# KHALED A. AL-SHARO and ABDULLA A. SHARO

# On m-S-complemented subgroups of finite groups

Abstract. Let G be a finite group and H a subgroup of G. We say that H: is generalized S-quasinormal in G if  $H = \langle A, B \rangle$  for some modular subgroup A and S-quasinormal subgroup B of G; m-S-complemented in G if there are a generalized S-quasinormal subgroup S and a subgroup T of G such that G = HT and  $H \cap T \leq S \leq H$ . In this paper, we study finite groups with given systems of m-S-complemented subgroups. In particular, we prove the following result: Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups, and let E be a normal subgroup of a finite group G such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If for any Sylow subgroup P of E every maximal subgroup of P not having a supersoluble supplement in G is m-S-complemented in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

**Keywords.** Finite group, modular subgroup, *S*-quasinormal subgroup, generalized *S*-quasinormal subgroup, *m*-*S*-complemented subgroup.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 20D10, 20D15, 20D30.

# 1 - Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and G always denotes a finite group. Moreover,  $\pi(G)$  is the set of all primes dividing the order |G| of G;  $C_n$  denotes a cyclic group of order n.

A subgroup M of G is called *modular* if M is a modular element (in the sense of Kurosh [23, p. 43]) of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  of all subgroups of G, that is,

- (i)  $\langle X, M \cap Z \rangle = \langle X, M \rangle \cap Z$  for all  $X \leq G, Z \leq G$  such that  $X \leq Z$ , and
- (ii)  $\langle M, Y \cap Z \rangle = \langle M, Y \rangle \cap Z$  for all  $Y \leq G, Z \leq G$  such that  $M \leq Z$ .

Received: October 23, 2018; accepted in revised form: January 24, 2019.

[2]

A subgroup H of G is said to be *S*-quasinormal [17] or *S*-permutable [5,10] in G if H permutes with every Sylow subgroup P of G, that is, HP = PH. The subgroup H of G is said to be generalized *S*-quasinormal in G [13] if there are a modular subgroup A and an *S*-quasinormal subgroup B of G such that  $H = \langle A, B \rangle$ .

Interesting applications of generalized S-quasinormal subgroups were discussed in the paper [13]. In this paper, we consider the following generalization of such subgroups.

Definition 1.1. We say that a subgroup H of G is *m-S-complemented* in G if there are a generalized S-quasinormal subgroup S and a subgroup T of G such that G = HT and  $H \cap T \leq S \leq H$ .

It is clear that every generalized S-quasinormal subgroup is m-S-complemented. Every modular subgroup and every S-quasinormal subgroup are generalized S-quasinormal. Now consider the following

Example 1.2. Let  $C_3 \wr A_4 = P \rtimes A_4$ , where  $A_4$  is the alternating group of degree 4 and P is the base group of the regular wreath product  $C_3 \wr A_4$ . Let  $G = (P \rtimes A_4) \rtimes (C_{11} \rtimes C_5)$ , where  $C_{11} \rtimes C_5$  is a non-abelian group of order 55. Let Q be the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $A_4$  and R a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $A_4$ . Then PQ is supersoluble, so some subgroup B of P with |B| = 3 is normal in PQ. Then for every Sylow 3-subgroup  $G_3$  of G we have  $B \leq P \leq G_3$ , so  $BG_3 = G_3 = G_3B$ . On the other hand, for every Sylow 2-subgroup  $Q^x$  of G we have  $Q^x \leq PQ$ , so  $BQ^x = Q^xB$ . Hence B is S-quasinormal in G. In view of [**23**, Theorem 5.1.9],  $A = C_5$  is modular in G. Then  $S = \langle A, B \rangle = AB$  is generalized S-quasinormal in G.

Now let  $H = (AB)Q = A \times BQ$  and  $T = PRC_{11}$ . Then G = HT and  $H \cap T = (AB)Q \cap PRC_{11} = B(AQ \cap PRC_{11}) = B \leq H$ . Hence H is m-S-complemented in G.

