

YŪSUKE OKUYAMA

Nevanlinna theory and value distribution in the unicritical polynomials family

Abstract. In the space \mathbb{C} of the parameters λ of the unicritical polynomials family $f(\lambda, z) = f_\lambda(z) = z^d + \lambda$ of degree $d > 1$, we establish a quantitative equidistribution result towards the bifurcation current (indeed measure) T_f of f as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the averaged distributions of all parameters λ such that f_λ has a superattracting periodic point of period n in \mathbb{C} , with a concrete error estimate for C^2 -test functions on \mathbb{P}^1 . In the proof, not only complex dynamics but also a standard argument from the Nevanlinna theory play key roles.

Keywords. unicritical polynomials family, superattracting periodic point, equidistribution, Nevanlinna theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 37F45; Secondary 30D35.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Main result	2
1.2	Non-repelling parameters having exact periods	4
1.3	Organization of the article	5
2	Background from the study of the family f	6
2.1	Douady–Hubbard’s theory on the parameter space \mathbb{C} of f	6
2.2	The Green functions on the dynamical and parameter spaces	6
3	Proof of Theorem 1	8

Received: April 26, 2017; accepted in revised form: March 14, 2018.

This research was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 15K04924.

4 Proof of Theorem 2	12
4.1 Proof of (1.6)	14
4.2 Proof of (1.7)	15
References	17

1 - Introduction

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the (*monic and centered*) *unicritical polynomials family*

$$(1.1) \quad f(\lambda, z) = f_\lambda(z) := z^d + \lambda \quad \text{for every } (\lambda, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

of degree $d > 1$. Let $c_0 \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{C} , which is a *marked critical point* of the family f in that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $c_0(\lambda)$ is a critical point of $f_\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let us define the monic polynomial

$$F_n(\lambda) := f_\lambda^n(c_0(\lambda)) \equiv f_\lambda^n(0) \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$$

of degree d^{n-1} . Any zero of F_n is simple (Douady–Hubbard [10, Exposé XIX]; see also [19, Theorem 10.3] for a simple proof). The study of the asymptotic behavior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the set of all zeros of F_n , which is the set of all parameters $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that f_λ has a superattracting periodic point of (not necessarily exact) period n in \mathbb{C} , was initiated by Levin [15], and has been developed by Bassanelli–Berteloot [2, 3] and Buff–Gauthier [7] subsequently.

Our aim is, from both complex dynamics and the *Nevanlinna theory*, to contribute to the quantitative study of the asymptotic behavior of zeros of F_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$, partly sharpening Gauthier–Vigny [14].

Notation 1.1. Let $\mu : \mathbb{N} \mapsto \{-1, 0, 1\}$ be the Möbius function from arithmetic (cf. [1, §2]). Let $\log^+ t := \log \max\{1, t\}$ on \mathbb{R} . Let ω be the Fubini-Study area element on \mathbb{P}^1 normalized as $\omega(\mathbb{P}^1) = 1$, let $[z, w]$ be the chordal metric on \mathbb{P}^1 normalized as $[\cdot, \infty] = 1/\sqrt{1 + |\cdot|^2}$ on \mathbb{P}^1 (following the notation in Nevanlinna’s and Tsuji’s books [23, 29]), and let δ_x be the Dirac measure on \mathbb{P}^1 at each $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$. The Laplacian dd^c on \mathbb{P}^1 is normalized as $dd^c(-\log[\cdot, \infty]) = \omega - \delta_\infty$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . Set $\mathbb{D}(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{C} : |x - y| < r\}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and every $r > 0$, $\mathbb{D}(r) := \mathbb{D}(0, r)$ for every $r > 0$, and $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{D}(1)$.

1.1 - Main result

Let $g_{I_{c_0}}$ be the Green function with pole ∞ on the *escaping locus* $I_{c_0} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_n(\lambda)| = \infty\}$ of the marked critical point c_0 of f ; I_{c_0}

is a punctured open and connected neighborhood of ∞ in \mathbb{P}^1 , and ∂I_{c_0} and $\mathbb{C} \setminus I_{c_0}$ respectively coincide with the *J-unstability* or *bifurcation* locus B_f and the *connectedness locus* M_f of f . The function $g_{I_{c_0}}$ extends to \mathbb{C} continuously by setting $g_{I_{c_0}} \equiv 0$ on M_f , and $\mu_{B_f} := dd^c g_{I_{c_0}} + \delta_\infty$ on \mathbb{P}^1 coincides with the harmonic measure on B_f with pole ∞ . The *measure* $(d-1)d^{-1}\mu_{B_f}$ on \mathbb{P}^1 coincides with the *bifurcation current* (indeed *measure*) T_f of f on \mathbb{P}^1 (see Subsection 2.1). By a refinement of Przytycki's argument on the recurrence of critical orbits [25, Proof of Lemma 2] and Buff's upper estimate of the moduli of the derivatives of polynomials [6, the proof of Theorem 3], we will establish the following $L^1(\omega)$ estimate

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} |\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}}| \omega \leq \frac{2 \log d}{d-1} n + O(1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with the *concrete* coefficient $(2 \log d)/(d-1)$ of n in the right hand side; a question on the best possibility of this estimate (1.2) seems also interesting. As seen in the proof of (1.2) (in Section 3), this may be regarded as a counterpart of H. Selberg's theorem [26, p. 313] from the Nevanlinna theory.

