

SHAVETAMBRY TEJPAL and T. D. NARANG (*)

On sets of unique best approximants (**)

For a non-empty subset M of a metric space (X, d) and $x \in X$, let $P_M(x)$ denote the set of all best approximants to x in M i.e. $P_M(x) = \{m_0 \in M : d(x, m_0) = \text{dist}(x, M)\}$. The concept and properties, defined in terms of best approximants or derived from it, are called approximative. For discussing approximative properties, S. B. Steckin [5] introduced and discussed some sets in Banach spaces. In this paper, we also consider the sets introduced by Steckin and extend some of the results proved in [5] to metric spaces.

Let M be a subset of a metric space (X, d) and $x \in X$. An element $m_0 \in M$ is said to be a best approximation to x if $d(x, m_0) \leq d(x, m)$ for all $m \in M$ i.e. $d(x, m_0) = d(x, M) \equiv \inf\{d(x, m) : m \in M\}$. The set of all such $m_0 \in M$ is denoted by $P_M(x)$. The set M is said to be

- (i) proximal if every element of X has a best approximation in M ,
- (ii) semi-Chebyshev if each element of X has at most one best approximation in M ,
- (iii) Chebyshev if each element of X has exactly one best approximation in M ,
- (iv) antiproximal if $P_M(x) = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X \setminus M$, and
- (v) approximatively compact if for every $x \in X$ and every minimizing sequence $\langle m_n \rangle$ in M i.e. satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, m_n) = d(x, M)$ has a subsequence $\langle m_{n_i} \rangle$ converging to an element of M .

For a given non-empty set M of a metric space (X, d) , let

(*) Department of Mathematics, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar-143005, India, e-mail: shwetambry@rediffmail.com – tdnarang1948@yahoo.co.in

(**) Received 5th September 2006. AMS classification 41 A 65, 41 A 50, 41 A 52.

$$E_M = \{x \in X : P_M(x) \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$U_M = \{x \in X : P_M(x) \text{ is empty or singleton}\},$$

$$T_M = \{x \in X : P_M(x) \text{ is singleton}\}.$$

The set M is proximal (respectively semi-Chebyshev, respectively Chebyshev) if $E_M = X$ (respectively $U_M = X$, respectively $T_M = X$) and antiproximal if $E_M \subset M$.

In order to determine T_M , we consider another set T'_M as under. Let

$$P_\delta(x) = P_{\delta, M}(x) = M \cap B_{d(x, M) + \delta}(x), \delta > 0,$$

$$D_M(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \text{diam}(P_{\delta, M}(x)).$$

We define

$$T'_M = \{x \in X : D_M(x) = 0\}.$$

Here, $\text{diam}(M)$ is the diameter of the set M and $P_\delta(x)$ is called the set of δ -nearest points to x .

Before we discuss approximative properties, we recall a few definitions and some elementary facts.

A subset A of a metric space (X, d) is said to be residual in X if A is a countable intersection of dense open subsets of X . Equivalently, if complement of A is of first category in X . A property is called generic if it is true for all elements of a residual set.

For a metric space (X, d) and a closed interval $I = [0, 1]$, a continuous mapping $W : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a convex structure on X if for all $x, y \in X, \lambda \in I$,

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u, x) + (1 - \lambda)d(u, y)$$

for all $u \in X$. The metric space (X, d) together with a convex structure is called a convex metric space [6]. A convex metric space (X, d) is said to be strongly convex or an M -space [2] if for each pair $x, y \in X$ and every $\lambda \in I$, there exists exactly one point $z \in X$ such that $z = W(x, y, \lambda)$.

Every normed linear space is strongly convex but not conversely. If (X, d) is a convex metric space then for each two distinct points $x, y \in X$ and for every $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1$, there exists at least one point $z \in X$ such that $d(x, z) = (1 - \lambda)d(x, y)$ and $d(z, y) = \lambda d(x, y)$. For strongly convex metric spaces such a z is always unique.

Let $G[x, y]$ denote the line segment joining x and y i.e. $G[x, y] = \{z \in X : d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y)\}$; $G(x, y, -)$ denote the ray starting from x and passing through y .

A metric space (X, d) is called externally convex [2] if for all distinct points x, y such that $d(x, y) = \lambda$ and $k > \lambda$ there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $d(x, y) + d(y, z) = d(x, z) = k$ i.e. z lying on the ray $G(x, y, -)$.

Every normed linear space is externally convex.

A convex metric space (X, d) is said to be strictly convex [3] if for every $x, y \in X$ and $r > 0$, $d(u, x) \leq r$, $d(u, y) \leq r$ imply $d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) < r$ unless $x = y$, where u is arbitrary but fixed point of X .