Next we show that H is not generalized S-quasinormal in G. First note that  $H_G = 1$ , so for every modular subgroup V of H we have  $V^G \leq C_{11} \rtimes C_5$  by Lemma 2.4 below. Therefore A is the largest modular subgroup of H. Assume that H is generalized S-quasinormal in G and let W be an S-quasinormal subgroup of G such that  $H = \langle A, W \rangle = AW$ . Then  $W_G = 1$ , so W is a nilpotent subnormal subgroup of G by [5, Theorem 1.2.17]. Hence for a Sylow 2-subgroup  $Q_1$  of W we have  $1 < Q_1 \leq O_2(G) \leq P \rtimes (Q \rtimes C_p)$  and so  $Q_1 \leq C_G(P)$ , a contradiction. Therefore H is not generalized S-quasinormal in G.

A subgroup H of G is said to be *complemented* (respectively *c-supplemented* [8]) in G, if there is a subgroup T of G such that G = HT and  $H \cap T = 1$  (respectively G = HT and  $H \cap T \leq H_G$ ). It is clear that every complemented subgroup and every *c*-supplemented subgroup are *m*-*S*-complemented.

A subgroup H of G is said to be *S*-supplemented [25] (respectively *m*-supplemented [30]) in G, if there are an *S*-quasinormal subgroup (respectively a modular subgroup) S and a subgroup T of G such that G = HT and  $H \cap T \leq S \leq H$ . Every *S*-supplemented subgroup and every *m*-supplemented subgroup are *m*-*S*-complemented.

Let  $K \leq H$  be normal subgroups of G. Then we say, following [23], that H/K is hypercyclically embedded in G if every chief factor of G between H and K is cyclic. We say also that H is hypercyclically embedded in G if H/1 is hypercyclically embedded in G.

Hypercyclically embedded subgroups play an important role in the theory of soluble groups (see the books [5, 10, 23]) and the conditions under which a normal subgroup is hypercyclically embedded were found by many authors (see, for example, the recent papers [24]-[14]).

In this paper, we prove the following results in this line research.

Theorem 1.3. Let E be a normal subgroup of G and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of E, where p is the smallest prime dividing |E|. If every maximal subgroup of P not having a supersoluble supplement in G is m-S-complemented in G, then E is p-nilpotent and  $E/O_{p'}(E)$  is hypercyclically embedded in G.

Theorem 1.4. Let E be a normal subgroup of G. Suppose that for any Sylow subgroup P of E every maximal subgroup of P not having a supersoluble supplement in G is m-S-complemented in G, Then E is hypercyclically embedded in G.

As a first application of Theorem 1.4, we prove also the following result, which covers many known results (see Section 4 below).

Theorem 1.5. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups, and let  $X \leq E$  be normal subgroups of G with  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Suppose that for any Sylow subgroup P of X every maximal subgroup of P not having a supersoluble supplement in G is m-S-complemented in G. If X = E of  $X = F^*(E)$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

In this theorem  $X = F^*(E)$  denotes the generalized Fitting subgroup of E [16, Ch. X], that is, the product of all normal quasinilpotent subgroups of E.

### 2 - Preliminaries

[3]

The first lemma collects the properties of S-quasinormal subgroups used in our proofs.

Lemma 2.1 (See Chapter 1 in [5]). Let A, B and N be subgroups of G, where A is S-quasinormal in G and N is normal in G.

- (1) AN/N is S-quasinormal in G/N.
- (2) If  $A \leq B$ , then A is S-quasinormal in B.
- (3) If  $N \leq B$  and B/N is S-quasinormal in G/N, then B is S-quasinormal in G.
- (4) A is subnormal in G and  $A^G/A_G$  is nilpotent.
- (5) If B is S-quasinormal in G, then  $A \cap B$  and  $\langle A, B \rangle$  are S-quasinormal in G.

Lemma 2.2. Let A, B and N be subgroups of G, where A is generalized S-quasinormal in G and N is normal in G. Then:

- (1) AN/N is generalized S-quasinormal in G/N.
- (2) If  $A \leq B$ , then A is generalized S-quasinormal in B.
- (3) If  $N \leq B$  and B/N is generalized S-quasinormal in G/N, then B is generalized S-quasinormal in G.
- (4) If B is generalized S-quasinormal in G, then ⟨A, B⟩ is generalized S-quasinormal in G.

Proof. Let  $A = \langle L, T \rangle$ , where L is modular and T is S-quasinormal subgroups of G.