Our principal result is a deduction from (1.2) of the following *quantitative* equidistribution of the sequence $(F_n^* \delta_0 / d^n)$ of the *averaged* distribution of the superattracting parameters of period n towards $(d-1)^{-1} T_f = d^{-1} \mu_{B_f}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the unicritical (monic and centered) polynomials family of degree $d > 1$ defined as in (1.1). Then for every $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$,*

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi d((d-1) \cdot F_n^* \delta_0 - d^n \cdot T_f) \right| \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \frac{dd^c \phi}{\omega} \right| \right) \cdot ((2 \log d)n + O(1))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the implicit constant in $O(1)$ is independent of ϕ and the Radon-Nikodim derivative $(dd^c \phi) / \omega$ on \mathbb{P}^1 is bounded on \mathbb{P}^1 .

For a former application of Selberg's theorem (Theorem 3.2) to obtain a quantitative equidistribution result in complex dynamics, see Drasin and the author [12]. As an order estimate, the estimate (1.3) is due to Gauthier-Vigny [14, Theorem A]. The implicit constant in $O(1)$ in (1.3) will also be computed in the proof. The coefficient $2 \log d$ of n in (1.3) comes from the full strength of de Branges's theorem (the solution of the Bieberbach conjecture), on which the proof of Buff's estimate mentioned above essentially relies.

1.2 - Non-repelling parameters having exact periods

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n -th *dynamomic polynomial*

$$\Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, z) := \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} (f_\lambda^m(z) - z)^{\mu(n/m)}$$

of the family f is in fact in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda, z]$, and for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is *monic* and of degree

$$(1.4) \quad \nu(n) = \nu_d(n) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) d^m.$$

For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{Fix}_f(\lambda, n)$ be the set of all fixed points of f_λ^n in \mathbb{C} and set $\text{Fix}_f^*(\lambda, n) := \text{Fix}_f(\lambda, n) \setminus (\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n \text{ and } m < n} \text{Fix}_f(\lambda, m))$, each element in which is called a *periodic point* of f_λ in \mathbb{C} having the *exact period* n . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, a periodic point z of f_λ in \mathbb{C} is said to have the *formally exact period* n if either (i) $z \in \text{Fix}_f^*(\lambda, n)$ or (ii) there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m|n$ and $m < n$ such that $z \in \text{Fix}_f^*(\lambda, m)$ and that $(f_\lambda^m)'(z)$ is a primitive (n/m) -th root of unity (so in particular $(f_\lambda^n)'(z) = 1$). For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)$ be the set of all periodic points of f_λ in \mathbb{C} having the formally exact period n , which in fact coincides with $(\Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, \cdot))^{-1}(0)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n -th *multiplier polynomial*

$$p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w) := \left(\prod_{z \in \text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)} ((f_\lambda^n)'(z) - w) \right)^{1/n}$$

of f , where for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the product in the right hand side takes into account the multiplicity of each $z \in \text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)$ as a zero of $\Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, \cdot)$, is indeed in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda, w]$ and unique up to multiplication in n -th roots of unity. For every $w \in \mathbb{C}$, by a direct computation,

$$(1.5) \quad \deg_\lambda p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w) = (d-1) \frac{\nu(n)}{d}$$

and the coefficient of the leading term of $p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ equals $d^{\nu(n)}$, both of which are independent of w . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $w \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\text{Per}_f^*(n, w)$ be the effective divisor on \mathbb{P}^1 defined by the zeros of $p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$; as a Radon measure on \mathbb{P}^1 ,

$$\text{Per}_f^*(n, w) = \text{dd}_\lambda^c \log |p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w)| + (d-1) \frac{\nu(n)}{d} \delta_\infty.$$

For more details, see e.g. [28, §4], [4, §2.3], [21, §3].

Notation 1.2. Let $(\sigma_0(n))$ and $(\sigma_1(n))$ be such sequences in \mathbb{N} that $1 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} \mu(n/m) \sigma_0(m)$ and $n = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} \mu(n/m) \sigma_1(m)$, or equivalently, $\sigma_0(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} 1$ and $\sigma_1(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} m$ by Möbius inversion, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1, we will also show the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the unicritical (monic and centered) polynomials family of degree $d > 1$ defined as in (1.1). Then for every $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$,*

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi d(\text{Per}_f^*(n, 0) - \nu(n) \cdot T_f) \right| \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \frac{dd^c \phi}{\omega} \right| \right) \cdot ((2 \log d) \sigma_1(n) + O(\sigma_0(n)))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the term $O(\sigma_0(n))$ is independent of ϕ , and for every $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and every $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$(1.7) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi d \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \text{Per}_f^*(n, r e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} - \nu(n) \cdot T_f \right) \right| \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \frac{dd^c \phi}{\omega} \right| \right) \cdot ((2 \log d) \sigma_1(n) + O(\sigma_0(n)))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the term $O(\sigma_0(n))$ is independent of both ϕ and r . Here the Radon-Nikodim derivative $(dd^c \phi)/\omega$ on \mathbb{P}^1 is bounded on \mathbb{P}^1 .

Again, the terms $O(\sigma_0(n))$ in Theorem 2 will also be computed in Section 4. As an order estimate, the estimate (1.6) is a consequence of Gauthier–Vigny [14, Theorem A]. The estimate (1.7) quantifies Bassanelli–Berteloot [3, 2. in Theorem 3.1] for $r \in (0, 1]$.

1.3 - Organization of the article

In Section 2, we recall background from the study of the unicritical polynomials family f . In Section 3, we show Theorem 1. In Section 4, we show Theorem 2.

2 - Background from the study of the family f

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the unicritical (monic and centered) polynomials family of degree $d > 1$ defined as in (1.1), and recall that $c_0(\lambda) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ defines a marked critical point of f .