A relation between T_M and T'_M is given by:

Proposition 1. *If M is a closed subset of a complete metric space (X, d) then $T'_M \subset T_M$.*

Proof. Let $x \in T'_M$ i.e. $D_M(x) = 0$. This implies $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{diam } (P_{\delta, M}(x)) = 0$. Now $\text{diam } P_M(x) \leq \text{diam } (P_{\delta, M}(x))$ for all δ . This implies $\text{diam } P_M(x) \leq 0 \Rightarrow P_M(x)$ is either empty or singleton. We claim that $P_M(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\langle u_n \rangle$ be a minimizing sequence in M for x . Since $D_M(x) = 0$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\text{diam } P_{\delta, M}(x) < \varepsilon$ whenever $0 < \delta < \delta_1$. Fix up such a $\delta > 0$, $u_n \in P_{\delta, M}(x)$ for all $n \geq N$ for a suitable N . Therefore, $d(u_n, u_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$. This shows that $\langle u_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in M . Since M is complete, $\langle u_n \rangle \rightarrow u_0 \in M$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, u_n) = d(x, M)$, $d(x, u_0) = d(x, M)$ and so $u_0 \in P_M(x)$ i.e. $P_M(x) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $P_M(x)$ is a singleton and so $x \in T_M$. Hence $T'_M \subset T_M$.

For approximatively compact sets M , we have

Proposition 2. *If M is an approximatively compact subset of a complete metric space (X, d) then $T'_M = T_M = U_M$.*

Proof. Clearly, $T_M \subset U_M$. Since M is approximatively compact, $P_M(x) \neq \emptyset$ (see e.g. [4], p.382) and so $U_M \subset T_M$. Hence $T_M = U_M$.

Since M , being approximatively compact, is proximal and so closed, $T'_M \subset T_M$ by Proposition 1. Now we show that $T_M \subset T'_M$.

Let $x \in T_M$ and suppose $P_M(x) = \{u_0\}$. Now $D_M(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \text{diam } P_{\delta, M}(x)$. If $u \in P_{\delta, M}(x)$ then $d(x, u) \leq d(x, M) + \delta$. For $\delta > 0$, we can choose $n > \frac{1}{\delta} \equiv N_1$ such that $d(x, u) < d(x, M) + \frac{1}{n}$ i.e. $u \in P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x)$ for all $n \geq N_1$. Since $P_{\delta, M}(x) \subset P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x)$, $\text{diam } P_{\delta, M}(x) < \text{diam } P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x)$ for all $n \geq N_1 \Rightarrow D_M(x) \leq \text{diam } P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x)$ for all $n \geq N_1$.

Thus we can find N_1 such that $\text{diam } P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x) > \frac{1}{2}D_M(x)$ for all $n \geq N_1$. We can pick minimizing sequences $\langle v_n \rangle, \langle v'_n \rangle$ for x such that $\{v_n, v'_n\} \subset P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x)$ for all $n \geq N_2$ and $d(v_n, v'_n) > \frac{1}{2}D_M(x)$. Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then $\text{diam } P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x) > \frac{1}{2}D_M(x)$, $\{v_n, v'_n\} \subset P_{\frac{1}{n}, M}(x)$ and $d(v_n, v'_n) > \frac{1}{2}D_M(x)$ for all $n \geq N$. Since M is approximatively compact, there are subsequences $\langle v_{n_k} \rangle, \langle v'_{n_k} \rangle$ of $\langle v_n \rangle, \langle v'_n \rangle$ respectively each converging to $u_0 = P_M(x)$. Since $d(v_{n_k}, v'_{n_k}) \leq d(v_{n_k}, P_M(x)) + d(P_M(x), v'_{n_k})$, $d(v_{n_k}, v'_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n_k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $0 \geq \frac{1}{2}D_M(x) \Rightarrow D_M(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x \in T'_M$ and so $T_M \subset T'_M$. Hence $T'_M = T_M = U_M$.

The following theorem generalizes and extends a result of Steekin [5] (see also Braess [1], p.29) proved for strictly convex Banach spaces.

Theorem. *If M is an approximatively compact subset of a complete strictly convex metric space (X, d) which is externally convex then T_M and T'_M are residual sets.*

To prove this result, we firstly establish few lemmas:

Lemma 1. *In a metric space (X, d) , the set $G_a = \{x \in X : D_M(x) < a\}$, $a > 0$ is an open set.*

Proof. Let $x \in G_a$ i.e. $D_M(x) < a$. Then there is some $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\text{diam } P_{\delta, M}(x) < a$ for $0 < \delta < \delta_1$. If $y \in X$ is such that $d(y, x) < \frac{\delta}{3}$, we claim that $P_{\frac{\delta}{3}, M}(y) \subset P_{\delta, M}(x)$.