(1)  $AN/N = \langle LN/N, TN/N \rangle$ , where LN/N is modular in G/N by Property (3) in [23, p. 201] and TN/N is S-quasinormal in G/N by Lemma 2.1(1). Hence AN/N is generalized S-quasinormal in G/N.

(2) This follows from Property (2) in [23, p. 201] and Lemma 2.1(2) in [5].

(3) Let  $B/N = \langle V/N, W/N \rangle$ , where V/N is modular in G/N and W/N is S-quasinormal in G/N. Then  $B = \langle V, W \rangle$ , where V is modular in G by Property (4) in [23, p. 201] and W is S-quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.1(3). Hence B is generalized S-quasinormal in G.

(4) This follows from Property (5) in [23, p. 201] and Lemma 2.1(5).

Lemma 2.3. Let A, B and N be subgroups of G, where A is m-S-complemented in G and N is normal in G.

- (1) If either  $N \leq A$  or (|A|, |N|) = 1, then AN/N is m-S-complemented in G/N.
- (2) If  $A \leq B$ , then A is m-S-complemented in B.

354

[5]

(3) If  $N \leq B$  and B/N is m-S-complemented in G/N, then B is m-S-complemented in G.

Proof. (1) Let T be a subgroup of G such that AT = G and  $A \cap T \leq S \leq A$ for some generalized S-quasinormal subgroup S of G. Then  $S = \langle L, T \rangle$ , where L is a modular and T is an S-quasinormal subgroups of G. Then  $NT \cap NA =$  $(T \cap A)N$ . Indeed, if  $N \leq A$ , then  $NT \cap NA = NT \cap A = N(T \cap A)$ . On the other hand, if (|A|, |N|) = 1, then from AT = G we get that  $N \leq T$  and so  $NT \cap NA = T \cap AN = N(T \cap A)$ . Therefore G/N = (AN/N)(TN/N) and  $(AN/N) \cap (TN/N) = (AN \cap TN/N) = (A \cap T)N/N \leq SN/N$ , where SN/N is a generalized S-quasinormal subgroup of G/N by Lemma 2.2(1). Hence AN/Nis m-S-supplemented in G/N.

(2)  $B = A(B \cap T)$  and  $(B \cap T) \cap A = T \cap A \leq S \leq A$ , where S is m-S-permutable in B by Lemma 2.2(2). Hence A is m-S-complemented in B.

(3) See the proof of (1) and use Lemma 2.2(3).  $\Box$ 

Lemma 2.4 (See Theorem 5.2.5 in [23]). If H is a modular subgroup of G, then  $H^G/H_G$  is hypercyclically embedded in G.

Recall that  $F^*(G)$  is the largest normal quasinilpotent subgroup of G.

Lemma 2.5 (See Theorem 1.2 in [26]). If E is a normal subgroup of G and  $F^*(E)$  is hypercyclically embedded in G, then E is hypercyclically embedded in G.

Recall that formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a homomorph of groups such that each group G has the smallest normal subgroup (denoted by  $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ ) whose quotient is still in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . A formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be saturated if  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$  for any group G with  $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

Lemma 2.6 (See Lemma 2.16 in [25]). Suppose that  $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups. If N is hypercyclically embedded in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

Lemma 2.7 (See Lemma 2.10 in [24]). Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G, where p is the smallest prime dividing |G|. If every maximal subgroup of P has a p-nilpotent supplement in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

#### 3 - Proofs of Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that this theorem is false and consider a counterexample (G, E) for which |G| + |E| is minimal. Let  $Z = Z_{\mathfrak{U}}(G)$ . (1) If R is a normal p'-subgroup of G, then the hypothesis holds for (G/R, ER/R).

First note that  $PR/R \simeq P$  is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of ER/R, and if V/R is a subgroup of PR/R, then for a Sylow *p*-subgroup *W* of *V* we have V/R = WR/R. Moreover, if V/R is a maximal subgroup of PR/R, then |P : W| = p and so *W* is a maximal subgroup of *P*. Hence the hypothesis holds for (G/R, ER/R) by Lemma 2.3(1).

