$ and $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{r}(a)) = \mathbf{1}(0) = R = Ra$.

Since each $a \in R$ is of the form (1), (2), (3), or (4), it follows from [Y3], Lemma 3, that R is right *YJ*-injective.

The right *YJ*-injective ring R in Example 1 is neither right *p*-injective nor left *YJ*-injective. This shows that the right *YJ*-injectivity is a proper generalization of

the right p -injectivity, and that the notion of YJ -injectivity is not left-right symmetric.

It is known (see [Y1]) that R is regular if and only if every right R -module is p -injective. Therefore if R is regular then every right R -module is YJ -injective, but the converse is open [Y3], [Y4], [Y7], [Y8].

Call R *right quasi-duo* [DC], [Y7] if every maximal right ideal of R is two-sided. If R is right quasi-duo and every simple right R -module is YJ -injective then R is strongly regular by [DC], Theorem 3.7, [NKK], Theorem 10, [Y7], Theorem 2, or [Y8], Theorem 1. Yue Chi Ming raised the following question in [Y7], p. 554, Question 2: Is R strongly regular if R is right quasi-duo and every simple left R -module is YJ -injective? This has been answered in the affirmative in [DC], Theorem 3.7 or [Y8], Theorem 1.

If every simple right R -module is YJ -injective, Chen [C1], Lemma 1, proved that $J(R)$ is reduced, i.e., $J(R)$ contains no non-zero nilpotent elements, and Yue Chi Ming [Y8], Lemma 1, improved this by showing that $J(R) = 0$. The next result generalizes [Y8], Lemma 1 and answers [NKK], Question 1, partially.

Proposition 2. *Suppose every simple right R -module is YJ -injective. Then for each $0 \neq a \in R$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^n \neq 0$ such that $a^n \in a^n RaR$. Consequently, $J(R) = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose a is nilpotent with $a^n \neq 0$ and $a^{n+1} = 0$. If $Ra^nR + r(a^n) = R$ then $a^n Ra^nR = a^nR$ and $a^n \in a^n Ra^nR \subseteq a^n RaR$. If $Ra^nR + r(a^n) \neq R$ let I be a maximal right ideal containing $Ra^nR + r(a^n)$. Since R/I is YJ -injective and $(a^n)^2 = 0$, every R -homomorphism $a^nR \rightarrow R/I$ extends to $R_R \rightarrow R/I$. Define $f: a^nR \rightarrow R/I$ via $a^n r \mapsto r + I$. Then f is well-defined and an R -homomorphism. Therefore there exist $b + I \in R/I$ such that $r + I = f(a^n r) = (b + I)a^n r$. In particular, $1 + I = ba^n + I$. Since $ba^n \in I$ we obtain $1 \in I$, a contradiction.

(2) Suppose $a^n \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(i) if $Ra^nR + r(a^n) = R$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $a^n Ra^nR = a^nR$ and $a^n \in a^n Ra^nR \subseteq a^n RaR$. So let

(ii) $Ra^nR + r(a^n) \neq R$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Ra^iR + r(a^i)) \neq R$ let I be a maximal right ideal containing $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Ra^iR + r(a^i))$. Since R/I is YJ -injective there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every R -homomorphism $a^nR \rightarrow R/I$ extends to $R_R \rightarrow R/I$. Define $f: a^nR \rightarrow R/I$ via $a^n r \mapsto r + I$. Then as in (1) we obtain a contradiction. So we must have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Ra^iR + r(a^i)) = R$. Since R_R is finitely generated $\sum_{i=1}^n (Ra^iR$

$+r(a^i) = R$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $RaR + r(a^n) = R$. Then $a^n RaR = a^n R$ and $a^n \in a^n RaR$.

Suppose $0 \neq j \in J(R)$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \neq j^n \in j^n RjR$. Hence $j^n = j^n j'$ for some $j' \in J(R)$. Since $j^n(1 - j') = 0$ and $1 - j'$ is right invertible we obtain $j^n = 0$, a contradiction.

The following lemma has its own interest.