2.1 - Douady–Hubbard’s theory on the parameter space \mathbb{C} of f

For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let J_{f_λ} be the Julia set of f_λ , which is compact in \mathbb{C} . Let B_f be the J -unstability or bifurcation locus of the family f , which is the discontinuity locus of the set function $\lambda \mapsto J_{f_\lambda}$ with respect to the Hausdorff topology from $(\mathbb{P}^1, [z, w])$, and is closed and nowhere dense in \mathbb{C} (by Mañé–Sad–Sullivan [17], Lyubich [16]). The *escaping* locus

$$I_{c_0} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_n(\lambda)| = \infty\}$$

of the marked critical point c_0 of f is a punctured open and connected neighborhood of ∞ in \mathbb{P}^1 and coincides with the unique unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus B_f$. We have $B_f = \partial I_{c_0}$, and the *connectedness* locus

$$M_f := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : J_{f_\lambda} \text{ is connected}\}$$

of f coincides with $\mathbb{C} \setminus I_{c_0}$ (and is connected). For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, f_λ has at most one non-repelling cycle in \mathbb{C} (see, e.g., [20, §8]). Let H_f be the *hyperbolicity* locus of f , which coincides with the union of I_{c_0} and the set of all $\lambda \in M_f$ such that f_λ has the (super)attracting cycle in \mathbb{C} , and is a closed and open subset in $\mathbb{C} \setminus B_f$. For example, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \in F_n^{-1}(0) \subset H_f \setminus I_{c_0}$. For every component U of $H_f \setminus I_{c_0}$, there are an $n_U \in \mathbb{N}$ and a proper holomorphic mapping $\phi_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ of degree $d - 1$ such that $\#\phi_U^{-1}(0) = 1$ and that for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$, $\phi_U^{-1}(w)$ coincides with the set of all $\lambda \in U$ such that f_λ has the (super)attracting cycle in \mathbb{C} having the *exact* period n_U and the multiplier w . For more details, see Douady–Hubbard [11], and for a modern treatment, see McMullen–Sullivan [19, §10].

2.2 - The Green functions on the dynamical and parameter spaces

For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, J_{f_λ} coincides with the boundary of the filled-in Julia set $K_{f_\lambda} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_\lambda^n(z)| < \infty\}$ of f_λ , which is compact in \mathbb{C} . For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the uniform limit

$$(2.1) \quad g_{f_\lambda}(z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log[f_\lambda^n(z), \infty]}{d^n}$$

exists on \mathbb{C} , and setting $g_{f_\lambda}(\infty) := +\infty$, the probability measure $\mu_{f_\lambda} := dd^c g_{f_\lambda} + \delta_\infty$ on \mathbb{P}^1 coincides with the harmonic measure on J_{f_λ} with pole ∞ . Moreover, μ_{f_λ} is mixing so ergodic under f_λ (by Brodin [5]). For completeness, we include a proof of the following.

Lemma 2.1. *For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{\mathbb{C}} |g_{f_\lambda} + \log[\cdot, \infty]| \leq \frac{1}{d-1} \cdot \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \log \frac{[z, \infty]^d}{[f_\lambda(z), \infty]} \right|,$$

and the function $\lambda \mapsto \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |\log([z, \infty]^d/[f_\lambda(z), \infty])|$ is locally bounded on \mathbb{C} .

Proof. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, by the definition (2.1) of g_{f_λ} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbb{C}} |g_{f_\lambda} + \log[\cdot, \infty]| &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\log[f_\lambda(f_\lambda^{j-1}(z)), \infty] + d \cdot \log[f_\lambda^{j-1}(z), \infty]}{d^j} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d-1} \cdot \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \log \frac{[z, \infty]^d}{[f_\lambda(z), \infty]} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let us define the non-degenerate homogeneous polynomial endomorphism $\tilde{f}_\lambda : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ of degree d by $\tilde{f}_\lambda(p_0, p_1) := (p_0^d, p_0^d f_\lambda(p_1/p_0)) = (p_0^d, p_1^d + \lambda p_0^d)$. Then the function $(\lambda, (p_0, p_1)) \mapsto |\log \|\tilde{f}_\lambda(p_0, p_1)\||$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\})$, and for every compact subset K in \mathbb{C} , we have

$$\sup_{(\lambda, z) \in K \times \mathbb{C}} \left| \log \frac{[z, \infty]^d}{[f_\lambda(z), \infty]} \right| = \sup_{(\lambda, (p_0, p_1)) \in K \times S(1)} |\log \|\tilde{f}_\lambda(p_0, p_1)\||,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{C}^2 and $S(1) := \{(p_0, p_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \|(p_0, p_1)\| = 1\}$. Now the proof is complete by the compactness of K in \mathbb{C} and that of $S(1)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. \square

Similarly, the locally uniform limit

$$\lambda \mapsto g_{I_{c_0}}(\lambda) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log[F_n(\lambda), \infty]}{d^{n-1}} = d \cdot g_{f_\lambda}(c_0(\lambda)) = g_{f_\lambda}(f_\lambda(c_0(\lambda)))$$

exists on \mathbb{C} , and setting $g_{I_{c_0}} := +\infty$, the probability measure

$$\mu_f := dd^c g_{I_{c_0}} + \delta_\infty \quad \text{on } \mathbb{P}^1$$

coincides with the harmonic measure on $B_f = \partial I_{c_0}$ with pole ∞ (by Douady–Hubbard [11], Sibony [27]). The *activity current* (indeed measure) of the marked critical point c_0 of f is

$$T_{c_0} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_n^* \omega}{d^n} = \frac{\mu_f}{d}$$

as currents on \mathbb{P}^1 (DeMarco [8], Dujardin–Favre [13]). For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the *Lyapunov exponent* of f_λ with respect to μ_{f_λ} is

$$L(f_\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \log |f'_\lambda(z)| d\mu_{f_\lambda}(z) = \log d + (d-1) \frac{g_{I_{c_0}}}{d} (\geq \log d > 0)$$

(Manning [18], Przytycki [24]). Setting $L(f_\lambda)|_{\lambda=\infty} := +\infty$, the *bifurcation current* of f can be defined by

$$(2.3) \quad T_f := dd^c L(f) + \frac{d-1}{d} \delta_\infty = (d-1) \frac{\mu_f}{d} = (d-1) T_{c_0} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{P}^1$$

(DeMarco [9]). For more details, see, e.g., Berteloot’s survey [4, §3.2.3].