(2) 
$$O_{p'}(G) = 1.$$

Assume that  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ , and let R be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in  $O_{p'}(G)$ . Then the hypothesis holds for (G/R, ER/R) by Claim (1), so  $(ER/R)/O_{p'}(ER/R)$  is hypercyclically embedded in G/R and  $ER/R \simeq E/E \cap R$  is *p*-nilpotent. Hence E is *p*-nilpotent and from

$$(ER/R)/O_{p'}(ER/R) = (ER/R)/(O_{p'}(ER)/R) = (ER/R)/(O_{p'}(E)R/R)$$

and from the G-isomorphisms

$$(ER/R)/(O_{p'}(E)R/R) \simeq ER/O_{p'}(E)R \simeq E/E \cap O_{p'}(E)R$$
$$= E/O_{p'}(E)(E \cap R) = E/O_{p'}(E)$$

we get that  $E/O_{p'}(E)$  is hypercyclically embedded in G, contrary to the choice of (G, E). Hence we have (2).

(3)  $Z \cap E \leq Z_{\infty}(E)$ .

Since Z is clearly supersoluble, a Sylow q-subgroup Q of Z, where q is the largest prime dividing |Z|, is normal and so characteristic in Z. Then Q is normal in G, which implies that Z = Q by Claim (2), so  $Z \cap E \leq Z_{\infty}(E)$  since (p-1, |E|) = 1.

(4) E is *p*-nilpotent.

Assume that this is false.

(a) E = G.

Since the hypothesis holds for (E, E) by Lemma 2.3(2), in the case when  $E \neq G$ , the subgroup E is p-nilpotent by the choice of G.

(b)  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ .

Assume that  $O_p(G) = 1$ . Lemma 2.7 implies that some maximal subgroup V of P has no a p-nilpotent supplement in G, so V is generalized S-quasinormal in G. Then there are an generalized S-quasinormal subgroup S and a subgroup T of G such that G = VT and  $V \cap T \leq S \leq V$ . Let A be a modular subgroup

and *B* a *S*-quasinormal subgroup of *G* such that  $S = \langle A, B \rangle$ . Then  $BP^x = P^x B = P^x$  for all  $x \in G$ , so  $B \leq P_G = O_p(G) = 1$ . Hence S = A and  $A_G = 1$ , therefore  $S \leq Z \leq Z_{\infty}(G)$  by Lemma 2.4 and Claim (3) since E = G by Claim (a). Since  $Z_{\infty}(G)$  is nilpotent, a Sylow *p*-subgroup of  $Z_{\infty}(G)$  is normal in *G*, so A = S = 1 since  $V_G = 1$ . Therefore *T* is a complement to *V* in *G*, so for a Sylow *p*-subgroup  $T_p$  of *T* we have  $|T_p| = p$ . Therefore *T* is *p*-nilpotent since (p-1, |E|) = (p-1, |G|) = 1. Hence every maximal subgroup *V* of *P* has a *p*-nilpotent complement in *G*, so *G* is *p*-nilpotent by Lemma 2.7. This contradiction shows that we have (b).

Let R be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in  $O_p(G)$ . First we show that  $R \neq P$ . Assume that R = P and let V be any maximal subgroup of P. There are an m-S-permutable subgroup S and a subgroup T of G such that G = VT and  $V \cap T \leq S \leq V$ . Let A be a modular subgroup and B an S-quasinormal subgroup of G such that  $S = \langle A, B \rangle$ . Then  $A_G = 1$ , so  $A^G \leq Z$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore A = 1 and so S = B is S-quasinormal in G. But then S is normal in G by Lemma 1.2.16 in [5]. Hence S = 1 and so  $T \cap V = 1$ . But then  $1 < T \cap R < R$ , where  $T \cap R$  is normal in G. This contradiction shows that  $R \neq P$ . Therefore the hypothesis holds for G/R by Lemma 2.3(1), so G/R is p-nilpotent by the choice of G. Hence G is p-soluble. Therefore every minimal normal subgroup R of G is a p-group by Claim (2), Hence R is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G and  $R \nleq \Phi(G)$ , so  $R = C_G(R) = O_p(G)$ by [9, Ch. A, 15.6]. Hence  $R \nleq \Phi(P)$  by [15, III, Hilfsatz 3.3]. It is clear also that |R| > p, so Z = 1.

Final contradiction for (4).

Let V be any maximal subgroup of G. We show that V has a p-nilpotent supplement in G. Assume that this is false. Then the subgroup V is generalized S-quasinormal in G by hypothesis.