Lemma 3. *If M_R is p -injective and B_R is YJ -injective then $M \oplus B$ is YJ -injective.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq a \in R$. Since B is YJ -injective there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n \neq 0$ and every right R -homomorphism $a^n R \rightarrow B$ extends to $R \rightarrow B$. Suppose $f: a^n R \rightarrow M \oplus B$ is a right R -homomorphism. Let $p_1: M \oplus B \rightarrow M$ and $p_2: M \oplus B \rightarrow B$ be the projections. The right R -homomorphism $p_2 f: a^n R \rightarrow B$ extends to $R \rightarrow B$ so there exists $b \in B$ such that $p_2 f(a^n r) = ba^n r$ for each $a^n r \in a^n R$. Since M is p -injective the right R -homomorphism $p_1 f: a^n R \rightarrow M$ extends to $R \rightarrow M$, so there exists $m \in M$ such that $p_1 f(a^n r) = ma^n r$ for each $a^n r \in a^n R$. Then $(m, b) \in M \oplus B$ and for each $a^n r \in a^n R$ we have

$$f(a^n r) = (p_1 f(a^n r), p_2 f(a^n r)) = (ma^n r, ba^n r) = (m, b) a^n r$$

i.e., $f: a^n R \rightarrow M \oplus B$ extends to $R \rightarrow M \oplus B$.

Yue Chi Ming [Y7] call R a *right MI-ring* if R contains an injective maximal right ideal. The following question was raised in [7], p. 556, Question 4: Is R a right self-injective regular ring if R is a right MI -ring whose simple right R -modules are YJ -injective? Recently, Yue chi Ming ([Y8], Theorem 2)) has answered this in the affirmative. Here we give a different proof.

Theorem 4 [Y8]. *If R is a right MI -ring and every simple right R -module is YJ -injective then R is a right self-injective regular ring.*

Proof. Let M be an injective maximal right ideal. Then $R = M \oplus B$ where B is a simple right ideal. By Lemma 3, R is right YJ -injective. Hence R is right self-injective by [Y7], Proposition 4. It follows that $R/J(R)$ is regular. But $J(R) = 0$ by Proposition 2, so R is regular.

Yue Chi Ming ([Y5], p. 26) asked the following question: Is a right p -injective ring with maximum condition on right annihilators right artinian? (Such rings are left artinian by a symmetric version of [R], p. 205, Theorem). We answer this in the negative.

Example 5. Let K be a field with a ring monomorphism f into its subfield $f(K)$ such that K is infinite dimensional over $f(K)$; e.g., $K = F(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ with F a field, $f(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ and $f = \text{identity}$ on F . Define a K -bimodule ${}_K V_K$ as follows: ${}_K V = {}_K K$ as a left K -module; V_K is given as $vk = f(k)v$ for $v \in V$ and $k \in K$. Then $\dim({}_K V) = 1$ and $\dim(V_K) = \infty$. Let $R = K \rtimes_K V_K$ be the trivial extension. Then R is a local left artinian ring which is not right artinian. Hence R has maximum condition on right annihilators. We see that R has only three left ideals $R, J = J(R)$, and 0 . Since $J = \mathbf{1}(r(J))$, every left ideal is a left annihilator, so R is right p -injective.

The following question was raised in [Y7], p. 556, Question 3: Is R right self-injective if R is a PI -ring which is right MI ? The answer is «No» by the next example.

Example 6. Let K be a field. Then $R = \begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$ is an artinian hereditary ring which is a PI -ring since $R/J(R)$ is commutative. Now R is a right MI -ring since R has an injective maximal right ideal $\begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ but R is not right self-injective. Similarly, one notes that R is also left MI but R is not left self-injective.

The ring R is *right mininjective* [NY2] if every R -homomorphism from a simple right ideal $aR \rightarrow R$ extends to $R_R \rightarrow R_R$. If aR is a simple right ideal of R then either $(aR)^2 = 0$ or $aR = eR$ for some idempotent $e \in R$. Hence every right YJ -injective ring is right mininjective. The converse is not true since any ring R with $\text{Soc}(R_R) = 0$ is right mininjective but need not be right YJ -injective (e.g., the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers). We obtain the following two strict containments:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{right } p\text{-injective rings}\} &\subset \{\text{right } YJ\text{-injective rings}\} \\ &\subset \{\text{right mininjective rings}\}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Rada and Saorin [RS], we call R a *right CF-ring* if every cyclic right R -module embeds in a free module. If I is a right ideal and R/I embeds in a free module then $I = r\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$. In particular, every right CF -ring is left p -injective. It is not known whether or not a right CF -ring is right artinian. The next result is [RS], Theorem 3.5. Here we give a short proof. The reader is referred to [AF] for a presentation of QF rings.