3 - Proof of Theorem 1

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the unicritical polynomials family of degree $d > 1$ defined as (1.1). For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us define the *chordal derivative*

$$(f_\lambda^n)^\# := \sqrt{\frac{(f_\lambda^n)^* \omega}{\omega}} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

of f_λ^n on \mathbb{P}^1 . For every non-empty subset S in \mathbb{P}^1 , let $\text{diam}_\#(S)$ be the chordal diameter of S . The resultant of $(P(z), Q(z)) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \times \mathbb{C}[z]$ is denoted by $\text{Res}(P, Q)$, as usual. Recall that $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : [z, 0] < [r, 0]\} = \mathbb{D}(0, r)$ for every $r > 0$ and that $[z, w] \leq |z - w|$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (H_f \setminus I_{c_0})$ (so in particular for every $\lambda \in B_f$),*

$$|F_n(\lambda)| \geq (\sqrt{2} - 1) \left(2^{d+1} \cdot \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}^1} ((f_\lambda^{n-1})^\#(z)) \right)^{-1/(d-1)}.$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the functions L_{n-1} and ϵ_n on \mathbb{C} by $L_{n-1}(\lambda) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}^1} ((f_\lambda^{n-1})^\#(z)) (> 1)$ and $\epsilon_n(\lambda) := (2^2 \cdot L_{n-1}(\lambda))^{-1/(d-1)} (< 1)$. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, noting that $f_\lambda(0) = \lambda$ and that $f_\lambda(z) - f_\lambda(0) = z^d$ on \mathbb{C} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}_\#(f_\lambda^n(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : [z, 0] < [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0]\})) &= \text{diam}_\#(f_\lambda^n(\mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon_n(\lambda)))) \\ &= \text{diam}_\#(f_\lambda^{n-1}(\mathbb{D}(\lambda, \epsilon_n(\lambda)^d))) \\ &\leq L_{n-1}(\lambda) \cdot \text{diam}_\#(\mathbb{D}(\lambda, \epsilon_n(\lambda)^d)) \leq L_{n-1}(\lambda) \cdot 2\epsilon_n(\lambda)^d = \frac{\epsilon_n(\lambda)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that if $[f_\lambda^n(0), 0] < [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0] - \epsilon_n(\lambda)/2$, then $\sup\{[w, 0] : w \in f_\lambda^n(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : [z, 0] \leq [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0]\})\} < ([\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0] - \epsilon_n(\lambda)/2) + \epsilon_n(\lambda)/2 = [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0]$, i.e., $f_\lambda^n(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : [z, 0] < [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0]\}) \Subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : [z, 0] < [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0]\}$; then by Brouwer's fixed point theorem, Montel's theorem, and Fatou's classification of cyclic Fatou components (see e.g. [20, §16]), the domain $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : [z, 0] < [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0]\}$, which contains both the critical point $c_0(\lambda)(= 0)$ of f_λ and a fixed point of f_λ^n , is contained in the immediate basin of a (super)attracting cycle of f_λ in \mathbb{C} .

Hence for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain the desired lower estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(\lambda)| &\geq ([F_n(\lambda), 0] =) [f_\lambda^n(0), 0] \geq [\epsilon_n(\lambda), 0] - \frac{\epsilon_n(\lambda)}{2} \\ &\geq (\sqrt{2} - 1) \frac{\epsilon_n(\lambda)}{2} = (\sqrt{2} - 1) (2^{d+1} L_{n-1}(\lambda))^{-1/(d-1)} \end{aligned}$$

of $|F_n(\lambda)|$ unless 0 is in the immediate basin of a (super)attracting cycle of f_λ in \mathbb{C} . Now the proof is complete. \square

The following is substantially shown in Buff [6, the proof of Theorem 4].

Theorem 3.1 (Buff). *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ be of degree $d > 1$, and let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. If $g_f(z_0) \geq \max_{c \in C(f) \cap \mathbb{C}} g_f(c)$, where g_f is the Green function of the filled-in Julia set K_f of f with pole ∞ and $C(f)$ is the set of all critical points of f , then $|f'(z_0)| \leq d^2 \cdot e^{(d-1)g_f(z_0)}$, and the equality never holds if $C(f) \cap \mathbb{C}$ is not contained in K_f .*

Lemma 3.2. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in M_f$,*

$$\log \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{P}^1} ((f_\lambda^n)^\#(z)) \right) \leq (2 \log d)n + \frac{4}{d-1} \cdot \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \log \frac{[z, \infty]^d}{[f_\lambda(z), \infty]} \right|.$$

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $\lambda \in M_f$, and every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, by Theorem 3.1, we have $|(f_\lambda^n)'(z)| \leq (d^n)^2 e^{(d^n-1)g_{f_\lambda}(z)}$, and by the definition (2.1) of g_{f_λ} , we have $0 \leq (d^n - 1)g_{f_\lambda}(z) = g_{f_\lambda}(f_\lambda^n(z)) - g_{f_\lambda}(z)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} (f_\lambda^n)^\#(z) &= |(f_\lambda^n)'(z)| \cdot \frac{[f_\lambda^n(z), \infty]^2}{[z, \infty]^2} \\ &\leq d^{2n} e^{g_{f_\lambda}(f_\lambda^n(z)) - g_{f_\lambda}(z)} \cdot e^{2(\log[f_\lambda^n(z), \infty] - \log[z, \infty])} \\ &\leq d^{2n} \cdot e^{2(g_{f_\lambda}(f_\lambda^n(z)) + \log[f_\lambda^n(z), \infty]) - 2(g_{f_\lambda}(z) + \log[z, \infty])} \\ &\leq d^{2n} \cdot e^{4 \sup_{\mathbb{C}} |g_{f_\lambda} + \log[\cdot, \infty]|}. \end{aligned}$$