First suppose that  $R \not\leq V$ . Then  $W = V \cap R$  is normal in P, |R:W| = pand  $V_G = 1$ . There are a generalized S-quasinormal subgroup S and a subgroup T of G such that G = VT and  $V \cap T \leq S \leq V$ . Arguing as above, we can show that S is S-quasinormal in G. It follows that  $S \leq O_p(G) = R$ . Hence  $S \leq R \cap V = W$  and so  $S^G = S^{PO^p(G)} = S^P \leq W$  by [5, Lemma 1.2.16], which implies that S = 1. Then T is a complement to V in G, so T is p-nilpotent.

Now let V be any maximal subgroup of P containing R, and let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that  $G = R \rtimes M$ . Then  $M \simeq G/R$  is p-nilpotent, so M is a p-nilpotent supplement to V in G. Thus every maximal subgroup of P has a p-nilpotent supplement in G. Therefore G is p-nilpotent by Lemma 2.7. This contradiction shows that we have (4).

The final contradiction. Claims (2) and (4) imply that E = P is a normal *p*-subgroup of *G*. Let *R* be a minimal normal subgroup of *G* contained in *P*.

[7]

Then the hypothesis holds for (G/R, P/R) = (G/R, E/R), so the choice of G implies that P/R is hypercyclically embedded in G. Therefore  $R \notin \Phi(P)$  by [15, III, Hilfsatz 3.3(a)]. Hence  $\Phi(P) = 1$ , so P is elementary abelian p-group. If |R| = p, then P is hypercyclically embedded in G by the Jordan-Hölder theorem for the chief series. Hence R is not cyclic. Moreover, R is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G contained in P. Indeed, suppose that for some minimal normal subgroup  $N \neq R$  of G we also have  $N \leq P$ . Then P/N is hypercyclically embedded in G and so from the G-isomorphism  $RN/N \simeq R$  we get that |R| = p, a contradiction.

Let W be a maximal subgroup of R such that W is normal in a Sylow p-subgroup  $G_p$  of G. Then  $W \neq 1$ .

We show that W is S-quasinormal in G. Let B be a complement of R in P and H = WB. Then H is a maximal subgroup of P and  $W = H \cap R$ . Therefore W is S-quasinormal in G in the case when H is S-quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.1(5). From now on we suppose that H is not S-quasinormal in G.

Suppose that H has a p-nilpotent supplement T in G and let S be the normal p-complement in T. Then  $P = P \cap HT = H(P \cap T)$ , where  $P \cap T$  is normal in G since P is abelian. Moreover,  $1 < P \cap T < P$  since G is not p-nilpotent. Therefore  $R \leq P \cap T$ . Then [R, S] = 1, so  $G = G_pS \leq N_G(W)$ . This contradiction shows that H has no a p-nilpotent supplement T in G and hence H is m-S-complemented in G by hypothesis.

Let S and T be subgroups of G such that S is generalized S-quasinormal in G and for which we have G = HT and  $H \cap T \leq S \leq H$ . And let S = AB, where A is modular and B is S-quasinormal in G. Then  $N \not\leq H$  and so  $A_G = 1$ , which implies that  $A^G$  is hypercyclically embedded in G by Lemma 2.7. But then A = 1 since otherwise  $N \leq A^G \cap P$  and so |N| = p. Therefore S = Bis S-quasinormal in G. Since  $T \cap H \leq S \leq H$  and H is not S-quasinormal in G, it follows that T < G and for the normal subgroup  $T \cap P$  of G we have  $1 < T \cap P$ . Then  $N \leq T$  and so  $N \cap H = N \cap S = W$ , which implies that W is S-quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.1(5) But then W is normal in G since  $G = G_p O^p(G) \leq N_G(W)$  by [5, Lemma 1.2.16] and so W = 1. Therefore N is cyclic. This contradiction completes the proof of the result.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose that this theorem is false and consider a counterexample (G, E) for which |G| + |E| is minimal. Let p be the smallest prime dividing |E| and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of E.