Suppose $u \in P_{\frac{\delta}{3}, M}(y)$ i.e. $d(y, u) < d(y, M) + \frac{\delta}{3}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, u) &\leq d(x, y) + d(y, u) \\ &< \frac{\delta}{3} + d(y, M) + \frac{\delta}{3} \\ &= d(y, M) + \frac{2\delta}{3} \\ &\leq d(y, x) + d(x, M) + \frac{2\delta}{3} \\ &< d(y, M) + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $u \in P_{\delta, M}(x)$ and so $P_{\frac{\delta}{3}, M}(y) \subset P_{\delta, M}(x)$ and therefore $\text{diam } P_{\frac{\delta}{3}, M}(y) \leq \text{diam } P_{\delta, M}(x) < a$ for $0 < \delta < \delta_1$. This gives $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P_{\frac{\delta}{3}, M}(y) < a$ and so $D_M(y) < a$ i.e. $y \in G_a$. Hence $B_{\frac{\delta}{3}}(x) \subset G_a$ i.e. G_a is open.

Lemma 2. [6] *In a convex metric space (X, d) , $d(x, y) = d(x, W(x, y, \lambda)) + d(W(x, y, \lambda), y)$, $x, y \in X$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.*

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq d(x, W(x, y, \lambda)) + d(W(x, y, \lambda), y) \\ &\leq \lambda d(x, x) + (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)d(y, y) \\ &= d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

Lemma 3. *Let M be a subset of a convex metric space (X, d) and $x \in X$. If $m_0 \in P_M(x)$ and $y = W(x, m_0, \lambda)$ then $m_0 \in P_M(y)$.*

Proof. $m_0 \in P_M(x) \Rightarrow d(x, m_0) = d(x, M)$ i.e. $d(x, m_0) \leq d(x, m)$ for all $m \in M$. By Lemma 2, $d(x, W(x, m_0, \lambda)) + d(W(x, m_0, \lambda), m_0) = d(x, m_0)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(W(x, m_0, \lambda), m_0) &= d(x, m_0) - d(x, W(x, m_0, \lambda)) \\ &\leq d(x, m) - d(x, W(x, m_0, \lambda)) \quad \text{for all } m \in M \\ &\leq d(x, W(x, m_0, \lambda)) + d(W(x, m_0, \lambda), m) \\ &\quad - d(x, W(x, m_0, \lambda)) \quad \text{for all } m \in M \\ &= d(W(x, m_0, \lambda), m) \quad \text{for all } m \in M. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $m_0 \in P_M(y)$.

Corollary. *If M is a subset of a convex metric space (X, d) then $W(x, Px, \lambda) \in T'_M$ for each $x \in T'_M$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, where $Px \equiv P_M(x)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in T'_M$ and $z \in W(x, Px, \lambda)$. Then by the above lemma, $Px \in Pz$. Since

$$(1) \quad d(x, z) = (1 - \lambda)d(x, Px) \quad \text{and} \quad d(Px, z) = \lambda d(x, Px)$$

we have, $d(z, M) = d(z, Px) = \lambda d(x, Px) = \lambda d(x, M)$. Therefore (1) gives

$$(2) \quad d(x, z) = d(x, Px) - \lambda d(x, Px) = d(x, M) - d(z, M).$$

We claim that $B[z, d(z, M) + \delta] \subset B[x, d(x, M) + \delta]$. Let $u \in B[z, d(z, M) + \delta]$ i.e. $d(u, z) \leq d(z, M) + \delta$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u, x) &\leq d(u, z) + d(z, x) \\
&\leq d(z, M) + \delta + d(z, x) \\
&= d(z, M) + \delta + d(x, M) - d(z, M) \text{ by (2)} \\
&= d(x, M) + \delta
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $u \in B[x, d(x, M) + \delta]$ and so $B[z, d(z, M) + \delta] \subset B[x, d(x, M) + \delta]$. Consequently,

$$M \cap B[z, d(z, M) + \delta] \subset M \cap B[x, d(x, M) + \delta]$$

i.e. $P_{\delta, M}(z) \subset P_{\delta, M}(x)$ and so $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{diam}(P_{\delta, M}(z)) \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{diam}(P_{\delta, M}(x))$ i.e. $D_M(z) \leq D_M(x) = 0$, which gives $D_M(z) = 0$ i.e. $z \in T'_M$. Hence $W(x, Px, \lambda) \in T'_M$ for all $x \in T'_M$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 4. *If G is a subset of an externally convex M -space (X, d) and $x \in X$ then $P_G(W(x, v_0, \lambda))$ is at most singleton for each $v_0 \in P_G(x)$.*