This with (2.2) completes the proof. \square

Recalling the latter half of Lemma 2.1, we can set

$$C_{B_f} := \sup_{(\lambda, z) \in B_f \times \mathbb{C}} \left| \log \frac{[z, \infty]^d}{[f_\lambda(z), \infty]} \right| < \infty.$$

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\inf_{B_f} \log |F_n| \geq -\frac{1}{d-1} \left((d+1) \log 2 + (2 \log d)(n-1) + \frac{4C_{B_f}}{d-1} \right) + \log(\sqrt{2}-1).$$

On the other hand, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in M_f$, by Buff [6, Theorem 1], we also have $F_n(\lambda) = f_\lambda^n(c_0(\lambda)) \in K_{f_\lambda} \subset \mathbb{D}(2)$. Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following uniform estimate

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{B_f} |\log |F_n|| \leq \frac{1}{d-1} \left((d+1) \log 2 + (2 \log d)(n-1) + \frac{4C_{B_f}}{d-1} + (d-1) \log(\sqrt{2}+1) \right) =: t_n.$$

Now let us recall the following classical theorem from the Nevanlinna theory; for a modern formulation, see [30].

Theorem 3.2 (Selberg [26, p. 311]). *Let V be a bounded and at most finitely connected domain in \mathbb{C} whose boundary components are piecewise real analytic Jordan closed curves, so that for every $y \in V$, the Green function $G_V(\cdot, y)$ on V with pole y exists and extends continuously to \mathbb{C} by setting $\equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus V$. If V is in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then for every $y \in V$ and every $r > 0$, setting $\theta_V(r) := \int_{\{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]: re^{i\theta} \in V\}} d\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} G_V(re^{i\theta}, y) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \frac{\theta_V(r)}{4}, \log^+ \frac{r}{\inf_{z \in V} |z|} \right\}.$$

Let H_1 be the component of H_f containing 0 and set

$$C_0 := \pi + \int_0^\infty \frac{2r}{(1+r^2)^2} \log^+ \frac{r}{\sup\{t > 0 : \mathbb{D}(t) \subset H_1\}} dr + \int_{H_1} G_{H_1}(\cdot, 0) \omega < \infty.$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that $\deg F_n = d^{n-1}$.

Claim 1.

$$\int_{F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))} |\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}}| \omega \leq \omega(F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))) t_n + C_0.$$

Proof. By (3.1), we have $\inf_{B_f} |F_n| \geq e^{-t_n}$. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all components of $F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))$, so that $\#\mathcal{F} \leq d^{n-1}$. By the description of H_f in Subsection 2.1, every $V \in \mathcal{F}$ is a piecewise real analytic Jordan domain in $H_f \setminus I_{c_0}$ and, since any zero of F_n is also simple, for every $V \in \mathcal{F}$, the restriction $F_n|_V : V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n})$ is conformal. For every $V \in \mathcal{F}$, set $\lambda_V := (F_n|_V)^{-1}(0)$. Let V_0 be the element of \mathcal{F} containing 0. Recall the notation in Theorem 3.2. For every $V \in \mathcal{F}$, by the conformal invariance of the Green functions, we have

$$\log \frac{e^{-t_n}}{|F_n|} = G_{\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n})}(F_n, 0) = G_V(\cdot, \lambda_V) \quad \text{on } V.$$

For every $r > 0$, fixing such $V_r \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{V_0\}$ that for every $V \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{V_0\}$, $\theta_{V_r}(r) \geq \theta_V(r)$ (so in particular that for every $V \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{V_0, V_r\}$, $\theta_V(r) \in [0, \pi]$ since $2\pi \geq \theta_{V_r}(r) + \theta_V(r) \geq 2\theta_V(r) \geq 0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{V \in \mathcal{F}} \int_0^{2\pi} G_V(re^{i\theta}, \lambda_V) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \sum_{V \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{V_0\}} \int_0^{2\pi} G_V(re^{i\theta}, \lambda_V) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \int_0^{2\pi} G_{V_0}(re^{i\theta}, 0) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{V \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{V_0, V_r\}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \tan \frac{\theta_V(r)}{4} \right) + \log^+ \frac{r}{\inf_{z \in V_r} |z|} \right) + \int_0^{2\pi} G_{H_1}(re^{i\theta}, 0) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \sum_{V \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{V_0, V_r\}} \frac{\theta_V(r)}{\pi} + \log^+ \frac{r}{\sup\{t > 0 : \mathbb{D}(t) \subset H_1\}} + \int_0^{2\pi} G_{H_1}(re^{i\theta}, 0) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\pi} + \log^+ \frac{r}{\sup\{t > 0 : \mathbb{D}(t) \subset H_1\}} + \int_0^{2\pi} G_{H_1}(re^{i\theta}, 0) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by (3.2) and the monotonicity of the Green functions, and the second inequality is by $\theta_V(r) \in [0, \pi]$ for every $V \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{V_0, V_r\}$. Hence, since $t_n \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))} |\log |F_n|| \omega = \int_{F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))} (-\log |F_n|) \omega \\ &= \omega(F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))) t_n + \int_0^\infty \frac{2r dr}{(1+r^2)^2} \sum_{V \in \mathcal{F}} \int_0^{2\pi} G_V(re^{i\theta}, \lambda_V) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \omega(F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))) t_n + C_0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Claim 2. $\sup_{\mathbb{C} \setminus F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))} |\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}}| \leq t_n$.