Note that the hypothesis holds for (E, E) by Lemma 2.3(2), so E is p-supersoluble by Theorem 1.3 and hence E is p-nilpotent since p is the smallest prime dividing |E|. Note also that if X is the p'- Hall subgroup of E, then X is trivial. Indeed, if  $X \neq 1$ , the hypothesis holds for (G/X, E/X) and for (G, X)

by Lemma 2.3(1). Since obviously  $X \neq E$  the choice of G implies that G/X and X are hypercyclically embedded in G. Hence E is hypercyclically embedded in G by the Jordan-Hölder theorem for the chief series. This contradiction shows that E = P, so E is hypercyclically embedded in G by Theorem 1.3. 

Proof of Theorem 1.5. This theorem is a corollary of Theorem 1.4, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6. 

## 4 - Some applications of the results

[9]

Corollary 4.1 (Srinivasan [29]). If the maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of G are S-quasinormal in G, then G is supersoluble.

Corollary 4.2 (Asaad [2]). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E. If  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$  and every maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of E is S-quasinormal in G, then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Corollary 4.3 (Guo, Shum, Skiba [11]). If the maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of G not having supersoluble supplement in G are normal in G, then G is supersoluble.

A subgroup H of G is said to be *c*-normal in G [31], if there is a normal subgroup T of G such that G = HT and  $H \cap T \leq H_G$ .

Corollary 4.4 (Wang [31]). If the maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of G are c-normal in G, then G is supersoluble.

Corollary 4.5 (Alsheik Ahmad [1]). If the maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of G not having supersoluble supplement in G are c-normal in G, then G is supersoluble.

Corollary 4.6 (Ramadan [22]). Let G be a soluble group. If all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of F(E) are normal in G, then G is supersoluble.

Corollary 4.7 (Li, Guo [19]). Let G be a group and E a soluble normal subgroup of G with supersoluble quotient G/E. If all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of F(E) are c-normal in G, then G is supersoluble.

Corollary 4.8 (Wey [33]). Let F be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a soluble normal subgroup E such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of F(E) are c-normal in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

Corollary 4.9 (Wei, Wang, Li [34]). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of  $F^*(E)$  are c-normal in G, then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Corollary 4.10 (Asaad, Ramadan, Shaalan [4]). Let G be a group and E a soluble normal subgroup of G with supersoluble quotient G/E. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of F(E) are S-quasinormal in G. Then G is supersoluble.

Corollary 4.11 (Li, Wang [20]). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If all maximal subgroups of  $F^*(E)$  are S-quasinormal in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

Corollary 4.12 (Li, Wang [20]). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If every maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of  $F^*(E)$  is S-quasinormal in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

In the paper [21] the following concept was introduced: A subgroup H of a group G is said to be  $\mathcal{U}$ -s-supplemented in G if G has a subgroup T such that G = HT and  $T/T \cap H_G$  is supersoluble. Now let  $T_0$  be a minimal supplement to  $T \cap H_G$  in T. Then  $T \cap H_G \cap T_0 \leq \Phi(T_0)$  and  $T/T \cap H_G = T_0(T \cap H_G)/(T \cap H_G) \simeq T_0/(T_0 \cap (T \cap H_G))$  is supersoluble. Hence  $T_0$  is supersoluble. Therefore H has a supersoluble supplement in G since  $G = HT = HT_0$ . Therefore we get from Theorem 1.4 the following

Corollary 4.13 (Miao, Guo [21]). Let G be a group and E a soluble normal subgroup of G with supersoluble quotient G/E. If all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of E are U-s-supplemented in G, then G is supersoluble.

Corollary 4.14 (Wei [33]). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If every maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of E is c-normal in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

Corollary 4.15 (Wei, Wang and Li [32]). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup Esuch that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If every maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of  $F^*(E)$  is c-supplemented in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

Corollary 4.16 (Ballester-Bolinches and Guo [7]). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If every maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of E is c-supplemented in G, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