Proof. Let $v_0 \in P_G(x)$. Then by Lemma 3, $v_0 \in P_G(W(x, v_0, \lambda))$. Suppose $v_1 \in P_G(W(x, v_0, \lambda))$. Two cases arise:

Case I $d(x, v_1) \neq d(x, W(x, v_0, \lambda)) + d(W(x, v_0, \lambda), v_1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i.e. } d(x, v_1) &< d(x, W(x, v_0, \lambda)) + d(W(x, v_0, \lambda), v_1) \\
&= d(x, W(x, v_0, \lambda)) + d(W(x, v_0, \lambda), v_0) \\
&= d(x, v_0),
\end{aligned}$$

which is not possible.

Case II $d(x, v_1) = d(x, W(x, v_0, \lambda)) + d(W(x, v_0, \lambda), v_1)$.

This implies $v_1 \in G(x, x_\lambda, -)$; where $x_\lambda \equiv W(x, v_0, \lambda)$. Thus $v_0, v_1 \in G(x, x_\lambda, -)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x, v_1) &= d(x, x_\lambda) + d(x_\lambda, v_1) \\
&= d(x, x_\lambda) + d(x_\lambda, v_0) \\
&= d(x, v_0)
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $d(x, x_\lambda) + d(x_\lambda, v_0) = d(x, v_0)$ and $d(x, x_\lambda) + d(x_\lambda, v_0) = d(x, v_1)$. Therefore by external convexity, we get $v_0 = v_1$.

Since every strictly convex metric space is an M -space [2], we have

Corollary. *If X is a strictly convex metric space with external convexity then $P_G(W(x, v_0, \lambda))$ is at most singleton for each $v_0 \in P_G(x)$.*

Lemma 5. *If (X, d) is a strictly convex metric space with external convexity then U_M is dense in X .*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. If $P_M(x) = \emptyset$ then $x \in U_M \subset \overline{U_M}$. Suppose $P_M(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $v_0 \in P_M(x)$. Define $x_n = W\left(x, v_0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ i.e. x_n lies on the line segment joining x, v_0 and so by Lemma 3, $v_0 \in P_M(x_n)$ for all n . Since X is strictly convex, $P_M(x_n) = \{v_0\}$ for all n by Lemma 4. Thus $x_n \in U_M$ for all n . We claim that $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x) &= d\left(W\left(x, v_0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right), x\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}d(x, v_0) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$ and so $x \in \overline{U_M}$. Hence $X = \overline{U_M}$ i.e. U_M is dense in X .

Since every normed linear space is externally convex and for proximal sets M , $P_M(x) \neq \emptyset$, we have

Corollary. [5] *If M is a proximal subset of a strictly convex normed linear space X then the set T_M is dense in X .*

Proof of Theorem. Since M is approximatively compact, $T'_M = T_M = U_M$ by Proposition 2. By Lemma 5, U_M is dense in the strictly convex space X . Since $T'_M \subset G_a$ for all $a > 0$, $U_M \subset G_a$ for all $a > 0$. This implies $\overline{U_M} \subset \overline{G_a} \Rightarrow X \subset \overline{G_a}$ for all $a > 0 \Rightarrow G_a$ is dense in X for all $a > 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} T'_M &= \{x \in X : D_M(x) = 0\} \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{x \in X : D_M(x) < \frac{1}{n}\right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T'_M and T_M are residual sets in X .

Since every normed linear space is externally convex, we have

Corollary. [5] *If M is an approximatively compact subset of a strictly convex Banach space X then T_M and T'_M are residual sets.*

References

- [1] D. BRAESS, *Nonlinear approximation theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986.
- [2] R. KHALIL, *Best approximation in metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 579-586.
- [3] T. D. NARANG, *Best approximation and strict convexity of metric spaces*, Arch. Math. **17** (1981), 87-90.
- [4] I. SINGER, *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin 1970.
- [5] S. B. STECKIN, *Approximation properties of sets in normed linear spaces*, Rev. Math. Pures Appl. **8** (1963), 5-18.
- [6] W. TAKAHASHI, *A convexity in metric space and non-expansive mappings, I*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 142-149.

Abstract

S. B. Steckin [5] proved that for a proximinal subset M of a strictly convex Banach space X , the set $\{x \in X : x \text{ has a unique best approximation in } M\}$ is dense in X and is a residual set in X if M is approximatively compact subset of X . We extend these results to convex metric spaces.

* * *