Proof. By the description of H_f in Subsection 2.1, the function $\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}}$ is not only harmonic on I_{c_0} but also bounded around ∞ so, by the removable singularity theorem for subharmonic functions twice, extends *harmonically* to $I_{c_0} \cup \{\infty\}$. Applying the maximum principle to this harmonic extension on $I_{c_0} \cup \{\infty\}$ twice, by $g_{I_{c_0}} \equiv 0$ on M_f and (3.1), we have $\sup_{I_{c_0}} |\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}}| \leq \sup_{B_f} |\log |F_n|| \leq t_n$ (cf. [14, the proof of Lemma 4.1]). Similarly, applying the maximum principle twice to the restriction of $\log |F_n|$ on $M_f \setminus F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))$, which is harmonic on the interior of $M_f \setminus F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))$, by $g_{I_{c_0}} \equiv 0$ on M_f and (3.1), we have $\sup_{M_f \setminus F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))} |\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}}| \leq \sup_{B_f \cup F_n^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n}))} |\log |F_n|| \leq t_n$. Now the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.1. The proof of Claim 2 is independent of the possibility of the existence of a queer component of the interior of M_f .

By Claims 1 and 2, we have the following $L^1(\omega)$ estimate

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} |\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}}| \omega \\ \leq (\omega(F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n})))t_n + C_0) + \omega(\mathbb{C} \setminus F_n^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n})))t_n = t_n + C_0,$$

so (1.2) holds.

Recalling (2.3), we also have $(d-1)F_n^*\delta_0 - d^n \cdot T_f = (d-1) \cdot \text{dd}^c(\log |F_n| - d^{n-1} \cdot g_{I_{c_0}})$ on \mathbb{P}^1 , so that by Green's theorem, for every $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$, the estimate (3.3) yields

$$(1.3') \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi d((d-1) \cdot F_n^*\delta_0 - d^n \cdot T_f) \right| \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \frac{\text{dd}^c \phi}{\omega} \right| \right) \cdot (d-1)(t_n + C_0),$$

so (1.3) holds. Now the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

4 - Proof of Theorem 2

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the unicritical polynomials family of degree $d > 1$ defined as (1.1). Recall the definitions (and properties) of $\Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, z) \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda, z]$,

$p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda, z]$, and $\text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)$ in Subsection 1.2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it would be convenient to set

$$P_n^*(\lambda, w) = F_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w) := \frac{p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, w)}{d^{\nu(n)}} \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda, w],$$

so that for every $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $P_n^*(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ is *monic*.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad P_n^*(\lambda, 0) = ((-1)^{\nu(n)} \cdot \Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, 0))^{d-1} \\ = \left((-1)^{\nu(n)} \cdot \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} F_m(\lambda)^{\mu(n/m)} \right)^{d-1}$$

(up to multiplication in n -th roots of unity). For every $n > 1$, we have $0 \notin (P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(0)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if $\lambda \in (P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(0)$, then $(c_0(\lambda) =) 0 \in \text{Fix}_f^*(\lambda, n)$ and λ is a zero of $P_n^*(\cdot, 0)$ of the order $d - 1$.

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, by the chain rule and the equalities $f'_\lambda(z) = d \cdot z^{d-1}$ and $\text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n) = (\Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, \cdot))^{-1}(0)$, we have

$$(p_{f,n}^*(\lambda, 0))^n \left(= \prod_{z \in \text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)} (f_\lambda^n)'(z) \right) = d^{\nu(n)n} ((-1)^{\nu(n)} \cdot \Phi_{f,n}^*(\lambda, 0))^{n(d-1)} \\ = d^{\nu(n)n} \left((-1)^{\nu(n)} \cdot \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} (f_\lambda^m(0) - 0)^{\mu(n/m)} \right)^{n(d-1)},$$

which (with the definition of F_m) yields (4.1). For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, even by a direct computation, 0 is a simple zero of F_m in \mathbb{C} , so that for every $n > 1$, $0 \notin (P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(0)$ by $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} \mu(n/m) = 0$ and the latter equality in (4.1). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda_0 \in (P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(0)$, by the former equality in (4.1), we have $(c_0(\lambda_0) =) 0 \in \text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda_0, n)$, which with $(f_\lambda^n)'(0) = (f_\lambda^n)'(c_0(\lambda)) = 0 \neq 1$ implies even $0 \in \text{Fix}_f^*(\lambda_0, n)$. Then by the latter equality in (4.1), λ_0 is a zero of $P_n^*(\cdot, 0)$ of order $d - 1$ since any zero of F_n is in fact simple. \square

Recall the definitions of the sequences $(\sigma_0(n))$ and $(\sigma_1(n))$ in \mathbb{N} (in Notation 1.2).