Theorem 7 [RS]. *Let R be a semiperfect ring. If R is right CF and right mininjective then R is QF.*

Proof. Since R is right CF, R is right Kasch (i.e., every simple right R -module embeds in R) and left p -injective. By [NY2], Corollary 2.6, we have $\text{Soc}({}_R R) = \text{Soc}(R_R)$. Using [NY2], Proposition 3.3 we see that $\text{Soc}({}_R Re)$ is simple for any primitive idempotent e . So R is left minful [NY2], p. 563. By a version of [NY2], Theorem 3.7. R is left Kasch. By [NY1], Corollary 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 (2), R_R is finitely cogenerated. Since R is right CF, R is right artinian. By [NY2], Corollary 4.8, R is QF.

Corollary. 8. *Let R be a semiperfect ring. If R is right CF and right YJ-injective then R is QF.*

Call R *right duo* if every right ideal of R is two-sided. Puninski, Wisbauer and Yousif [PWY], Theorem 3.1, proved the following result for a right p -injective right duo ring R . Our result is a generalization since every idempotent in a right duo ring is central by [X1], Lemma 12.2.

Proposition 9. *Let e and f be two idempotents of R . If $ef = fe$ then both $eR \cap fR$ and $eR + fR$ are summands of R_R .*

Proof. Since $ef = fe$ one notes that

- (1) ef is an idempotent and $eR \cap fR = efR$; and
- (2) $e + f - ef$ is an idempotent and $eR + fR = (e + f - ef)R$.

Acknowledgments. I wish to express my thanks to Professor R. Yue Chi Ming for sending me his papers [Y2], ... [Y8], which motivated the present work.

References

- [AF] F. W. ANDERSON and K. R. FULLER, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York 1992.
- [C1] J. CHEN, *On von Neumann regular rings*, Math Japon. **36** (1991), 1123-1127.
- [C2] J. CLARK, *A note on rings with projective socle*, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **18** (1996), 85-87.

- [DC] N. DING and J. CHEN, *Rings whose simple singular modules are YJ -injective*, Math. Japon. **40** (1994), 191-195.
- [NKK] S. B. NAM, N. K. KIM and J. Y. KIM, *On simple GP -injective modules*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 5437-5444.
- [NY1] W. K. NICHOLSON and M. F. YOUSIF, *On a theorem of Camillo*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 5309-5314.
- [NY2] W. K. NICHOLSON and M. F. YOUSIF, *Mininjective rings*, J. Algebra **187** (1997), 548-578.
- [PWY] G. PUNINSKI, R. WISBAUER and M. YOUSIF, *On p -injective rings*, Glasgow Math. J. **37** (1995), 373-378.
- [RS] J. RADA and M. SAORIN, *On two open problems about embedding of modules in free modules*, preprint.
- [R] E. A. RUTTER, *Rings with the principal extension property*, Comm. Algebra **3** (1975), 203-212.
- [X1] Weimin Xue, *Rings with Morita Duality*, Lect. Notes Math., **1523**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1992.
- [X2] Weimin Xue, *Modules with projective socles*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (5) **1** (1992), 311-315.
- [Y1] R. YUE CHI MING, *On (von Neumann) regular rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **19** (1974), 89-91.
- [Y2] R. YUE CHI MING, *On regular rings and self-injective rings*, II, Glas. Mat. **18** (1983), 221-229.
- [Y3] R. YUE CHI MING, *On regular rings and artinian rings*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **11** (1985), 101-109.
- [Y4] R. YUE CHI MING, *On injectivity and p -injectivity*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **27** (1987), 439-452.
- [Y5] R. YUE CHI MING, *On annihilator ideals (IV)*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **13** (1987), 19-27.
- [Y6] R. YUE CHI MING, *Annihilators and strongly regular rings*, Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari **57** (1987), 51-59.
- [Y7] R. YUE CHI MING, *A note on YJ -injectivity*, Demonstratio Math. **30** (1997), 551-556.
- [Y8] R. YUE CHI MING, *On p -injectivity and generalizations*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (5) **5** (1996), 183-188.

Abstract

Yue Chi Ming introduced the notions of p -injectivity and YJ -injectivity to study von Neumann regular rings. A right YJ -injective ring which is not right p -injective is given to show that the former is a proper generalization of the latter. Some results related to YJ -injective modules are also obtained