360

#### References

- A. ALSHEIK AHMAD, Finite groups with given c-permutable subgroups, Algebra Discrete Math. 2004, n. 2, 9–16.
- M. ASAAD, On maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), 3647–3652.
- [3] M. ASAAD, Finite groups with certain subgroups of Sylow subgroups complemented, J. Algebra 323 (2010), 1958–1965.
- [4] M. ASAAD, M. RAMADAN and A. SHAALAN, Influence of π-quasinormality on maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of Fitting subgroup of a finite group, Arch. Math. (Basel) 56 (1991), 521–527.
- [5] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO and M. ASAAD, *Products of finite groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2010.
- [6] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES and L. M. EZQUERRO, *Classes of finite groups*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [7] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES and X. Y. GUO, On complemented subgroups of finite groups, Arch. Math. (Basel) 72 (1999), 161–166.
- [8] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, Y. WANG and X. Y. GUO, c-supplemented subgroups of finite groups, Glasg. Math. J. 42 (2000), 383–389.
- [9] K. DOERK and T. HAWKES, *Finite soluble groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [10] W. Guo, Structure theory for canonical classes of finite groups, Springer, Heidelberg, 2015.
- [11] W. GUO, K. P. SHUM and A. N. SKIBA, G-covering subgroup systems for the classes of supersoluble and nilpotent groups, Israel J. Math. 138 (2003), 125–138.
- [12] W. Guo and A. N. Skiba, Finite groups with permutable complete Wielandt sets of subgroups, J. Group Theory 18 (2015), 191–200.
- [13] B. HU, J. HUANG and A. N. SKIBA, On generalized S-quasinormal and generalized subnormal subgroups of finite groups, Commun. Algebra 46 (2018), 1758–1769.
- [14] B. HU, J. HUANG and A. N. SKIBA, On weakly  $\sigma$ -quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, Publ. Math. Debrecen 92 (2018), 201–216.
- [15] B. HUPPERT, Endliche Gruppen, I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [16] B. HUPPERT and N. BLACKBURN, *Finite Groups*, *III*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New-York, 1982.
- [17] O. H. KEGEL, Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math.
  Z. 78 (1962), 205–221.
- [18] B. LI, On Π-property and Π-normality of subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra 334 (2011), 321–337.

[11]

- [19] D. LI and X. Y. GUO, The influence of c-normality of subgroups on the structure of finite groups, II, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), 1913–1922.
- [20] Y. LI, Y. WANG and H. WEI, The influence of  $\pi$ -quasinormality of some subgroups of a finite group, Arch. Math. (Basel) 81 (2003), 245–252.
- [21] L. MIAO and W. GUO, Finite groups with some primary subgroups *F-s-supplemented*, Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), 2789–2800.
- [22] M. RAMADAN, Influence of normality on maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of a finite group, Acta Math. Hungar. 59 (1992), 107–110.
- [23] R. SCHMIDT, Subgroup lattices of groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [24] L. A. SHEMETKOV and A. N. SKIBA, On the XΦ-hypercentre of finite groups, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 2106–2117.
- [25] A. N. SKIBA, On weakly s-permutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra 315 (2007), 192–209.
- [26] A. N. SKIBA, On two questions of L. A. Shemetkov concerning hypercyclically embedded subgroups of finite groups, J. Group Theory 13 (2010), 841–850.
- [27] A. N. SKIBA, A characterization of the hypercyclically embedded subgroups of finite groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), 257–261.
- [28] A. N. SKIBA, Cyclicity conditions for G-chief factors of normal subgroups of a group G, Sib. Math. J. 52 (2011), 127–130.
- [29] S. SRINIVASAN, Two sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups, Israel J. Math. 35 (1980), 210–214.
- [30] V. A. VASIL'EV and A. N. SKIBA, On one generalization of modular subgroups, Ukrainian Math. J. 63 (2012), 1494–1505.
- [31] Y. WANG, c-normality of groups and its properties, J. Algebra 180 (1996), 954–965.
- [32] Y. WANG, H. WEI and Y. LI, A generalisation of Kramer's theorem and its applications, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 65 (2002), 467–475.
- [33] H. WEI, On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), 2193–2200.
- [34] H. WEI, Y. WANG and Y. LI, On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, II, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), 4807–4816.
- [35] X. YI and A. N. SKIBA, Some new characterizations of PST-groups, J. Algebra 399 (2014) 39–54.

363

KHALED A. AL-SHARO Dept. of Mathematics Al al-Bayt University Mafraq-25113, Jordan. E-mail: sharo\_kh@yahoo.com

ABDULLA A. SHARO Dept. of Civil Engineering Jordan University of Science and Technology P.O. Box 3030 Irbid 22110, Jordan. E-mail: aasharo@just.edu.jo

[13]