4.1 - Proof of (1.6)

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the estimate (3.3) together with (1.4) and (4.1) yields the following $L^1(\omega)$ estimate

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \log |P_n^*(\cdot, 0)| - (d-1)\nu(n) \frac{g_{I_{c_0}}}{d} \right| \omega \leq t_n^* + (d-1)C_0 \cdot \sigma_0(n),$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^* &:= (d-1) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}: m|n} t_m = (2 \log d) \sigma_1(n) \\ &\quad + \left((d+1) \log 2 - 2 \log d + \frac{4C_{B_f}}{d-1} + (d-1) \log(\sqrt{2}+1) \right) \sigma_0(n). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that H_1 is by definition the component of H_f containing 0, and set

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^* &:= \pi + \int_0^\infty \frac{2r}{(1+r^2)^2} \log^+ \frac{r}{\sup\{t > 0 : \mathbb{D}(t) \subset H_1\}} dr \\ &= C_0 - \int_{H_1} G_{H_1}(\cdot, 0) \omega. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this subsection, for every $n > 1$, we also point out a slightly better estimate

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \log |P_n^*(\cdot, 0)| - (d-1)\nu(n) \frac{g_{I_{c_0}}}{d} \right| \omega \leq t_n^* + (d-1)C_0^*$$

than (4.2). In particular, by Green's theorem, for every $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and every $n > 1$, we have

$$(1.6') \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi d(\text{Per}_f^*(n, 0) - \nu(n) \cdot T_f) \right| \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \frac{dd^c \phi}{\omega} \right| \right) \cdot (t_n^* + (d-1)C_0^*),$$

which implies (1.6).

Proof. [Proof of (4.3)] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (4.1) and (3.1), we have

$$(3.1') \quad \sup_{B_f} |\log |P_n^*(\cdot, 0)|| \leq t_n^*,$$

which is a counterpart to (3.1). Fix $n > 1$. By (3.1'), $\inf_{\lambda \in B_f} |P_n^*(\lambda, 0)| \geq e^{-t_n^*}$. As in the proof of Claim 1 in Section 3, let \mathcal{F}^* be the family of all components of $(P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n^*}))$. By Lemma 4.1 and the description of H_f in Subsection 2.1, every $V \in \mathcal{F}^*$ is a piecewise real analytic Jordan domain in $H_f \setminus (I_{c_0} \cup H_1)$ now, and for every $V \in \mathcal{F}^*$, the restriction $P_n^*(\cdot, 0)|_V : V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(t_n^*)$ is a proper holomorphic mapping of degree $d - 1$ now and $\#(((P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(0)) \cap V) = 1$. For every $V \in \mathcal{F}^*$, letting λ_V be the unique point in $((P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(0)) \cap V$, by Myrberg's theorem [22], we now have

$$\log \frac{e^{-t_n^*}}{|P_n^*(\cdot, 0)|} = G_{\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n^*})}(P_n^*(\cdot, 0), 0) = (d - 1) \cdot G_V(\cdot, \lambda_V) \quad \text{on } V.$$

Recalling $t_n^* \geq 0$, by a computation similar to that in the proof of Claim 1 in Section 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(P_n^*(\cdot, w))^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n^*}))} \left| \log |P_n^*(\cdot, 0)| - \nu(n)(d - 1) \frac{g_{I_{c_0}}}{d} \right| \omega \\ \leq \omega((P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n^*}))) t_n^* + (d - 1) C_0^*. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the same argument as that in the proof of Claim 2 in Section 3, we also have $\sup_{\mathbb{C} \setminus (P_n^*(\cdot, 0))^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(e^{-t_n^*}))} \left| \log |P_n^*(\cdot, 0)| - \nu(n)(d - 1) \frac{g_{I_{c_0}}}{d} \right| \leq t_n^*$. Hence (4.3) holds. \square

4.2 - Proof of (1.7)

As an application of (4.3), we also point out the following $L^1(\omega)$ estimate

$$(4.3') \quad \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P_n^*(\lambda, r e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} - \nu(n)(d - 1) \frac{g_{I_{c_0}}}{d} \right| \omega(\lambda) \leq t_n^* + 2(d - 1) C_0^*$$

for every $n > 1$ and every $r \in (0, 1]$ (cf. [3, 2. in Theorem 3.1]). In particular, by Green's theorem, for every $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$, every $n > 1$, and every $r \in (0, 1]$, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} (1.7') \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi d \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \text{Per}_f^*(n, r e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} - \nu(n) \cdot T_f \right) \right| \\ \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \frac{dd^c \phi}{\omega} \right| \right) \cdot (t_n^* + 2(d - 1) C_0^*), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (1.7).

P r o o f. [Proof of (4.3')] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (H_f \setminus I_{c_0})$, we have $\inf_{z \in \text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)} |(f_\lambda^n)'(z)| \geq 1$. Recall the description of components of $H_f \setminus I_{c_0}$ in Subsection 2.1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, letting H_n^* be the union of all components U of $H_f \setminus I_{c_0}$ such that $n_U = n$ (so e.g. $H_1^* = H_1$), there is a holomorphic function $\lambda \mapsto z_\lambda$ on H_n^* such that for every $\lambda \in H_n^*$, $z_\lambda \in \text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)$ and that $(f_\lambda^n)'(z_\lambda) \equiv \phi_U(\lambda)$ on each component U of H_n^* . Fix $n > 1$ and $r \in (0, 1]$, and set $H_n^*(r) := \{\lambda \in H_n^* : (f_\lambda^n)'(z_\lambda) \in \mathbb{D}(r)\} = \bigcup_U$: a component of $H_n^* \phi_U^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(r))$. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, by the definitions of $P_{f,n}^*$ and $p_{f,n}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P_n^*(\lambda, r e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z \in \text{Fix}_f^{**}(\lambda, n)} \log \max\{r, |(f_\lambda^n)'(z)|\} - \nu(n) \log d \\ &= \log |P_n^*(\lambda, 0)| + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \frac{r}{|(f_\lambda^n)'(f_\lambda^j(z_\lambda))|} & \text{if } \lambda \in H_n^*(r), \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus H_n^*(r), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which with (4.3) and the chain rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P_n^*(\lambda, r e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} - \nu(n)(d-1) \frac{g_{I_{c_0}}}{d} \right| \omega(\lambda) \\ \leq (t_n^* + (d-1)C_0^*) + \int_{H_n^*(r)} \log \frac{r}{|(f_\lambda^n)'(z_\lambda)|} \omega(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

For every component V of $H_n^*(r)$, letting U be the component of $H_n^*(= H_n^*(1))$ containing V , the restriction $\phi_U|_V : V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(r)$ is a proper holomorphic mapping of degree $d-1$, so letting λ_V be the unique point in $V \cap \phi_U^{-1}(0)$, by Myrberg's theorem [22], we have

$$\log \frac{r}{|(f_\lambda^n)'(z_\lambda)|} = G_{\mathbb{D}(r)}((\phi_U|_V)(\lambda), 0) = (d-1) \cdot G_V(\lambda, \lambda_V) \quad \text{on } V.$$

Noting that $H_n^* \subset H_f \setminus (I_{c_0} \cup H_1)$, by a computation similar to that in the proof of Claim 1 in Section 3, we have

$$\int_{H_n^*(r)} \log \frac{r}{|(f_\lambda^n)'(z_\lambda)|} \omega(\lambda) \leq (d-1) \cdot C_0^*.$$

Hence (4.3') holds. \square

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Professors Thomas Gauthier and Gabriel Vigny for many discussions, and the referee for a very careful scrutiny and invaluable comments. This work was completed during the author's visit to Laboratoire Amiénois de Mathématique Fondamentale et Appliquée, Université de Picardie Jules Verne in Spring 2016, and the author thanks for the hospitality and the financial support there.

References

- [1] T. M. APOSTOL, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [2] G. BASSANELLI and F. BERTELOOT, *Lyapunov exponents, bifurcation currents and laminations in bifurcation loci*, Math. Ann. **345** (2009), no. 1, 1–23.
- [3] G. BASSANELLI and F. BERTELOOT, *Distribution of polynomials with cycles of a given multiplier*, Nagoya Math. J. **201** (2011), 23–43.
- [4] F. BERTELOOT, *Bifurcation currents in holomorphic families of rational maps*, Pluripotential theory, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, 1–93.
- [5] H. BROLIN, *Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions*, Ark. Mat. **6** (1965), 103–144.
- [6] X. BUFF, *On the Bieberbach conjecture and holomorphic dynamics*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 3, 755–759.
- [7] X. BUFF and T. GAUTHIER, *Quadratic polynomials, multipliers and equidistribution*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no. 7, 3011–3017.
- [8] L. DEMARCO, *Dynamics of rational maps: a current on the bifurcation locus*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 1-2, 57–66.
- [9] L. DEMARCO, *Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity*, Math. Ann. **326** (2003), no. 1, 43–73.
- [10] A. DOUADY and J. H. HUBBARD, *Études dynamiques des polynômes complexes*, Parts I and II, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, 1984/1985.
- [11] A. DOUADY and J. H. HUBBARD, *Itération des polynômes quadratiques complexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **294** (1982), no. 3, 123–126.
- [12] D. DRASIN and Y. OKUYAMA, *Equidistribution and Nevanlinna theory*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **39** (2007), no. 4, 603–613.
- [13] R. DUJARDIN and C. FAVRE, *Distribution of rational maps with a preperiodic critical point*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 4, 979–1032.

- [14] T. GAUTHIER and G. VIGNY, *Distribution of postcritically finite polynomials II: Speed of convergence*, J. Mod. Dyn. **11** (2017), 57–98.
- [15] G. M. LEVIN, *Theory of iterations of polynomial families in the complex plane*, J. Soviet Math. **52** (1990), no. 6, 3512–3522.
- [16] M. Y. LYUBICH, *Some typical properties of the dynamics of rational maps*, Russian Math. Surveys **38** (1983), no. 5, 154–155.
- [17] R. MAÑÉ, P. SAD and D. SULLIVAN, *On the dynamics of rational maps*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), no. 2, 193–217.
- [18] A. MANNING, *The dimension of the maximal measure for a polynomial map*, Ann. of Math. (2) **119** (1984), no. 2, 425–430.
- [19] C. T. MCMULLEN and D. P. SULLIVAN, *Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics. III. The Teichmüller space of a holomorphic dynamical system*, Adv. Math. **135** (1998), no. 2, 351–395.
- [20] J. MILNOR, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Third edition, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **160**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.
- [21] P. MORTON and F. VIVALDI, *Bifurcations and discriminants for polynomial maps*, Nonlinearity **8** (1995), no. 4, 571–584.
- [22] P. J. MYRBERG, *Über die Existenz der Greenschen Funktionen auf Einer Gegebenen Riemannschen Fläche*, Acta Math. **61** (1933), 39–79.
- [23] R. NEVANLINNA, *Analytic functions*, Translated from the second German edition by Phillip Emig, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 162, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.
- [24] F. PRZYTYCKI, *Hausdorff dimension of harmonic measure on the boundary of an attractive basin for a holomorphic map*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), no. 1, 161–179.
- [25] F. PRZYTYCKI, *Lyapunov characteristic exponents are nonnegative*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), no. 1, 309–317.
- [26] H. L. SELBERG, *Eine Ungleichung der Potentialtheorie und ihre Anwendung in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen*, Comment. Math. Helv. **18** (1946), 309–326.
- [27] N. SIBONY, *An unpublished UCLA Lecture notes*, (1984).
- [28] J. H. SILVERMAN, *The arithmetic of dynamical systems*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **241**, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [29] M. TSUJI, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Reprinting of the 1959 original, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1975.
- [30] A. WEITSMAN, *A theorem on Nevanlinna deficiencies*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), no. 1-2, 41–52.

YŪSUKE OKUYAMA
Division of Mathematics
Kyoto Institute of Technology
Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8585 Japan
e-mail: okuyama@kit.ac.jp